

Supplementary Material

Table of Contents

OUTCOMES AND CONSTRUCTS OF INTEREST	1
TABLE S1: TOOL SELECTION	4
DETAILED METHODS	9
1. COGNITIVE FUNCTION	9
2. BODY COMPOSITION AND ANTHROPOMETRY	10
3. PHYSICAL FUNCTION	11
4. CAREGIVER QUESTIONNAIRE	12
SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS TABLES.....	14
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE	16
REFERENCES	17

Outcomes and constructs of interest

The SAHARAN toolbox combines measures of growth, body composition, cognitive and physical function to provide an overall assessment of child function. This new combined tool was designed to enable us to identify relationships between domains which are not usually measured simultaneously. Here we describe the different constructs of interest and the choice of measurement instruments used, based on the COSMIN study design checklist, and the methodology used for pre-testing and piloting, following principles previously described¹.

1. School-aged Cognitive function

Cognitive function is best assessed using multiple tools that measure distinct domains. Cognition is affected by multiple factors including undernutrition, nurturing, maternal and child interactions and stimulation². Children in low-resource settings often have multiple exposures including socioeconomic adversity, undernutrition and lack of psychosocial support³. These may have differential impacts on cognitive domains, reinforcing the need to measure multiple domains, as described below.

1.1 Child functional summary

A measure of overall child function can determine difficulties in sight, hearing and mobility, as well as socioemotional areas including behaviour, learning and psychological functioning⁴. By focusing on what the child may have difficulty doing, this provides an overview that is complementary to specific areas of cognitive function.

1.2 Cognitive processing

Traditional intelligence testing methods relied on measuring *acquired knowledge*, such as intelligence quotient (IQ) testing. These tools were frequently developed in Western settings with high school enrolment rates: their use in low-resource settings is contentious, particularly in areas with variable schooling exposure⁵. Therefore, tools that measure *learning potential* are often preferred in low-resource environments⁵. These measure 'cognitive processing', which encapsulates the underlying processes and skills necessary to solve tasks, and are less sensitive to cultural and schooling bias^{5 6}. Hence a tool that measures cognitive processing across several domains of short and long-term learning memory, spatial reasoning and problem solving is preferred.

1.3 Academic skills

Complementary to cognitive processing, traditional measures of educational achievement are also important predictors for later academic and socioemotional function⁷:

- i) **Literacy**: Early measures of language are indicative of future function including literacy, behaviour and socioemotional function⁸. Unless children learn to read at an early age, they cannot absorb more advanced skills and content that rely on reading, and typically fall behind in educational achievement⁹. Similarly, writing skills such as the child's name¹⁰ or dictation of words have also been demonstrated to be discriminatory for early-grade schooling exposure¹¹ and emergent literacy skills¹⁰.
- ii) **Mathematical skills**: Mathematical literacy (including numeracy) is increasingly recognised as a core skill in everyday life¹². Hence measuring early-age mathematical capabilities similarly predicts later engagement, learning and educational achievement¹³.

1.4 Executive function

Executive function includes universal skills such as inhibitory control, working memory, attention, and cognitive flexibility¹⁴. These skills enable children to plan, focus attention, set and achieve goals. They are highly related both to school readiness in young children¹⁵ and later educational outcomes¹⁶. Executive function is also related both to socioemotional function¹⁵ and academic skills^{7 15}. These goal-directed behaviours may be viewed as culturally universal skills¹⁷ and therefore a tool that can specifically measure executive function may be included in future measurements.

1.5 Behaviour and Socioemotional function

Behavioural and emotional problems are common in children. Externalising behavioural problems such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder may occur in up to 9% of preschool children in high-income settings¹⁸ and this may be higher in some low-resource settings¹⁹. Child mental health (including behavioural measures) is increasingly recognised as an important measure affecting cognitive function²⁰. Cognitive, academic, executive and socioemotional function are inter-related both in early childhood²¹ and adolescence²². Therefore, this domain is also included and often measured through interview of caregivers or teachers^{19 23}.

1.6 Fine motor function

Fine motor coordination is important for completion of many tasks, and has previously been identified to be reduced in stunted children, particularly those with worse academic function²⁴. Reduced ability in fine motor function has also been associated with low birthweight and reduced socioemotional function²⁵, as well as reduced academic function²⁶. This may be in part due to lags in development²⁷.

2. School-aged physical function

Systematic reviews have shown that child physical function and health are best assessed by combining separate measures of cardiovascular fitness, muscular strength and body composition^{28 29}. For example, cross-sectional data have shown that both cardiorespiratory fitness and muscular strength in children are associated with lower cardiovascular disease risk factors in later life (including lower blood pressure, blood lipids and adiposity)^{28 30}. There is also strong evidence that changes in muscular strength from childhood to adolescence are negatively associated with changes in overall adiposity²⁸. Therefore, physical function measurements should include both cardiovascular fitness and muscular strength.

The physical function tests selected were similar to the ALPHA²⁹ and PREFIT³¹ test batteries. The choice of tests was based on a systematic review of the literature,³² combined with what had been previously piloted in LMIC (Kerac, personal communication). There is increasing evidence of physical activity being associated with improved cognitive ability, but this is mainly in higher income settings³³. Physical fitness has also been described as a powerful marker of child health and future cardiovascular risk³⁰. With the double burden of malnutrition, there is a rising trend of obesity and overweight children in sub-Saharan Africa, and increasing interest in methods to measure physical fitness³⁴.

3. Growth

There is emerging evidence that stunted growth in early life affects later height, body composition and physical parameters such as blood pressure^{35 36}. Body composition at school-age and adolescence (measured using body mass index, skinfold thickness and waist circumference) is associated with cardiovascular risk factors such as blood lipids and carotid artery narrowing²⁸. There is also strong evidence indicating that a high BMI in childhood and adolescence increases the risk of death later in life²⁸. A recent review highlighted that interventions that solely improve linear growth, are only weakly associated with cognitive function³⁷. There is some intriguing emerging evidence that body composition at birth is associated with socioemotional function, with increasing lean mass improving socioemotional health and fat mass decreasing it³⁸. This likely reflects maternal life history trade-offs among offspring³⁹. Therefore growth measurements included both anthropometry and body composition.

