

Supplementary Table S1: Equations for the linear mixed models

Model	Equation
obesity-stratified	$T2D \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + gt + \lnBMI + k$
	$\lnFG \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + gt + \lnBMI + k$
genotype-stratified	$T2D \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + \lnBMI + k$
	$\lnFG \sim (cAge \times sex) + (cAge^2 \times sex) + cAge + sex + cAge^2 + \lnBMI + k$

T2D = type 2 diabetes, k = kinship random effect, gt = additive rs373863828 genotype, cAge = centered and scaled age

Supplementary Table S2: Effect of (a) lnBMI and (b) rs37386388 on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in each cohort, stratified by obesity status

a. Predictor: lnBMI

1990–95 Samoan cohort lnBMI				2002–03 Samoan cohort lnBMI				2010 Samoan cohort lnBMI				2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort lnBMI		
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes														
Stratum *	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p		
without obesity	546	0.194 (0.004, 10.430)	0.419	527	16.275 (1.65×10^{-5} , 1.61×10^7)	0.692	1,265	12.692 (2.141, 75.240)	0.005	873	6.104 (1.028, 36.257)	0.047		
with obesity	467	16.676 (1.411, 197.078)	0.026	556	6.032 (1.158, 31.416)	0.033	1,596	1.484 (0.515, 4.278)	0.465	1,251	7.807 (3.023, 20.159)	2.14×10^{-5}		
Dependent variable: lnFG														
Stratum *	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p		
without obesity	546	0.030 (0.080)	0.708	472	0.251 (0.082)	0.002	1,162	0.249 (0.060)	3.81×10^{-5}	**	**	**		
with obesity	467	0.232 (0.105)	0.028	460	0.127 (0.079)	0.629	1,425	0.023 (0.062)	0.717	**	**	**		

b. Predictor: rs37386388

1990–95 Samoan cohort rs37386388				2002–03 Samoan cohort rs37386388				2010 Samoan cohort rs37386388				2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort rs37386388			
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes															
Stratum *	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p			
without obesity	546	0.403 (0.139, 1.167)	0.093	527	0.484 (0.014, 16.738)	0.688	1,265	0.564 (0.404, 0.789)	8.27×10^{-4}	873	0.619 (0.421, 0.909)	0.014			
with obesity	467	0.783 (0.459, 1.338)	0.371	556	0.902 (0.608, 1.338)	0.608	1,596	0.625 (0.500, 0.781)	3.82×10^{-5}	1,251	0.800 (0.623, 1.028)	0.082			

	1990–95 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2002–03 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2010 Samoan cohort rs37386388			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort rs37386388		
Stratum *	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p
without obesity	546	−0.034 (0.014)	0.019	472	−0.045 (0.017)	0.010	1,162	−0.022 (0.011)	0.044	**	**	**
with obesity	467	−0.027(0.019)	0.159	460	−0.010 (0.020)	0.629	1,425	−0.042 (0.011)	2.34×10^{-4}	**	**	**

*without obesity: BMI ≤ 32 kg/m 2 ; with obesity: BMI > 32 kg/m 2

**Fasting glucose was not measured in the Aotearoa New Zealand cohort

Supplementary Table S3: Effect of lnBMI on type 2 diabetes and fasting glucose in each cohort, stratified by genotype

1990–95 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2002–03 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2010 Samoan cohort lnBMI			2006–2013 Aotearoa New Zealand cohort lnBMI			
Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes												
Stratum	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p	n	OR (95% CI)	p
GG	574	4.371 (0.497, 38.422)	0.184	643	3.728 (1.061, 13.097)	0.040	1,513	4.811 (2.179, 10.621)	1.01 × 10⁻⁴	1,381	9.189 (4.794, 17.614)	2.43 × 10⁻¹¹
GA	370	19.011 (1.433, 252.204)	0.026	392	29.548 (0.006, 1.47 × 10 ⁵)	0.436	1,116	8.576 (0.073, 1.0 × 10 ³)	0.375	669	5.912 (2.184, 16.001)	4.73 × 10⁻⁴
AA	69	**	**	48	*	*	232	1.774 (0.186, 16.928)	0.619	74	***	***
Dependent variable: lnFG												
Stratum	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p	n	β (s.e.)	p
GG	574	0.140 (0.061)	0.021	537	0.223 (0.049)	4.58 × 10⁻⁶	1,331	0.296 (0.037)	5.73 × 10⁻¹⁶	****	****	****
GA	370	0.148 (0.071)	0.038	353	0.263 (0.068)	1.17 × 10⁻⁴	1,036	0.219 (0.046)	2.08 × 10⁻⁶	****	****	****
AA	69	0.064 (0.121)	0.597	42	0.383 (0.126)	0.002	220	0.103 (0.088)	0.243	****	****	****

*Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 6) to run analysis.

**Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 2) to run analysis.

***Too few type 2 diabetes cases (n = 16) to run analysis.


****Fasting glucose was not measured in the Aotearoa New Zealand cohort

Supplementary Figure S1: Comparison of the cohort odds ratios and effect sizes in the obesity and genotype-stratified groups.

a. Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes (T2D)


InBMI → T2D

Genotype-stratified: GG Group



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.51; I^2 = 0; p = 0.473$

Genotype-stratified: GA Group




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.8; I^2 = 0; p = 0.849$

b. Dependent variable: lnFG


InBMI → lnFG

Genotype-stratified: GG Group




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 5.06; I^2 = 60.5; p = 0.079$

Genotype-stratified: GA Group



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.40; I^2 = 0; p = 0.498$

Genotype-stratified: AA Group




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 4.18; I^2 = 52.2; p = 0.124$

c. Dependent variable: type 2 diabetes (T2D)


rs37386388 → T2D

Obesity-stratified: without obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.59$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.899$


Obesity-stratified: with obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.54$; $I^2 = 15.4$; $p = 0.315$


InBMI → T2D

Obesity-stratified: without obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.55$; $I^2 = 15.4$; $p = 0.315$

Obesity-stratified: with obesity




Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 6.72$; $I^2 = 55.3$; $p = 0.082$

d. Dependent variable: lnFG


rs37386388 → lnFG

Obesity-stratified: without obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1.39$; $I^2 = 0$; $p = 0.500$


Obesity-stratified: with obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 4.4$; $I^2 = 2.09$; $p = 0.351$


InBMI → lnFG

Obesity-stratified: without obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 5.5$; $I^2 = 63.8$; $p = 0.063$


Obesity-stratified: with obesity



Test of heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.21$; $I^2 = 37.7$; $p = 0.201$

Caption: Forest plots for a comparison of the cohort odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals in the (a) genotype-stratified and (c) obesity-stratified models with type 2 diabetes as the dependent variable and a comparison of the cohort effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals in the (b) genotype-stratified and (d) obesity-stratified models with lnFG as the dependent variable. In the genotype-stratified analyses (a), there were too few type 2 diabetes cases to run the analyses in the 2002-03 Samoan, 1990-95 Samoan, and Aotearoa New Zealand cohorts.

Supplementary Figure S2: Pooled analysis Path Analysis Model with Study Cohort Variables



Caption: When study cohort variables (S1 – S5) are included in the model, the rs373863828 genotype (Gt) has both direct (blue) and indirect (red) effects on (a) type 2 diabetes (T2D) and (b) lnFG as mediated by lnBMI. For (a), the RMSEA is < 0.001 (90% CI 0.000–0.020) indicating that the path model is a very good fit. For (b), the RMSEA is < 0.064 (90% CI 0.041–0.090) indicating that the path model is a mediocre fit.