**Supplementary table S1: Comparison of singletons and twins**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Females (N=208)** | | | | **Males (N=183)** | | | |
|  | **Singletons (N=96)** | **Twins**  **(N=112)** |  |  | **Singletons**  **(N=86)** | **Twins**  **(N=97)** |  |  |
| **Parameters** | **Mean (SD)** | **Mean (SD)** | **Mean difference** | **P-value\*** | **Mean (SD)** | **Mean (SD)** | **Mean difference** | **P-value\*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (yrs) | 16.33(6.31) | 17.40 (6.53) | 0.17 | 0.234 | 15.32(7.11) | 17.03(6.75) | 0.27 | 0.097 |
| Birthweight (kg)$ | 3.13(0.44) | 2.35(0.36) | -1.40 | <0.000 | 3.06(0.35) | 2.48(0.55) | -1.05 | <0.000 |
| Height (Z-score) a | -0.35(1.45) | -0.62(0.96) | -0.27 | 0.110 | -0.60(0.96) | -0.74(0.88) | -0.15 | 0.275 |
| Weight (Z-score) b | -0.35(2.10) | -1.33(1.16) | -0.98 | 0.116 | -1.10(0.74) | -1.66(0.84) | -0.56 | 0.041 |
| BMI (Z-score) c | -0.23(1.18) | -0.47(1.21) | -0.24 | 0.147 | -1.04(1.07) | -1.24(1.21) | -0.21 | 0.226 |
| Sum of Skinfolds (Z-score) d | -0.13(1.18) | 0.11(1.08) | 0.25 | 0.075 | -0.12(0.72) | 0.11(0.92) | 0.43 | 0.004 |
| Estimated body fat % (Z-score) #d | -0.15(1.14) | 0.13(0.95) | 0.30 | 0.034 | -0.18(0.87) | 0.16(0.99) | 0.49 | 0.001 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) | 5.08(0.69) | 5.35(0.70) | 0.39 | 0.005 | 5.01(1.00) | 5.37(0.88) | 0.37 | 0.011 |
| 2h plasma glucose (mmol/l) | 6.38(1.19) | 6.67(1.13) | 0.25 | 0.074 | 6.18(1.31) | 6.61(1.20) | 0.33 | 0.023 |
| Total cholesterol (mg%) | 4.20(0.68) | 4.33(0.78) | 0.18 | 0.253 | 4.19(0.83) | 3.91(0.72) | -0.35 | 0.034 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg%) | 1.26(0.29) | 1.30(0.31) | 0.12 | 0.495 | 1.31(0.35) | 1.26(0.31) | -0.14 | 0.400 |
| Triglycerides (mg%) | 0.89(0.34) | 0.95(0.36) | 0.16 | 0.305 | 0.92(0.32) | 1.02(0.73) | 0.17 | 0.303 |

$ Birth weight available on 102 participants (Females: S (24), T (26), Males: S (22), T (30)); a Z scores based on WHO height-for-age criteria; b Z scores based on WHO weight-for-age criteria; c Z scores based on WHO BMI-for-age criteria; d Standardized Z scores calculated internally using regression method; # Estimated total fat % calculated by Durnin’s formula based on skinfolds; \* P-value calculated using T-test.

**Supplementary table S2: Difference between the twins compared to singletons in relation to Plasma glucose concentrations.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **F-statistics** | **P-value** |
| **Fasting plasma glucose** |  |  |
| **Model 1** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 15.29 | **<0.001** |
| Age | 0.164 | 0.685 |
| Sex | 0.000 | 0.983 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 2** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 15.92 | **<0.001** |
| Age | 0.228 | 0.633 |
| Sex | 0.011 | 0.915 |
| BMI | 0.192 | 0.661 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 3** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 15.77 | **<0.001** |
| Age | 0.229 | 0.633 |
| Sex | 0.037 | 0.848 |
| BMI | 0.084 | 0.772 |
| Skinfolds | 0.339 | 0.561 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 4** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 5.36 | **0.023** |
| Age | 3.475 | 0.065 |
| Sex | 5.281 | **0.024** |
| BMI | 0.731 | 0.395 |
| Skinfolds | 0.236 | 0.628 |
| Birthweight | 0.000 | 0.990 |
|  |  |  |
| **2-hr plasma glucose** |  |  |
| **Model 1** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 6.33 | **0.012** |
| Age | 0.069 | 0.793 |
| Sex | 0.000 | 0.989 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 2** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 6.26 | **0.013** |
| Age | 0.063 | 0.8026 |
| Sex | 0.009 | 0.925 |
| BMI | 0.005 | 0.944 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 3** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 6.18 | **0.013** |
| Age | 0.067 | 0.795 |
| Sex | 0.015 | 0.902 |
| BMI | 0.017 | 0.896 |
| Skinfolds | 1.235 | 0.267 |
|  |  |  |
| **Model 4** |  |  |
| Status (twin/singleton) | 2.88 | 0.093 |
| Age | 0.002 | 0.961 |
| Sex | 1.785 | 0.184 |
| BMI | 0.005 | 0.941 |
| Skinfolds | 0.873 | 0.352 |
| Birthweight | 0.007 | 0.935 |

Difference is calculated using ANCOVA; Model 1: Adjusted for age and sex; Model 2: Adjusted for age, sex and BMI; Model 3: Adjusted for age, sex, BMI and skinfolds; Model 4: Adjusted for age, sex, BMI, skinfolds and birthweight

**Appendix 1: Detail explanation of Linear Mixed Effect Model**

**Using lmer (lme4) to model correlation between related participants**

Lme4 is R package for dealing with correlated data via mixed models. The model used is described below.

**Notation**

identifies twins (1 for all twins, even those twins that do not have their sibling in the dataset, 0 for birth singletons). This is the “status” variable in the dataset.

identifies families. That is, any paired twins will share the same value for . Each singleton is assigned a unique value. Each unpaired twin is also assigned a unique value; that is, for modeling covariance, unpaired twins are treated as singletons. Note that for the fixed effect (for the above ), unpaired twins are still (appropriately) treated as a twin. This variable is called “famid” in the attached code.

identifies monozygotic twin pairs. Monozygotic twin pairs will share the same value for . Everyone else (even each member of dizygotic twin pairs) will get assigned a unique value for . This variable is called “mzygo.id” in the attached code.

identifies dizygotic twin pairs. Dizygotic twin pairs will share the same value for . Everyone else (even each member of monozygotic twin pairs) will get assigned a unique value for . This variable is called “dizygo.id” in the attached code.

**Model**

For the primary analysis, we have not considered the heritability or formal genetic models as the sample size is relatively small for these. Therefore, the most straightforward model would be to allow monozygotic twin pairs to have some covariance and dizygotic twin pairs to have some other totally independent covariance. We achieve this by specifying random intercepts for each level of and . Call the random intercept associated with and the random intercept associated with . The model is:

where is the error term. Note that for monozygotic twin pairs but (with the reverse for dizygotic twin pairs). Thus, for monozygotic twin pairs and for dizygotic twin pairs .

The main parameter of interest is (the twins effect).
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