Table S1: Tool selection

Domain	Sub-domain	Tool	Outcome(s)	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness
Cognitive Function	Cognitive Processing	Kaufmann Assessment Battery for Children (KABC2)	KABC2 measures across four domains of sequential, planning, learning and simultaneous scales. 8 core subtests can be combined as the mental processing index (MPI) to provide a global outcome	The KABC2 was originally developed and validated using a large sample in the USA ⁴⁰ . It has since been widely used across Africa ⁴¹ , demonstrating robust factor analysis in Uganda ⁴² and psychometric validity in rural South Africa ⁴³ . The KABC2 group of cognitive tests show less bias to school exposure in low-income settings ⁴³ .	Reliability has been demonstrated in USA ⁴⁰ and South Africa ⁴⁴ . Recently, the QualiND model has demonstrated improved KABC-2 monitoring and quality assurance using regular video review ⁴⁵ across multiple countries and languages in Africa, including with a Shona translation in Zimbabwe ⁴⁵	Using KABC-2, a significant effect on cognition was detected with a nutrition intervention in South African children aged 6-11 years on two of the subtests ⁴⁶ whilst in Ethiopia, 5 year olds with poorer growth also had worse KABC-2 scores ⁴⁷ . HIV positive children performed significantly worse than HIV-negative children in South Africa, Zimbabwe, Malawi and Uganda ⁴⁸ . Similarly in separate studies in Burkina Faso, both stunted children ⁴⁹ and those exposed to alcohol in pregnancy ⁴⁹ performed significantly worse on KABC-2 subtests.
Cognitive Function	Executive Function	Plus EF ¹	Inhibitory control: Hearts and Flowers (H&F)(note adapted to be stars and flowers for use in Africa) Inhibit interference: MSIT The flanker task requires (spatial)	The <i>PLUS-EF</i> tablet-based executive function tool, is an open-source android-based cognitive assessment tool that has been validated for school-aged children ¹⁶ . It has been adapted for use in the <i>PLUS - EF</i> tablet tests in urban Kenya ⁵⁰ . It measures executive function including cognitive flexibility and inhibition using different tasks, of which 3 were used: Multi-source interference test, stars and flowers and flanker test. These tasks have been adapted for use in the <i>PLUS - EF</i> tablet tests in Kenya after extensive	Each individual test has shown reliability for an individual assessment situation, with quoted Cronbach alpha of MSIT 0.91 and H&F 0.81 ¹⁶ . A separate analysis of the Flanker task has shown good test-retest reliability and internal consistency, with Cronbach alpha >0.8 ⁵³ .	MSIT performance has been shown to improve with age and brain function mapped using functional MRI ⁵⁴ . The MSIT has also previously demonstrated an effect of socioeconomic status, subjective social status and perceived stress on children's executive function ⁵⁵ . Hearts and Flowers has previously shown detrimental effects of moving home and socioeconomic status ⁵⁶ as well as positive impacts of schooling exposure with time ⁵⁷ .

¹ Note that the Plus EF tool was only added towards the end of this study and so data for Plus EF are not presented in this paper.

Domain	Sub-domain	Tool	Outcome(s)	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness
			selective attention and executive control.	piloting⁵⁰. [Note this only got added later so data are not presented in this paper]. Similar tests have been widely used across childhood, although mainly in high-income settings⁵¹. The MSIT has been shown to reliably activate the cingulo-frontal-parietal (CFP) cognitive/attention network by functional MRI⁵².		The Flanker test has previously showed improved selective attention with age in 4 to 6 year olds ⁵⁸ and its inhibitory control is closely associated with school readiness ⁵⁹ .
Cognitive Function	Fine motor	Finger tapping	Time to perform sequential finger tapping	<i>The Rapid Sequential Continuous Movements</i> was a sensitive measure of fine motor skills that was associated with stunting in children in Jamaica ²⁴ .	During development, test-retest reliability was >0.78 and inter-observer agreements were above 0.96 ⁶⁰ .	An impact of stunting was shown, as well as strong associations with a schooling achievement test and intelligence quotient (IQ) ⁶⁰ .
Cognitive Function	Academic function	School achievement test	Numeracy, literacy, Writing ability	Numeracy were assessed using elements from the Early Grade Maths Assessment⁹ and UNICEF Multi-Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) Foundational Learning Module⁶¹, which had been widely applied across Zimbabwe. Literacy was assessed using reading elements from <i>The Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA)</i>, which has been widely used across Africa to assess literacy⁹. Name writing ability has been shown to associated with emergent literacy skills¹⁰.	EGMA report reliability with Spearman's rho of above 0.94 for number identification, discrimination and missing number subtests, with Cronbach alpha of 0.94, 0.82 and 0.58 respectively¹³. MICS used very similar questions with strong inter-rate reliability and agreement with EGRA and EGMA tests⁶². EGRA report reliability in Liberia of the 3 main elements used: letter identification, familiar word reading and non-word reading had Cronbach Alpha values of 0.78, 0.74 and 0.80 respectively⁶³.	EGRA has been previously used to assess individual levels of literacy in Kenya ⁶⁴ . EGRA has been used to monitor also early grade reading interventions ⁶⁵ . The overall structure of the test, has been similarly piloted in Bangladesh and is being used to assess children followed up in the WASH Benefits trial ⁶⁶ (Tofail, personal communication).
	Socioemotional function	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire	Total difficulties score	<i>The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)</i> is a brief screening caregiver questionnaire for child mental	Parent-reported total difficulties scores gave a Cronbach alpha of >0.77 in a large sample in Holland,	This tool recently demonstrated the impact of LNS in similar aged children in Ghana ⁶⁹ .

Domain	Sub-domain	Tool	Outcome(s)	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness
				health and behavioural problems from age 3-16 years. Both parent and teacher version have been shown to have construct and prediction validity in Holland ⁶⁷ . It has been widely used in Africa ²³ and found to be highly acceptable and applicable in sub-Saharan African settings ⁶⁸ .	although sub-scores were lower (0.42 to 0.8) ⁶⁷ .	
Cognitive Function	Sensory and overall function	WG UNICEF child functioning module (CFM)	Overall score	This tool was developed across multiple countries by UNICEF with extensive pretesting, cognitive interviewing and adaption ^{70 71} .	The child functioning module was successfully performed in Mexico, Samoa and Serbia. It provided consistent prevalence rates similar to other tools using cut-offs describing 'a lot of difficulty' in functional domains or 'daily' levels of anxiety ⁷² .	The CFM has also been previously used in the SHINE cohort at age 2 years and demonstrated good agreement in comparison with functional screening using the Malawi Development Assessment Tool (MDAT) ^{73 74} .
Growth	Body composition	Bioimpedance	Impedance index (relative lean mass) Lean mass index, Phase angle	<i>Bioimpedance (BIA)</i> measures tissue health and the proportion of lean mass using an imperceptible electrical signal between the hand and foot ⁷⁵ . This has been widely used globally to assess malnutrition ⁷⁶ , and the technique has been validated and calibration equations derived using other body composition techniques such as deuterium dilution ⁷⁷ in the Gambia.		Bioimpedance has been used to show accretion of lean mass in children recovering from severe acute malnutrition (SAM) ⁷⁶ . It has also been previously used in the SHINE study and showed a reduction with stunting (unpublished data). Lean mass correlates with organ size ⁷⁸ , improved neurodevelopment ⁷⁹ and reduced metabolic risk ⁸⁰ . An Ethiopian birth cohort study showed an association between lean mass at birth and socio-emotional function measured using the SDQ ³⁸ .
Growth	Body composition	Skinfold thickness		<i>Skinfold thickness</i> measures the subcutaneous fat layer around the body and describes its distribution. Triceps and maximal calf skinfold thicknesses give a	Acceptable inter-observer agreement using technical error of measurement was <1mm, within the ChroSAM study ⁸¹ .	Fat mass provides short-term benefits for survival ⁸² , but has longer-term metabolic health costs. Skinfold thickness and its distribution is a useful measure that

