


Easy-BILAG: a new tool for simplified recording of SLE disease activity using BILAG-2004

Supplementary Material

Contents:

1. Table S1: Key barriers to accurate routine use of BILAG-2004
2. Figure S1: Frequency of BILAG-2004 clinical items in a biologics registry (BILAG-BR) cohort BILAG-BR.
3. Figure S2: Easy-BILAG page 2 captures infrequent and rare BILAG-2004 clinical items.
4. Figure S3: Optional Easy-BILAG self-adjudication checklist.
5. Table S2: Characteristics of professionals participating in Easy-BILAG validation exercise.
6. Figure S4: Accuracy of Easy-BILAG by prior BILAG-2004 training and experience.

Supplementary table S1. Expert -identified priority themes for improving routine usability of BILAG-2004 index			
Barriers to BILAG-2004 reliable routine use	Inaccuracy	Inefficiency	Strategy for resolution in Easy-BILAG
Glossary definitions for clinical items are not closely or uniformly adhered to. BILAG-2004 glossary exists as separate document to case report form and scoring document.	●	●	Incorporation of abbreviated glossary definitions adjacent to clinical items where possible.
All 97 clinical items require scoring in list format. Common clinical features must be located among many rarer manifestations.		●	Re-structure formatting to enhance visibility of common items in each domain. Screen for and grade rare items only when necessary.
Structure of scoring index does not match flow of typical clinical consultation.		●	Re-structure formatting to align with typical clinical consultation.
Deriving overall A-E domain scores requires multiple intricate algorithms which exist as separate document to the case report form	●	●	Uniform colour coding to simplify application of A-E scores across organ domains within single document.
BILAG-2004 scoring instructions (e.g. improvement criteria, trickle down rule, attribution to disease SLE activity) are not closely and uniformly adhered to. Formal training may not be universally accessible.	●		Supplementary key scoring instructions and self- adjudication checklist made available alongside Easy-BILAG.



Supplementary Figure S1: Frequency of BILAG-2004 clinical items in a biologics registry (BILAG-BR) cohort BILAG-BR.

Histogram colour-coded by organ domain, shows frequency of all BILAG-2004 clinical items recorded as 'improving', 'same', worse' or 'new' among patients with active SLE enrolled in BILAG-BR. Numerical items (full blood count indices, blood pressure, creatinine and eGFR) are mandatory to all records, irrespective of abnormality or attribution to SLE disease activity and were therefore not evaluated. Item frequency is shown as percentage of total records analysed.

			Nil	Imp	Same	Worse	New
ITEM			ABBREVIATED GLOSSARY				
Gastrointestinal	Lupus peritonitis	Serositis presenting as acute abdomen with rebound/guarding.	0	1	2	3	4
	Abdominal serositis or ascites	Not presenting as acute abdomen.	0	1	2	3	4
	Lupus enteritis / colitis	Vasculitis or inflammation of small or large bowel, with supportive imaging &/or biopsy.	0	1	2	3	4
	Malabsorption	Diarrhoea + abnormal D-xylose absorption / ↑ faecal fat losses. Exclude Coeliac & gut vasculitis.	0	1	2	3	4
	Protein-losing enteropathy	See detailed glossary.	0	1	2	3	4
	Intestinal pseudo-obstruction	Subacute intestinal obstruction due to intestinal hypomotility.	0	1	2	3	4
	Lupus hepatitis	Raised transaminases, without ALH specific autoantibodies. Exclude drug- & viral hepatitis.	0	1	2	3	4
	Acute lupus cholecystitis	Exclude gallstones or infection.	0	1	2	3	4
	Acute lupus pancreatitis	Usually associated with multisystem involvement.	0	1	2	3	4
Ophthalmic	Orbital inflam / myositis / proptosis	Orbital inflammation + myositis / extra-ocular muscle swelling / proptosis. Imaging required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Keratitis - severe	Sight-threatening. Includes corneal melt and peripheral ulcerative keratitis.	0	1	2	3	4
	Keratitis - mild	Not sight-threatening.	0	1	2	3	4
	Anterior uveitis		0	1	2	3	4
	Post. uveitis/retinal vasculitis - severe	Sight-threatening and/or retinal vasculitis not due to vaso-occlusive disease.	0	1	2	3	4
	Post. uveitis/retinal vasculitis - mild	Not sight-threatening. Not due to vaso-occlusive disease.	0	1	2	3	4
	Episcleritis		0	1	2	3	4
	Scleritis - severe	Necrotising anterior scleritis. Ant &/or post scleritis requiring systemic therapy.	0	1	2	3	4
	Scleritis - mild	Anterior/posterior scleritis not requiring systemic steroids.	0	1	2	3	4
	Retinal / choroidal vaso-occlusive disease	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Isolated cottonwool spots	Also known as cystoid bodies.	0	1	2	3	4
	Optic neuritis	Exclude anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy.	0	1	2	3	4
	Anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy	Visual loss with pale swollen optic disc due to occlusion of posterior ciliary arteries.	0	1	2	3	4

