

S1 Table. Estimation of dispersion parameters k and mean intensity of infection μ from grouped data of the intensity of infection in each study of the systematic review by Brooker et al (19).

Authors (Study)	Study area	Target population	Date	Sample size	Proportion of people with infection (%)	Classes of intensity of infection for hookworms (epg *) (22)				Number of subjects infected by categories of intensity for hookworms				Factor of multiplication for egg counts estimation	Technique for egg counts estimated per gram	Initial value of the mean of total eggs seen	Mean intensity of infection (epg*) (μ)	Dispersion parameter (k)	Deviance (D)
						No infection	Light	Moderate	Heavy	No infection	Light	Moderate	Heavy						
Glover-Amengor, 2005 (36)	Ashanti Region, Ghana	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 15–49, 2003–2005	2004	86	8.1	0	1-1,999			79	7			-	not reported		- ^d		
Jackson, 1987 (37)	Monrovia, Liberia	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 14–43, 1985	1986	128	38.0	0	1-1,999			90	38			-	not reported		- ^d		
Rodriguez-Morales, 2006 (38)	Nine states, Venezuela	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 18–42, 2003–2004	2004	1038	8.1	0	1-1,999			271	767			-	Kato-Katz		- ^d		
Ayoya, 2006 (39)	Bamako, Mali	Women attending antenatal clinic	2002	131	8.2	0	1-1,999			121	10			-	Kato-Katz		- ^d		
Aikawa, 2006 (40)	Yen Thanh district, Vietnam	Community-based sample	2003	391	21.4	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	307	78	6		24 ^b	Kato-Katz	2.76	5.08	0.0528	450.10
Farag, 2007 (41)	Pemba Island, Zanzibar (Tanzania)	Women attending antenatal clinic	2004	970	32.9	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	651	278	24	17	24 ^b	Kato-Katz	10.27	12.38	0.0787	1529.18
Muhangi, 2007 (42)	Entebbe, Uganda	Women attending antenatal clinic	2005	2498	44.5	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	1386	1025	44	43	24 ^b	Kato-Katz	9.10	12.79	0.1268	4183.02
Larocque, 2005 (43)	Iquitos, Peru	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 18–42, 2003–2004	2003	1042	47.2	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	550	435	40	17	24 ^b	Kato-Katz	12.28	15.54	0.1344	1863.89
Sill, 1987 (28)	Papua New Guinea	Women attending antenatal clinic, 1985	1985	30	60.0	0	1-999	1000+		12	12	6		24 ^b	Kato-Katz	17.20	34.29	0.1731	63.30
Ndyomugenyi, 2008 (44)	Masindi, Uganda	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 14–42, 2003–2004	2004	832	66.6	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	274	456	46	52	20 ^c	Kato-Katz	30.80	42.40	0.2055	1708.06
Ajanga, 2006 (45)	Ukerewe Island, Tanzania	Women attending antenatal clinic aged 15–45, 2004	2004	972	56.4	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	424	538	4	6	24 ^b	Kato-Katz	3.30	9.22	0.2195	1462.74
Dreyfuss, 2000 (46)	Sarlahi district, Nepal	Community-based sample of micronutrient supplement in women aged 15-40, 1994-1997	1999	336	74.2	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	49	88	29	24	24 ^b	Kato-Katz	68.14	75.77	0.2446	482.62

Shulman, 1996 (47)	Kilifi, Kenya	Women attending antenatal clinic with aged 15-41, 1993	1993	251	74.9	0	1-1,999	2000-3999	≥ 4,000	63	162	18	18	50 ^b	McMaster	35.17	19.51	0.3439	529.65
-----------------------	---------------	--	------	-----	------	---	---------	-----------	---------	----	-----	----	----	-----------------	----------	-------	-------	--------	--------

^a epg: eggs per gram of faecal material

^b Two slides of 41.7 mg of faecal material per sample were assumed (22). In case of the McMaster method (48) being used, rather than Kato-Katz, a multiplication factor of 50 (rather than 24) was inferred for the total number of eggs.

^c Reported in the article.

^d Not estimated because at least three categories of infection intensity are required.