Domain	Sub-domain	Tool	Outcome(s)	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness
				measure of peripheral fat, whilst subscapular skinfolds measure central fat.		reflects child malnutrition ⁷⁶ and also as a marker for chronic disease risk ⁸³ . They have also been previously used in the SHINE study and showed a reduction with stunting and HIV-exposure (unpublished data).
Growth	Anthropometry		Height, Weight, Head circumference, Waist circumference, Hip circumference, Mid-upper arm circumference, Calf circumference,	<i>2d) Anthropometry:</i> Height and weight provide body mass index (BMI) which is an important marker of metabolic health ⁸³ , together with waist circumference ⁸⁴ . Head circumference is a reliable measure of brain growth and previous nutritional deprivation, and is highly correlated with neurodevelopment ⁸⁵ . Calf circumference and mid-upper arm circumference are complementary to skinfold thicknesses in providing insights into the quality of growth ⁸⁶ .	Intra-observer technical error of measurement varied between 1 to 7 mm in the ChroSAM study ⁸¹ .	
Growth	Knee-heel length		Knee-heel length	<i>Knee-heel (tibial) length</i> is a more sensitive measure of poor growth than leg length or stature and hence may be disproportionately reduced in stunting ⁸⁷ .		There is emerging evidence that knee-heel length is a proxy for organ size, e.g. kidney in stunted children ⁸⁸ . It has also been previously used in the SHINE study and showed a reduction with stunting and HIV-exposure (unpublished data).
Physical function	Strength	Handgrip strength	Average Handgrip strength	Handgrip strength is one of the core tests both within the ALPHA ²⁹ and PREFIT ³¹ test batteries. This has been selected from a systematic review of the literature, ³² combined with what had been previously piloted in Malawi (Kerac, personal communication).	Previous studies had shown high reliability coefficients=0.97 and 0.98 for right and left hands, respectively, and no difference between test and retest ^{29 89} .	<i>Handgrip strength</i> can be reduced in stunting ⁹⁰ and in long-term assessments after acute malnutrition ⁸⁶ .
Physical function	Strength	Broad jump	Distance jumped	Broad jump is one of the core tests both within the ALPHA ²⁹ and PREFIT ³¹ test batteries. This was similarly	The broad jump has demonstrated good criterion validity and reliability. It had the strongest	<i>The broad jump</i> is a measure of truncal tone and fitness ⁹⁰ and has been shown to

Domain	Sub-domain	Tool	Outcome(s)	Validity	Reliability	Responsiveness
				recommended from systematic review of the literature, ³² as a validated test of core muscular fitness ⁹¹ . It had been previously piloted in Malawi (Kerac, personal communication).	association with a range of both lower body muscular strength tests (eg vertical jump, squat jump and countermovement jump) and upper body strength tests (throw basketball, push ups and isometric strength) ⁹¹ .	be reduced in stunted children in South Africa ⁹² .
Physical function	Cardiovascular fitness	Shuttle Run Test	Level reached	<i>The 20 meter shuttle run test (SRT)</i> is used to measure physical and aerobic capacity ⁹³ . It has been shown to have good criterion related validity for cardiorespiratory fitness in both adults and children ⁹⁴ . The criterion validity of the 20 m shuttle run test has been shown to be superior to similar measures of cardiovascular fitness such as the mile walk/run test ^{29 95} .	Reliability has been stated to be acceptable with no systematic bias ^{29 95} .	Stunting was a strong predictor of decreased fitness in the beep test when applied in Kenya ⁹⁶
Blood Pressure				BP can be increased in stunting, particularly in combination with overweight ⁹⁷ .		BP in 8 year-olds in Nepal was independently negatively associated with leg and kidney length ⁷⁸ , and is a marker of homeostatic reserve and later cardio-metabolic risk ⁹⁸ .

Supplementary Table S1: Individual tools used within the SAHARAN toolbox, with outcomes, validity, reliability and responsiveness based on previous literature.

Detailed methods

1. Cognitive function

	MARKER	MEASURE	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes	RATIONALE
Cognitive Function (120 mins)	K-ABC2	Memory, spatial abilities, reasoning	Mental Processing Index (MPI)	Subtest scores	Measures cognitive processing: less schooling dependent
	School Achievement Test	Total score	Subtest scores	Academic	Literacy & numeracy
	Fine motor	Sequential finger tapping speed	Combined time to complete 2 tasks	Finger tapping	Fine motor
	Plus-EF	Executive Function	Accuracy in 3 executive tests	Individual subtest scores, reaction time	Executive function
	Child socio-emotional questionnaire	Home support	Total score		Child's own perspective on home environment
	Washington Group Child function module (asked in caregiver questionnaire)	Disability screening, including vision and hearing	Overall score	Hearing, vision, mobility problem subscale	Child functional abilities
	SDQ (asked in caregiver questionnaire)	Socioemotional function	SDQ total score	SDQ subtest scores	Behaviour

Supplementary Table S2: cognitive measurement tools and outcomes used in the SAHARAN toolbox

1.1 The 8 core subtests within the *Kaufmann Assessment Battery for Children 2nd Edition (K-ABC2)* were the primary outcome for cognition: Their scaled sum provided the mental processing index (MPI). It is available from www.pearson.com. The subtests selected were Atlantis, Story completion, Number recall, Atlantis delayed, Rover, Triangles, Word Order and pattern reasoning⁴³. Online training was kindly provided by data collectors and trainers on zoom based in Uganda, Zimbabwe⁴⁸ and South Africa⁴³.

1.2 *The School Achievement Test* design was guided from piloting and similar tests currently being used in school-aged follow-up of the WASH Benefits trial⁶⁶ (Tofail F, private communication). During the test, the child started with numeracy, then moved to reading letters, then syllables and then words in the child's preferred language (79/80 children chose Shona, 1 chose English).

1.3 *Fine motor function* was guided by sharing training videos during pre-testing (Dr Chang-Lopez, private communication). The shortest time to complete the task of sequential finger tapping six times was the primary outcome. The data collector demonstrated first, then the child did several practice sessions before doing it 3 times in a row to ensure they could perform sequential finger tapping without stopping. Then each child was timed to see how fast they did sequential finger tapping 6 times

in a row. They repeated this for a total of 3 times on each hand and the fastest time was used for analysis. The average between the 2 fastest times for each hand was also calculated.