OTHER PAGE 1 FEATURES: Record here and update the score for that domain on page one:

Mucocutan	Angio-oedema - severe	Urticaria variant in subcut, submucosal & deep dermal tissues. Potentially life-threatening.	0	1	2	3	4
	Angio-oedema - mild	As above but not life-threatening.	0	1	2	3	4
	Panniculitis/ bullous lupus - severe	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Panniculitis/ bullous lupus - mild	Affects <9% BSA & does not fulfil any criteria for severe panniculitis.	0	1	2	3	4
	Major cutaneous vasculitis/thrombosis	Cutaneous vasculitis/thrombosis → extensive gangrene /ulceration / skin infarction.	0	1	2	3	4
	Splinter haemorrhages		0	1	2	3	4
MSK	Myositis - severe	Significantly ↑ muscle enzymes with significant muscle weakness.	0	1	2	3	4
	Myositis - mild	Significantly ↑ muscle enzymes + myalgia but no significant muscle weakness.	0	1	2	3	4
Cardiorespiratory	Myocarditis - mild	↑ cardiac enzymes &/or ECG changes. No heart failure/arrhythmia/valve dysfunction.	0	1	2	3	4
	Myo /Endocarditis + cardiac failure	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Arrhythmias	Due to myocarditis / non-infective inflammation. ECG evidence required.	0	1	2	3	4
	New valvular dysfunction	Due to myocarditis / non-infective inflammation. Supportive imaging required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cardiac tamponade	Supportive imaging required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Pulmonary haemorrhage/vasculitis	With haemoptysis &/or dyspnoea &/or pulmonary HTN. Imaging &/or histology required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Shrinking lung syndrome	Acute ↓ lung volumes (< 70% predicted) + normal corrected Kco. Diaphragmatic dysfunction.	0	1	2	3	4
	Aortitis	+/- dissection with supportive imaging, claudication, bruits or BP discrepancy >10 mmHg.	0	1	2	3	4
	Coronary vasculitis	Imaging evidence of non-atheromatous coronary narrowing/ obstruction /aneurysm.	0	1	2	3	4
Neuropsychiatric	Aseptic meningitis	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cerebral vasculitis	With features of vasculitis in another system. Supportive imaging &/or biopsy required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Demyelinating syndrome	Discrete white matter lesion + neurological deficit. Ideally ≥1 prior recorded event. Exclude MS.	0	1	2	3	4
	Myelopathy	Acute onset, rapidly evolving paraparesis, quadriparesis and/or sensory level. Exclude SOL.	0	1	2	3	4
	Acute confusional state	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Psychosis	Delusions &/or hallucinations. Excludes primary psychotic disorder, drugs or during delirium.	0	1	2	3	4
	Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cranial neuropathy	Exclude optic neuropathy which is classified under ophthalmic system.	0	1	2	3	4
	Plexopathy	Supportive electrophysiology study required.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cognitive dysfunction	Sufficient to impair ADLs. Includes attention, memory, language, visuospatial, psychomotor.	0	1	2	3	4
	Status epilepticus	A seizure or seizures lasting >30 minutes without full recovery to baseline.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cerebrovascular disease	Not vasculitis. See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Movement disorder	Exclude drug-induced.	0	1	2	3	4
	Autonomic disorder	See detailed glossary table.	0	1	2	3	4
	Cerebellar ataxia	Cerebellar ataxia in isolation of other CNS features. Usually subacute presentation.	0	1	2	3	4
	Severe lupus headache	Unrelenting, Disabling, unresponsive to narcotics, >3 days. Exclude SOL and CNS infection.	0	1	2	3	4
	Headache from intracranial hypertension	Exclude cerebral sinus thrombosis.	0	1	2	3	4
Haem	TTP	Micro-angiopathic haemolytic anaemia + thrombocytopenia. Other causes excluded.	0	1	2	3	4
	Coombs positive (isolated)	Without evidence of haemolysis.	Negative		Positive		

Supplementary Figure S2: Easy-BILAG page 2 captures infrequent and rare BILAG-2004 clinical items.

