

**Image Preprocessing:** The T1w images were first aligned to the canonical ac-pc orientation. Diffusion weighted images were pre-processed with Vistasoft (<http://github.com/vistalab/vistasoft/mrDiffusion>), an open-source software package implemented in MATLAB R2012a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). The dual-spin echo dMRI sequence used here greatly reduces eddy-current distortions obviating the need for eddy-current correction (1). Subject motion during the diffusion weighted scan was corrected using a rigid body alignment algorithm (2). Each diffusion weighted image was registered to the mean of the  $b=0$  images and the mean  $b=0$  image was registered automatically to the participant's T1w image, using a rigid body transformation (implemented in SPM8, <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/>; no warping was applied). The combined transform that resulted from motion correction and alignment to the T1w anatomy was applied to the raw data once, and the transformed images were resampled to  $2 \times 2 \times 2\text{mm}^3$  isotropic voxels. This step was performed because non-isotropic voxels may bias the tensor fit and distort both tracking and measurements of diffusion properties (3). Diffusion gradient directions were then adjusted to fit the resampled diffusion data (4).

For each voxel in the aligned and resampled volume, tensors were fit to the diffusion measurements using a robust least-squares algorithm, Robust Estimation of Tensors by Outlier Rejection (RESTORE), which is designed to remove outliers at the tensor estimation step (5). A continuous tensor field was estimated using trilinear interpolation of the tensor elements. The eigenvalue decomposition of the diffusion tensor was calculated and the resulting three eigenvalues ( $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ) were used to compute fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD; i.e., the mean of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and

$\lambda_3$ ), radial diffusivity (RD, i.e., the mean of  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ ) and axial diffusivity (AD, i.e.,  $\lambda_1$ ) (6).

Quantitative T1 (relaxation time, seconds) maps were calculated using mrQ, (<https://github.com/mezera/mrQ>), an open-source software package implemented in MATLAB R2012a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). T1 fitting and bias correction was calculated using methods described in (7,8). These procedures involve measuring the local transmit-coil inhomogeneities which are calculated by minimizing the difference between the unbiased T1 map calculated from the low-resolution SEIR-EPI images (9) and the T1 map fit from the high-resolution multiple flip angle SPGR images. Minimization was achieved using a nonlinear least-squares (NLS) solution that assumes transmit-coil inhomogeneities to be smooth in space (10).

To co-register a subject's quantitative relaxometry (qR) images to their dMRI data, we used the Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs) software package (11). This tool was used to warp the qR images to the non-diffusion weighted b=0 images, as these images have relatively similar contrast (12). This warping procedure is used to minimize mis-registration errors due to EPI distortions in the dMRI data. EPI distortions were minimal due to the 2x ASSET acceleration used for the readout of the diffusion-weighted images. After applying the diffeomorphic warp, image registration was manually inspected using the mrView software (13). Manual inspection of the aligned images confirmed that the registration was accurate in all subjects. From the qR maps, we then derived R1, the inverse of T1 ( $R1 = 1/T1$ ).

### ***Quantification of White Matter Tissue Properties***

Automated Fiber Quantification (AFQ; <https://github.jyeatman/AFQ>; (14)), a software package implemented in MATLAB R2012a (Mathworks, Natick, MA), was used to isolate and characterize white matter metrics from two dorsal tracts: the Arc-L and bilateral SLF, and two bilateral ventral white matter tracts: the ILF and UF. We selected these pathways *a priori* based on evidence implicating their involvement in phonological and semantic processes (15–18). Due to previously described limitations of deterministic tractography for segmenting the right Arc (19–23), we excluded it from analysis *a priori*. Using AFQ software, we generated an FA or R1 tract profile that described the variations in either tissue parameter (FA and R1) along the central portion of the tract. Specifically, tract FA or tract R1 profiles each were calculated at 30 equidistant locations along the central portion of each fiber tract bounded by the same two ROIs used for tract segmentation. The core of the tract was calculated by defining 30 sample-points along the tract and computing the robust mean position of the corresponding sample points. The robust mean for all tracts except the SLF was computed by estimating the 3-dimensional Gaussian covariance of the sample points and removing fibers that are either located more than 5 standard deviations away from the mean position of the tract, or that differed more than 4 standard deviations in length from the mean length of the tract. In the SLF, these parameters resulted in the inclusion of many fibers not consistent with the known anatomy of the SLF. Therefore, for the SLF, fibers that were 4 standard deviations away from the mean position of the tract, or that differed more than 1 standard deviation in length from the mean length of the tract were removed. This computation constituted the final automatic cleaning stage of the segmented tracts. Tract profiles were then averaged to produce a single mean FA or R1

value for each tract. Importantly, the FA and R1 measurements were obtained for the same tract regions.

