

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FOR CoMix: comparing mixing patterns in the Belgian population during and after lockdown

Pietro Coletti^{1*}, James Wambua¹, Amy Gimma²,
Lander Willem³ Sarah Vercruysse¹, Bieke Vanhoutte³,
Christofer I Jarvis², Kevin Van Zandvoort², John Edmunds²,
Philippe Beutels^{3,4}, Niel Hens^{1,3}
and the SIMID-COVID-19 Team

October 23, 2020

¹Data Science Institute, I-BioStat, UHasselt, Hasselt, Belgium. ²London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK ³Centre for Health Economic Research and Modelling Infectious Diseases, Vaccine & Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium. ⁴School of Public Health and Community Medicine, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

* Corresponding author: pietro.coletti@uhasselt.be

Table 1: **Quotas on age, gender and region.** Quotas on age, gender and region. The target value is compared with the achieved value during wave 1.

	Age	
	Achieved	Target
18-24	10%	10%
25-34	15%	16%
35-44	16%	16%
45-54	20%	18%
55-64	18%	16%
65+	21%	23%
	Gender	
	Achieved	Target
Female	53%	49%
Male	47%	51%
	Region	
	Achieved	Target
Brussels	7%	10%
Flanders	62%	58%
Wallonia	31%	32%

Table 2: **Participation rate.** Number of people invited to each wave and number of people that completed the survey, divide among newly invited and participants from previous wave.

Wave	New invitations sent	New invitations completed	Participants from previous wave invited	Participants from previous wave completed	Final sample size	Participation (full)	Participation (from previous)
1	5978	1542	-	-	1542	25.8%	-
2	417	94	1542	1183	1277	65.2%	76.7%
3	715	39	1636	1103	1142	48.6%	67.4%
4	0	0	1675	951	951	56.8%	56.8%
5	0	0	1675	924	924	55.2%	55.2%
6	0	0	1675	902	902	53.3%	53.3%
7	0	0	1675	760	760	45.4%	45.4%
8	0	0	1675	833	833	49.7%	49.7%

1 Supporting information




Figure 1: **Number of contacts.** Boxplot of the number of contacts. The boxplot marks the interquartile range (IQR), The horizontal line marks the median value and the 'x' symbol marks the average. Whiskers extend up to 1.5 times IQR and outliers shown as point.




Figure 2: **Indoor/outdoor contacts (a):** Indoor contacts.(b): Outdoor contacts. In both panels, the boxplot shows the interquartile range (IQR), The horizontal line marks the median value and the 'x' symbol marks the average. Whiskers extend up to 1.5 times IQR and outliers are shown as point.




Figure 3: **Contact features.** (a): Contact frequency.(b): Contact duration.(c): Physical contact. From wave 3 onward, a large fraction of contacts are reported in group, missing information on contact frequency, duration and physical contact.




Figure 4: **Average number of contacts broken down by geographical area.** Mean number of contacts broken down by geographical area. Errorbars mark the 95% CI.




Figure 5: Proportion of contacts that involved physical contact by contact place for the 2010 survey in Flanders and the 2020 CoMix surveys (only individually reported contacts)




Figure 6: The distribution of all contacts by contact duration and contact place for the 2010 survey in Flanders and the 2020 CoMix surveys (only individually reported contacts)




Figure 7: **Social contacts at work.** (a): Average number of daily contacts at work for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported contacts at work for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 8: **Social contacts at home.** (a): Average number of daily contacts at home for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported contacts at home for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 9: **Social contact "other" locations.** (a): Average number of daily contacts made at "other" locations for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported contacts made at "other" locations for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 10: **Physical contacts.** (a): Average number of daily physical contacts for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported physical contacts for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 11: **Physical contacts at work.** (a): Average number of daily physical contacts at work for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported physical contacts at work for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 12: **Physical contacts at home.** (a): Average number of daily physical contacts at home for the 2010 survey. (b-i) Average number of reported physical contacts at home for the 8 waves of the CoMix survey.




Figure 13: **R_0 ratio.** (a-b): R_0 ratio considering all contacts, without imputation (a) and with imputation (b). (c-d): R_0 ratio considering only physical contacts, without imputation (c) and with imputation (d). The ratio of R_0 is computed with respect to the 2010 survey data. In both panels, the boxplot shows the interquartile range (IQR), The horizontal line marks the median value and the 'x' symbol marks the average. Whiskers extend up to 1.5 times IQR and outliers shown as point.




Figure 14: **Face mask use broken down by age and gender.** Percentage of participants wearing mask broken down by age and gender. Errorbars mark the 95% CI.




Figure 15: **Percentage of participants having washed their hands broken down by age and gender.** Percentage of participants having washed their hands broken down by age and gender. Errorbars mark the 95% CI.




Figure 16: **Average number of contacts according to household structure.** Mean number of contacts for households including and not including children. Errorbars mark the 95% CI.