1.4 The *PLUS-EF* tablet-based executive function tool, is an open-source android-based cognitive assessment tool. It measures executive function including cognitive flexibility and inhibition using 4 different tasks, of which 3 were used in this study (Multi-Source interference test (MSIT), hearts and stars, and flanker subtests) and their combined score was a primary outcome. Before each task, the child did training subtests with the data collector supporting with explanations and demonstrating how to hold the tablet in a standardised way (one hand each side of the tablet with thumbs free). The child did training subtests on the tablet which provided instant feedback to ensure standardised training and understanding before each task. The total Plus-EF score was calculated by summing the scores from all the tests taken together (excluding the practice tests). [Note that the Plus-EF was only added towards the end of this study, so data not shown].

1.5 *The Child Socioemotional Questionnaire* investigated the child's viewpoint on their socioemotional support within the home, together with one final question on food security. Four of the questions were previously used during an evaluation of a teacher's program in Zambia (MPES)⁹⁹. In addition, 2 questions were previously used during a pilot study for UNICEF called Healthy Promoting Schools (HPS) (Dr Lisa Langhaug, private communication). All questions were cognitively interviewed and pre-tested before being used in the study.

1.6 The Washington Group / UNICEF child functioning module (WG) is an international screening tool used to identify children with disabilities⁷². This has been previously used in Zimbabwe and correlated with the validated Malawi Development Assessment Test (MDAT) score⁷⁴ at 24 months. It was used to assess caregiver-reported difficulties in hearing, vision, learning, communication or behaviour. A laminated pictorial Likert scale of answers was also used to help visualise responses.

1.7 *The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)* is a brief screening caregiver questionnaire for child mental health and behavioural problems from age 3-16 years. The SDQ asks the caregiver to describe their child's behaviour during the past 6 months, using 25 questions. Responses were scored on a Likert scale from 0-2 to give a "Total difficulties score" which was a primary outcome. The tool in English is free to download online (<https://www.sdqinfo.com/>). The total difficulties score was a primary outcome. A laminated pictorial Likert scale of answers was used to assist the caregiver with choosing a response.

2. Body composition and anthropometry

	MARKER	MEASURE	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes	RATIONALE
Body composition (20 mins)	BIA	Impedance of tissues	Lean mass index, Phase angle	Impedance index	Quality of growth / metabolic health
	Knee-heel length	Tibial growth	Median Knee-heel		Prioritization of growth
	Triceps, scapular, calf skinfolds	Subcutaneous fat	Sum of skinfolds	Individual skinfolds, Peripheral: central	Fat: peripheral c.f. central / metabolic health

Anthropometry (15 mins)	Height, weight	Growth	BMI	HAZ, WAZ,	Metabolic health
	Head circ	Brain volume	Head circumference	-	Prioritization of growth
	Waist circ, Hip circumference	Abdominal size	Waist circ	Hip circumference	Metabolic health
	Calf circ, MUAC	Peripheral fat / muscle	Calf circ, MUAC	-	Quality of growth

Supplementary Table S3: Tests of body composition and anthropometry in the SAHARAN toolbox

2a) Bioimpedance (BIA) gives an impedance reading (Z), and Height^2/Z gives the ‘impedance index’, which is a relative measure of lean mass within the sample. However, this requires a population-specific equation to provide the actual lean mass using another body composition technique⁷⁷. The Lean mass index ($1/Z$) avoids the need for any equation and can be visualised as expressing variability in the lean mass component of body mass index, ie lean mass index expressed in the same kg/m^2 units. Note that $1/Z$ is expressed in abstract units ($1/\text{Ohms}$), but the variability in $1/Z$ and the variability in lean mass index differ only in terms of the constants used to convert abstract to physical values¹⁰⁰. This makes $1/Z$ highly effective at ranking variability in lean mass index. BIA was measured in recumbent children using the Bodystat 1500 MDD instrument (BodyStat, Isle of Man, UK). Electrodes were attached to the right hand and foot and BIA measurements performed with standard criteria to ensure repeatability. The mean of the two readings was used for each BIA measurement. Data were excluded if the phase angle was more than 8 degrees¹⁰¹, or Z had poor repeatability (> 6 Ohms difference). BIA assessments were tolerated extremely well by children in the study.

2b) Skinfold thickness was measured to the nearest 0.2mm using a skinfold caliper (Holtain, Crosswell, Wales) and the median of 3 readings used. These were similarly tolerated extremely well by children in the study. All measurements were performed on the right side.

2c) Knee-heel (tibial) length: The right-sided median tibial length was assessed using a commercial knemometer (weighandmeasures.com, Olney, USA).

2d) Anthropometry: Height was measured using a Shorrboard (Weighandmeasure, USA), weight using portable scales (Seca, Germany) and circumferences using anthropometry tape (Weighandmeasure, USA).

3. Physical Function

	MARKER	MEASURE	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes	RATIONALE
Physical Function (30 mins)	Grip strength	Lean muscle both hand	Highest grip strength, average in both hands: a	Dominant and non-dominant hand strength	Lean muscle: hand
	Broad jump	Truncal muscles	Full distance: b		Lean muscle: trunk
	20m Beep test (composite score =PF)	Physical Fitness,	Fitness level: c Composite score = a+b+c	Heart rate (HR) variability HR after 1 minute	Stamina, Overall composite score
	Hemoglobin	Anaemia	Hb		Physical fitness
	BP	Fitness	Resting Systolic, diastolic bp	Pulse pressure, BP 1 minute after exercise	Cardiovascular fitness

Supplementary Table S4: Physical function measurements used in the SAHARAN toolbox

3a) Handgrip strength: The Takei dynamometer was selected, as it was shown to have the highest criterion-related validity and reliability¹⁰². The dynamometer's handgrip size was adjusted appropriately for the handspan⁸⁹. It has also been reported that use with the elbow extended provides the most appropriate positioning¹⁰². Therefore the child stood and held the Takei dynamometer vertically down and squeezed as hard as they could for up to 5 seconds. After a suitable break, they repeated this three times for each hand and the maximum value used for analysis.

3b) The broad jump: The child stands behind a line marked on the ground with feet slightly apart. A two-foot take-off and landing is used where the child swings the arms and bends the knees to provide forward drive, with the research nurse demonstrating first. The distance jumped is defined from the take-off line to the nearest point of contact on the landing (back of the heels), with the longest jump of 3 attempts used in analysis.