Easy-BILAG page 2 in full demonstrates structure of the scoring template for uncommon and rare SLE features not captured by page 1. Items are organised within tables by organ domain. Gastrointestinal and Ophthalmic domains are represented in full, followed by infrequently scored items from the Mucocutaneous (Mucocutan), Musculoskeletal (MSK), Cardiorespiratory, Neuropsychiatric and Haematological (Haem) domains, signposted on page 1. Scoring of items from 'not present' (0) to 'new' (4) is assisted by colour coding which translates to overall organ domain scores from A (blue), B (pink), C (yellow) to D or E (white) as directed by the key on Easy-BILAG page 1 (See Figure 1). If any page 2 items are recorded, an updated domain score for each organ domain is assigned in the free text space provided on Easy-BILAG page 1.

EASY -BILAG


Self- Adjudication Checklist

1. All items scored are attributable to active SLE ☐
2. All abnormal blood and urine results scored are attributable to active SLE ☐
3. The 'trickle down rule' has been applied:
Any item scored as 'severe' should also be scored as 'mild' ☐
4. If completed at monthly intervals confirm:
Any item scored 'same' or 'worse' was also scored last month ☐
Any item scored 'new' last month is also scored this month ☐
5. Items scored as improving have been *either* consistently improving over 2 weeks *or* fully resolved for at least 7 days *and* improvement is sufficient to consider alteration in therapy ☐

Supplementary Figure S3. Optional Easy-BILAG self-adjudication check-list.

An optional five-item checklist to follow completion of Easy-BILAG is designed to assist use of Easy-BILAG in clinical research and mitigate against common inconsistencies which arise in BILAG-2004 assessments during clinical trials.

Supplementary table S2. Characteristics of professionals participating in Easy-BILAG validation exercise			
	All n = 33	Standard BILAG-2004 Index n = 17	Easy BILAG n = 16
Clinical Role			
<i>Consultant Rheumatologist</i>	12 (36.4)	5 (29.4)	7 (43.8)
<i>Speciality Trainee</i>	15 (45.5)	9 (52.9)	6 (37.5)
<i>Clinical Academic</i>	3 (9.1)	1 (5.9)	2 (12.5)
<i>Clinical Nurse Specialist</i>	3 (9.1)	2 (11.8)	1 (6.3)
Prior BILAG-2004 training	18 (54.5)	8 (47.1%)	10 (62.5)
Workplace			
<i>General hospital</i>	13 (39.4)	8 (47.1)	5 (31.3)
<i>Tertiary centre</i>	20 (60.6)	9 (52.9)	11 (68.8)
Current BILAG-2004 use			
<i>Regularly</i>	10 (27.3)	3 (17.6)	7 (43.8)
<i>Occasional or rarely</i>	23 (69.7)	14 (82.4)	9 (56.3)



Supplementary Figure S4. Accuracy of Easy-BILAG by prior BILAG-2004 training and experience.

Boxplots show performance of Easy-BILAG (blue) versus standard format BILAG-2004 (white) across different levels of prior BILAG-2004 training and experience 2004 in the self-timed validation workbook exercise. For clinicians with prior BILAG-2004 training, scoring accuracy (% accuracy; *median* (Q_1 , Q_2)) against model answers for active organ domains (A) was significantly higher using Easy-BILAG ($n = 10$; 95.7 (91.3, 97.8)) than standard format BILAG-2004 ($n = 8$; 79.3 (75.0, 89.1), $p = 0.01$). Among those without prior training there was also a lesser effect ($p = 0.2$) but accuracy of clinicians using Easy-BILAG was not significantly different between those with and without prior training ($p = 0.30$). Scoring accuracy for active domains among clinicians who currently use BILAG-2004 infrequently in practice (B) was significantly higher with Easy-BILAG ($n = 9$; 91.3 (89.1, 95.7)) than with standard format BILAG-2004 ($n = 15$; 78.3 (62.5, 89.1), $p = 0.05$). Clinicians using BILAG-2004 regularly also showed trend to higher accuracy with Easy-BILAG ($n = 7$; 95.6 (94.6, 97.8)) and standard format BILAG-2004 ($n = 2$; median = 84.8; $p = 0.09$) though numbers for comparison were smaller. Scoring accuracy using Easy-BILAG was not significantly different between clinicians using existing BILAG-2004 regularly and infrequently in current practice ($p = 0.15$). ** $p \leq 0.01$ * $p \leq 0.05$, ns – non significant, $p > 0.05$