## References

1. Reese TG, Heid O, Weisskoff RM, Wedeen VJ. Reduction of eddy-current-induced distortion in diffusion MRI using a twice-refocused spin echo. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2003;49(1):177–82.
2. Rohde GK, Barnett AS, Basser PJ, Marengo S, Pierpaoli C. Comprehensive Approach for Correction of Motion and Distortion in Diffusion-Weighted MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2004;
3. Oouchi H, Yamada K, Sakai K, Kizu O, Kubota T, Ito H, et al. Diffusion anisotropy measurement of brain white matter is affected by voxel size: Underestimation occurs in areas with crossing fibers. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 2007;28(6):1102–6.
4. Leemans A, Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2009;61(6):1336–49.
5. Chang LC, Jones DK, Pierpaoli C. RESTORE: Robust estimation of tensors by outlier rejection. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2005;53(5):1088–95.
6. Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance - Series B*. 1996;111(3):209–19.
7. Mezer A, Yeatman JD, Stikov N, Kay KN, Cho NJ, Dougherty RF, et al. Quantifying the local tissue volume and composition in individual brains with magnetic resonance imaging. *Nature Medicine*. 2013;19(12):1667–72.
8. Mezer A, Rokem A, Berman S, Hastie T, Wandell BA. Evaluating quantitative proton-density-mapping methods. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2016;
9. Barral JK, Gudmundson E, Stikov N, Etezadi-Amoli M, Stoica P, Nishimura DG. A robust methodology for in vivo T1 mapping. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2010;
10. Chang LC, Cheng GK, Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Linear least-squares method for unbiased estimation of T1 from SPGR signals. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2008;
11. Avants BB, Tustison N, Song G. Advanced Normalization Tools ( ANTS ). *Insight Journal*. 2011;

12. Berman S, West KL, Does MD, Yeatman JD, Mezer AA. Evaluating g-ratio weighted changes in the corpus callosum as a function of age and sex. *NeuroImage*. 2017;
13. Tournier JD, Calamante F, Connelly A. MRtrix: Diffusion tractography in crossing fiber regions. *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*. 2012;
14. Yeatman JD, Dougherty RF, Myall NJ, Wandell BA, Feldman HM. Tract Profiles of White Matter Properties: Automating Fiber-Tract Quantification. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(11):e49790.
15. Duffau H. Stimulation mapping of white matter tracts to study brain functional connectivity. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2015 May;11(5):255–65.
16. Herbet G, Zemmoura I, Duffau H. Functional Anatomy of the Inferior Longitudinal Fasciculus: From Historical Reports to Current Hypotheses. *Front Neuroanat*. 2018;12:77.
17. Saur D, Kreher BW, Schnell S, Kümmerer D, Kellmeyer P, Vry M-S, et al. Ventral and dorsal pathways for language. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008 Nov 18;105(46):18035–40.
18. Vandermosten M, Boets B, Wouters J, Ghesquière P. A qualitative and quantitative review of diffusion tensor imaging studies in reading and dyslexia. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012 Jul;36(6):1532–52.
19. Catani M, Allin MPG, Husain M, Pugliese L, Mesulam MM, Murray RM, et al. Symmetries in human brain language pathways correlate with verbal recall. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007 Oct 23;104(43):17163–8.
20. Lebel C, Beaulieu C. Lateralization of the arcuate fasciculus from childhood to adulthood and its relation to cognitive abilities in children. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2009;30(11):3563–73.
21. Mishra A, Anderson AW, Wu X, Gore JC, Ding Z. An improved Bayesian tensor regularization and sampling algorithm to track neuronal fiber pathways in the language circuit. *Med Phys*. 2010 Aug;37(8):4274–87.
22. Travis KE, Adams JN, Ben-Shachar M, Feldman HM. Decreased and Increased Anisotropy along Major Cerebral White Matter Tracts in Preterm Children and Adolescents. *PloS one*. 2015;10(11):e0142860.
23. Yeatman JD, Dougherty RF, Rykhlevskaia E, Sherbondy AJ, Deutsch GK, Wandell BA, et al. Anatomical properties of the arcuate fasciculus predict phonological and reading skills in children. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*. 2011;23(11):3304–3317.