3c) Shuttle run test (SRT): A 20 meter tape measure is placed and the child runs repeatedly between each end, arriving before the beep, with increasingly shortened time gaps between beeps. A Bluetooth speaker is connected to the ODK tablet using the "Beep test" free android app (Beep test, Ruval Enterprises, Canada). Once the child misses the beep three times in a row or stops running, the child then withdraws. The test provides a valid and reliable prediction of the VO_{2max} ¹⁰³, the maximum rate at which the body uses oxygen during exercise. The feasibility of heart rate monitoring using wearable wrist (Fitbit Charge, UK) and chest-based (Polar, UK) heart rate monitors during exercise was also explored¹⁰⁴. A composite total fitness score was calculated based on the standardised results of highest handgrip score, furthest broad jump and highest level on 20m beep test to give an overall measure of physical function.

3d) Blood pressure (BP) was initially measured using an automated sphygmomanometer (Omron, Milton Keynes, UK) and then manual blood sphygmomanometer (Medisave, UK).

3e) Haemoglobin was measured (Hemocue) by a finger prick test as a potentially important contributor to physical fitness⁸⁶ and cognitive outcomes.

4. Caregiver questionnaire

In parallel with the child measurements, a detailed caregiver questionnaire was also administered to measure household demographics, previous adversities and contemporary factors associated with child growth and function.

	MARKER	MEASURE	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes	RATIONALE
Caregiver questionnaire (90 mins)	Demographics	Household composition	Main caregiver	Years of schooling	
	Socioeconomic status	SES score	Overall score	-	Socio-economic status
	SDQ	Socioemotional function	SDQ total score	SDQ subtest score	Behaviour
	Schooling & COVID impact	School engagement & attendance	Years of schooling	Attendance Alternative learning	Education
	Washington Group Child function module	Disability screening, including vision and hearing	Overall score	Hearing, vision, mobility problem subscale	Child functional abilities

	Child adversity scale	Adversities	Overall score		Measure of accumulated adversities
	Child parent relationship scale	Caregiver's relationship with child	Overall score		Nurturing
	MICS Child discipline score	Caregiver's relationship with child	Overall score		Nurturing
	EPDS	Maternal depression	Overall score	-	Depression
	HFIAS, HDDS	Food insecurity	HDDS score HFIAS score		Food insecurity
	HWISE, Water access	Water insecurity & access	HWISE score, water volume ad		Water insecurity

Supplementary Table S5: Caregiver questionnaire sections. MICS: Multi-indicator cluster survey (UNICEF), EPDS: Edinburgh postnatal depression score, HFIAS: Household Food Insecurity Assessment Scale, HDDS: Household Dietary Diversity scale, Household Water Insecurity Experiences Scale (HWISE)

Demographics related to household composition and the primary caregiver. *Socioeconomic status* was measured using a wealth index previously developed for the region of the study¹⁰⁵. *Schooling exposure and COVID impact* were also asked. *The Washington Group / UNICEF Child Functioning module*^{4 106} provides a screening tool for functional difficulties in hearing, vision, communication, comprehension, learning, mobility and emotions using a rating scale. *The child adversities index* screened for major life adversities associated with reduced child development since birth^{107 108}. These questions were carefully piloted and selected for a region with minimal social services support. Key elements of nurturing were measured using the Child-Parent Relationship scale¹⁰⁹ and MICS Child Discipline questionnaire^{61 110}. Caregiver depression was measured using local translations of The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression score (EPDS), which has been validated and extensively used in this region¹¹¹. Food insecurity was measured using the Household Food Insecurity Assessment Scale (HFIAS)¹¹² and Household Dietary Diversity Scale (HDDS)¹¹³. The Household Water Insecurity Experiences Scale (HWISE)¹¹⁴ measured water insecurity.

Supplementary results tables

Additional associations within the SAHARAN toolbox were measured by least squares linear regression analysis as described below:

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Regression Coefficient	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	P value
Impedance Index	WAZ	0.23	0.19	0.28	<0.001
HAZ	WAZ	0.67	0.52	0.83	<0.001
Knee heel length, cm	WAZ	1.58	1.15	2.02	<0.001
Head circumference, cm	WAZ	0.53	0.07	0.98	0.023
Phase angle, degrees	WAZ	0.26	0.11	0.40	0.001
Impedance Index	HAZ	0.20	0.14	0.25	<0.001
Knee heel length, cm	HAZ	2.28	1.99	2.57	<0.001
Head circumference, cm	HAZ	0.34	-0.14	0.83	0.16
Phase angle, degrees	HAZ	0.04	-0.12	0.20	0.61
Knee heel length, cm	Impedance Index	4.76	3.29	6.22	<0.001
Impedance Index	Phase angle	0.12	0.03	0.22	0.01
LMI, Kg /m2	Phase angle	0.68	0.16	1.19	0.01
BMI Z-score	LMI	0.38	0.27	0.50	<0.001
Impedance Index	Total skinfolds	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.10
Phase angle, degrees	Total skinfolds	0.02	-0.01	0.05	0.29
BMI-Z	Total skinfolds	0.11	0.07	0.15	<0.001

Supplementary table S6: Linear regression relationships between growth and body composition variables. Total sft: Total skinfold thickness, LMI: lean mass index

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Coefficient	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	P value
Maximum grip strength, Kg	HAZ	1.24	0.70	1.78	<0.001
Maximum Broad jump, cm	HAZ	6.72	2.57	10.87	<0.001
Shuttle run test level	HAZ	0.39	0.07	0.71	0.02
Maximum grip strength, Kg	WAZ	1.17	0.65	1.69	<0.001
Maximum Broad jump, cm	WAZ	5.22	1.17	9.26	0.01
Shuttle run test level	WAZ	0.30	-0.01	0.61	0.05
Total Physical Score	WAZ	1.08	0.55	1.61	<0.001
Total Physical Score	MUAC, cm	0.44	0.07	0.82	0.02
Total Physical Score	Hip circumference, cm	0.18	0.06	0.30	0.004
Total Physical Score	Calf circumference, cm	0.34	0.08	0.60	0.01
Total Physical Score	Head circumference, cm	0.40	0.13	0.66	0.004
Total Physical Score	Impedance Index	4.50	2.91	6.08	<0.001

Total Physical Score	Phase angle, degrees	1.14	0.33	1.95	0.006
Total Physical Score	Knee heel length, cm	0.49	0.29	0.70	<0.001
Total Physical Score	Waist circumference, cm	0.13	-0.03	0.29	0.12

Supplementary Table S7: Relationships between growth and physical function measurements.

MUAC: Mid-upper arm circumference, BMI: Body mass index

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Coefficient	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	P value
MPI	SDQ	-0.19	-0.61	0.24	0.38
Fine motor	SDQ	0.16	-0.15	0.47	0.30
SAT	Schooling years	18.71	13.99	23.44	<0.001
MPI	Schooling years	2.65	-0.11	5.41	0.06
Fine motor	Schooling years	-0.90	-3.21	1.42	0.44
SDQ	Schooling years	-0.94	-2.41	0.54	0.21
SAT	Child socioemotional	8.20	2.87	13.53	0.003
MPI	Child socioemotional	2.42	-0.09	4.92	0.06
Fine motor	Child socioemotional	-0.41	-2.79	1.98	0.73
SDQ	Child socioemotional	-0.12	-1.49	1.25	0.87
SDQ	Total physical score	-0.41	-0.91	0.10	0.12
SAT	Total physical score	0.24	-1.99	2.47	0.83

Table S8: Linear regression relationships between cognition measurements, years of schooling, child socioemotional score and total physical score.

Supplementary figure

[please contact corresponding author for assistance with images]

Fig S1. Application of the SAHARAN toolbox. A: Portable handwashing station and role play. B: tent arrangement for caregiver and child (here using a tree for shade). C: Child cognitive measurement using the School Achievement Test (SAT). D: Child body composition measurement using Bioimpedance Impedance Analysis (BIA).

References

1. Boateng GO, Neilands TB, Frongillo EA, et al. Best Practices for Developing and Validating Scales for Health, Social, and Behavioral Research: A Primer. *Frontiers in public health* 2018;6:149-49. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149
2. Worku BN, Abessa TG, Wondafrash M, et al. The relationship of undernutrition/psychosocial factors and developmental outcomes of children in extreme poverty in Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatrics* 2018;18(1):45. doi: 10.1186/s12887-018-1009-y
3. Walker SP, Wachs TD, Gardner JM, et al. Child development: risk factors for adverse outcomes in developing countries. *Lancet (London, England)* 2007;369(9556):145-57. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(07)60076-2 [published Online First: 2007/01/16]
4. Loeb M, Mont D, Cappa C, et al. The development and testing of a module on child functioning for identifying children with disabilities on surveys. I: Background. *Disabil Health J* 2018;11(4):495-501. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2018.06.005 [published Online First: 07/18]
5. Alcock KJ, Holding PA, Mung'ala-Odera V, et al. Constructing Tests of Cognitive Abilities for Schooled and Unschooled Children. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 2008;39(5):529-51. doi: 10.1177/0022022108321176
6. Sternberg RJ, Grigorenko EL. Intelligence and culture: how culture shapes what intelligence means, and the implications for a science of well-being. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2004;359(1449):1427-34. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2004.1514
7. Wolf S, McCoy DC. The role of executive function and social-emotional skills in the development of literacy and numeracy during preschool: a cross-lagged longitudinal study. *Dev Sci* 2019;22(4):e12800. doi: 10.1111/desc.12800 [published Online First: 2019/01/23]
8. McKean C, Reilly S, Bavin EL, et al. Language Outcomes at 7 Years: Early Predictors and Co-Occurring Difficulties. *Pediatrics* 2017;139(3):e20161684. doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-1684
9. Gove A, Brunette T, Bulat J, et al. Assessing the Impact of Early Learning Programs in Africa. *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev* 2017;2017(158):25-41. doi: 10.1002/cad.20224
10. Puranik CS, Lonigan CJ. Name-writing proficiency, not length of name, is associated with preschool children's emergent literacy skills. *Early Child Res Q* 2012;27(2):284-94. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2011.09.003 [published Online First: 2011/09/21]
11. Nicolau CC, Navas ALGP. Avaliação das habilidades preditoras do sucesso de leitura em crianças de 1º e 2º anos do ensino fundamental. *Revista CEFAC* 2015;17:917-26.
12. Steen L. Mathematics and democracy: The case for quantitative literacy, 2001.
13. Platas LM, Ketterlin-Gellar L, Brombacher A, et al. Early Grade Maths Assessment Toolkit (EGMA). RTI. <https://shared.rti.org/content/early-grade-mathematics-assessment-egma-toolkit>, 2014.
14. Harvard University. Executive Function and Self Regulation 2020 [Available from: <https://developingchild.harvard.edu/science/key-concepts/executive-function/> accessed 21 December 2020.
15. Willoughby MT, Piper B, Oyanga A, et al. Measuring executive function skills in young children in Kenya: Associations with school readiness. *Dev Sci* 2019;22(5):e12818. doi: 10.1111/desc.12818 [published Online First: 2019/02/20]

16. Obradović J, Sulik MJ, Finch JE, et al. Assessing students' executive functions in the classroom: Validating a scalable group-based procedure. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 2018;55:4-13. doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2017.03.003
17. Obradović J, Willoughby MT. Studying Executive Function Skills in Young Children in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Progress and Directions. *Child Development Perspectives* 2019;13(4):227-34. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12349>
18. Froehlich TE, Lanphear BP, Epstein JN, et al. Prevalence, recognition, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a national sample of US children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2007;161(9):857-64. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.161.9.857 [published Online First: 2007/09/05]
19. Kariuki SM, Abubakar A, Murray E, et al. Evaluation of psychometric properties and factorial structure of the pre-school child behaviour checklist at the Kenyan Coast. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health* 2016;10(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s13034-015-0089-9
20. Dias NM, Seabra AG. Mental Health, Cognition and Academic Performance in the 1st Year of Elementary Education. *Psico-USF* 2020;25:467-79.
21. Ferrier DE, Bassett HH, Denham SA. Relations between executive function and emotionality in preschoolers: Exploring a transitive cognition-emotion linkage. *Frontiers in psychology* 2014;5:487-87. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00487
22. Vandenbroucke L, Weeda W, Lee N, et al. Heterogeneity in Cognitive and Socio-Emotional Functioning in Adolescents With On-Track and Delayed School Progression. *Frontiers in psychology* 2018;9(1572) doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01572
23. Hoosen N, Davids EL, de Vries PJ, et al. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) in Africa: a scoping review of its application and validation. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health* 2018;12 doi: 10.1186/s13034-017-0212-1
24. Chang SM, Walker SP, Grantham-Mcgregor S, et al. Early childhood stunting and later fine motor abilities. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 2010;52(9):831-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2010.03640.x
25. Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Johnson EO, et al. Neurologic soft signs and low birthweight: their association and neuropsychiatric implications. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000;47(1):71-9. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(99)00131-6 [published Online First: 2000/01/29]
26. Batstra L, Neeleman J, Hadders-Algra M. The neurology of learning and behavioural problems in pre-adolescent children. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2003;108(2):92-100. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0447.2003.00127.x [published Online First: 2003/06/26]
27. Blondis TA, Snow JH, Accardo PJ. Integration of soft signs in academically normal and academically at-risk children. *Pediatrics* 1990;85(3 Pt 2):421-5. [published Online First: 1990/03/01]
28. Ruiz JR, Castro-Piñero J, Artero EG, et al. Predictive validity of health-related fitness in youth: a systematic review. *British journal of sports medicine* 2009;43(12):909-23. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2008.056499 [published Online First: 2009/01/23]
29. Ruiz JR, Castro-Piñero J, España-Romero V, et al. Field-based fitness assessment in young people: the ALPHA health-related fitness test battery for children and adolescents. *British journal of sports medicine* 2011;45(6):518-24. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2010.075341 [published Online First: 2010/10/22]
30. Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Castillo MJ, et al. Physical fitness in childhood and adolescence: a powerful marker of health. *International journal of obesity (2005)* 2008;32(1):1-11. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803774 [published Online First: 2007/11/29]

31. Cadenas-Sanchez C, Martinez-Tellez B, Sanchez-Delgado G, et al. Assessing physical fitness in preschool children: Feasibility, reliability and practical recommendations for the PREFIT battery. *Journal of science and medicine in sport* 2016;19(11):910-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2016.02.003 [published Online First: 2016/03/08]
32. Ortega FB, Cadenas-Sánchez C, Sánchez-Delgado G, et al. Systematic review and proposal of a field-based physical fitness-test battery in preschool children: the PREFIT battery. *Sports Med* 2015;45(4):533-55. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0281-8 [published Online First: 2014/11/06]
33. Donnelly JE, Hillman CH, Castelli D, et al. Physical Activity, Fitness, Cognitive Function, and Academic Achievement in Children: A Systematic Review. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 2016;48(6):1197-222. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000901
34. Klingberg S, Draper CE, Micklesfield LK, et al. Childhood Obesity Prevention in Africa: A Systematic Review of Intervention Effectiveness and Implementation. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2019;16(7):1212. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16071212
35. Rolfe EDL, França GVAd, Vianna CA, et al. Associations of stunting in early childhood with cardiometabolic risk factors in adulthood. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(4):e0192196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192196
36. Wells JCK, Devakumar D, Grijalva-Eternod CS, et al. Blood pressure and the capacity-load model in 8-year-old children from Nepal: Testing the contributions of kidney size and intergenerational effects. *Am J Hum Biol* 2016;28(4):555-65. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.22829
37. Prado EL, Larson LM, Cox K, et al. Do effects of early life interventions on linear growth correspond to effects on neurobehavioural development? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Global Health* 2019;7(10):e1398-e413. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30361-4
38. Abera M, Tesfaye M, Hanlon C, et al. Body Composition during Early Infancy and Mental Health Outcomes at 5 Years of Age: A Prospective Cohort Study of Ethiopian Children. *The Journal of pediatrics* 2018;200:225-31. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.04.055>
39. Wells JCK. Life history trade-offs and the partitioning of maternal investment. *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health* 2018;2018(1):153-66. doi: 10.1093/emph/eoy014
40. Kaufmann A, Kaufmann N. Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children 2nd edition. In: PsychCorp, ed.: Pearson 2004.
41. Semrud-Clikeman M, Romero RAA, Prado EL, et al. Selecting measures for the neurodevelopmental assessment of children in low- and middle-income countries. *Child neuropsychology : a journal on normal and abnormal development in childhood and adolescence* 2017;23(7):761-802. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2016.1216536 [published Online First: 09/09]
42. Bangirana P, Seggane M, Allebeck P, et al. A preliminary examination of the construct validity of the KABC-II in Ugandan children with a history of cerebral malaria. *African health sciences* 2009;9(3):186-92. [published Online First: 2010/07/01]
43. Mitchell JM, Tomlinson M, Bland RM, et al. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Kaufman assessment battery in a sample of primary school-aged children in rural South Africa. *South African Journal of Psychology* 2018;48(4):434-52. doi: 10.1177/0081246317741822

44. Mitchell JM, Tomlinson M, Rochat DT. Psychometric evaluation of the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children, Second Edition (KABC-II) in rural South Africa. Stellenbosch, 2015.
45. Ruisenor-Escudero H, Familiar I, Nyakato M, et al. Building capacity in neurodevelopment assessment of children in sub-Saharan Africa: A quality assurance model to implement standardized neurodevelopment testing. *Child neuropsychology : a journal on normal and abnormal development in childhood and adolescence* 2019;25(4):466-81. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2018.1497588
46. Taljaard C, Covic NM, van Graan AE, et al. Effects of a multi-micronutrient-fortified beverage, with and without sugar, on growth and cognition in South African schoolchildren: a randomised, double-blind, controlled intervention. *The British journal of nutrition* 2013;110(12):2271-84. doi: 10.1017/s000711451300189x [published Online First: 2013/07/05]
47. Bogale A, Stoecker BJ, Kennedy T, et al. Nutritional status and cognitive performance of mother-child pairs in Sidama, Southern Ethiopia. *Maternal & child nutrition* 2013;9(2):274-84. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00345.x [published Online First: 2011/08/03]
48. Boivin MJ, Barlow-Mosha L, Chernoff MC, et al. Neuropsychological performance in African children with HIV enrolled in a multisite antiretroviral clinical trial. *AIDS (London, England)* 2018;32(2):189-204. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000001683
49. Sanou AS, Diallo AH, Holding P, et al. Association between stunting and neuropsychological outcomes among children in Burkina Faso, West Africa. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health* 2018;12(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s13034-018-0236-1
50. Kariger P, Sulik MJ, Obradović J. Piloting of Plus EF in Kenya, 2019.
51. Davidson MC, Amso D, Anderson LC, et al. Development of cognitive control and executive functions from 4 to 13 years: evidence from manipulations of memory, inhibition, and task switching. *Neuropsychologia* 2006;44(11):2037-78. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.02.006 [published Online First: 2006/03/31]
52. Bush G, Shin LM. The Multi-Source Interference Task: an fMRI task that reliably activates the cingulo-frontal-parietal cognitive/attention network. *Nat Protoc* 2006;1(1):308-13. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.48 [published Online First: 2007/04/05]
53. Wöstmann NM, Aichert DS, Costa A, et al. Reliability and plasticity of response inhibition and interference control. *Brain and Cognition* 2013;81(1):82-94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2012.09.010>
54. Liu Y, Angstadt M, Taylor SF, et al. The typical development of posterior medial frontal cortex function and connectivity during task control demands in youth 8–19years old. *NeuroImage* 2016;137:97-106. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.019>
55. Ursache A, Noble KG, Blair C. Socioeconomic Status, Subjective Social Status, and Perceived Stress: Associations with Stress Physiology and Executive Functioning. *Behavioral Medicine* 2015;41(3):145-54. doi: 10.1080/08964289.2015.1024604
56. Roy AL, McCoy DC, Raver CC. Instability versus quality: residential mobility, neighborhood poverty, and children's self-regulation. *Dev Psychol* 2014;50(7):1891-96. doi: 10.1037/a0036984 [published Online First: 2014/05/19]

57. Yeniad N, Malda M, Mesman J, et al. Cognitive flexibility children across the transition to school: A longitudinal study. *Cognitive Development* 2014;31:35-47. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2014.02.004>
58. McDermott JM, Pérez-Edgar K, Fox NA. Variations of the flanker paradigm: assessing selective attention in young children. *Behav Res Methods* 2007;39(1):62-70. doi: 10.3758/bf03192844 [published Online First: 2007/06/08]
59. Micalizzi L, Brick LA, Flom M, et al. Effects of socioeconomic status and executive function on school readiness across levels of household chaos. *Early Child Res Q* 2019;47:331-40. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2019.01.007 [published Online First: 2019/02/10]
60. Chang SM, Walker SP, Grantham-Macgregor S, et al. Early childhood stunting and later fine motor abilities. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 2010;52(9):831-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2010.03640.x
61. ZIMSTAT, UNICEF. Zimbabwe Multi-Indicator Cluster Survey 2019; Snapshots of Key Findings. <https://www.unicef.org/zimbabwe/>, 2019.
62. Gochyyev P., Mizunoya S., M. C. Validity and reliability of the MICS educational Module. MICS Methodological Papers. Data and Analytics Section, Division of Data, Research and Policy, : UNICEF, New York., 2019.
63. Gove A, Wetterberg A. The Early Grade Reading Assessment: Applications and Interventions to Improve Basic Literacy. RTI Press publication BK-0007-1109 ed: RTI, 2011:304.
64. Abubakar A. Use of EGRA to assess individual literacy. Personal communication ed, 2019.
65. Graham J, Kelly S. How Effective Are Early Grade Reading Interventions? A Review of the Evidence. Education Global Practice Group: World Bank, 2018.
66. Tofail F, Fernald LCH, Das KK, et al. Effect of water quality, sanitation, hand washing, and nutritional interventions on child development in rural Bangladesh (WASH Benefits Bangladesh): a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 2018;2(4):255-68. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30031-2
67. Stone LL, Janssens JMAM, Vermulst AA, et al. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: psychometric properties of the parent and teacher version in children aged 4–7. *BMC Psychology* 2015;3(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s40359-015-0061-8
68. Skinner D, Sharp C, Marais L, et al. Assessing the value of and contextual and cultural acceptability of the Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) in evaluating mental health problems in HIV/AIDS affected children. *Int J Ment Health* 2014;43(4):76-89. doi: 10.1080/00207411.2015.1009314 [published Online First: 2015/04/30]
69. Ocansey ME, Adu-Afarwuah S, Kumordzie SM, et al. Prenatal and postnatal lipid-based nutrient supplementation and cognitive, social-emotional, and motor function in preschool-aged children in Ghana: a follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2019;109(2):322-34. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy303 [published Online First: 2019/02/06]
70. Loeb M, Cappa C, Cialesi R, et al. Measuring child functioning: the Unicef/ Washington Group Module. *Salud Pública de México* 2017;59(4):485-87. doi: 10.21149/8962
71. Massey M. The development and testing of a module on child functioning for identifying children with disabilities on surveys. II: Question development and pretesting. *Disabil Health J* 2018;11(4):502-09. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2018.06.006>

72. Cappa C, Mont D, Loeb M, et al. The development and testing of a module on child functioning for identifying children with disabilities on surveys. III: Field testing. *Disabil Health J* 2018;11(4):510-18. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2018.06.004
73. Dunne T, Chandna J, Majo F, et al. G437 Validity of the washington group module on child functioning in 2-year-old children; disability outcome of the shine trial. *Archives of disease in childhood* 2020;105(Suppl 1):A158-A58. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2020-rcpch.378
74. Gladstone M, Lancaster GA, Umar E, et al. The Malawi Developmental Assessment Tool (MDAT): The Creation, Validation, and Reliability of a Tool to Assess Child Development in Rural African Settings. *PLOS Medicine* 2010;7(5):e1000273. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000273
75. Norman K, Smoliner C, Kilbert A, et al. Disease-related malnutrition but not underweight by BMI is reflected by disturbed electric tissue properties in the bioelectrical impedance vector analysis. *The British journal of nutrition* 2008;100(3):590-5. doi: 10.1017/s0007114508911545 [published Online First: 2008/02/01]
76. Wells JCK. Body composition of children with moderate and severe undernutrition and after treatment: a narrative review. *BMC Medicine* 2019;17(1):215. doi: 10.1186/s12916-019-1465-8
77. Wells JC, Hawton K, Darch T, et al. Body composition by 2H dilution in Gambian infants: comparison with UK infants and evaluation of simple prediction methods. *The British journal of nutrition* 2009;102(12):1776-82. doi: 10.1017/s0007114509991255 [published Online First: 2009/08/18]
78. Wells JC, Devakumar D, Grijalva-Eternod CS, et al. Blood pressure and the capacity-load model in 8-year-old children from Nepal: Testing the contributions of kidney size and intergenerational effects. *American journal of human biology : the official journal of the Human Biology Council* 2016;28(4):555-65. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.22829 [published Online First: 2016/02/06]
79. Abera M, Tesfaye M, Admassu B, et al. Body composition during early infancy and developmental progression from 1 to 5 years of age: the Infant Anthropometry and Body Composition (iABC) cohort study among Ethiopian children. *The British journal of nutrition* 2018;119(11):1263-73. doi: 10.1017/s000711451800082x [published Online First: 2018/05/18]
80. Wells JCK. The programming effects of early growth. *Early Human Development* (2007) 2007;83:743-48.
81. Lelijveld N. Long-term effects of severe acute malnutrition on growth, body composition, and function; a prospective cohort study in Malawi, 2016.
82. Bartz S, Mody A, Hornik C, et al. Severe acute malnutrition in childhood: hormonal and metabolic status at presentation, response to treatment, and predictors of mortality. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2014;99(6):2128-37. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-4018 [published Online First: 2014/03/13]
83. Liddle K, O'Callaghan M, Mamun A, et al. Comparison of body mass index and triceps skinfold at 5 years and young adult body mass index, waist circumference and blood pressure. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health* 2012;48(5):424-29. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1754.2011.02247.x>
84. Furtado JM, Almeida SM, Mascarenhas P, et al. Anthropometric features as predictors of atherogenic dyslipidemia and cardiovascular risk in a large population of school-aged children. *PloS one* 2018;13(6):e0197922-e22. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197922

85. Ivanovic DM. Does undernutrition during infancy inhibit brain growth and subsequent intellectual development? *Nutrition* 1996;12(7):568-71. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0899-9007\(97\)85097-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0899-9007(97)85097-6)
86. Lelijveld N, Seal A, Wells JC, et al. Chronic disease outcomes after severe acute malnutrition in Malawian children (ChroSAM): a cohort study. *The Lancet Global health* 2016;4(9):e654-62. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(16)30133-4 [published Online First: 2016/07/30]
87. Pomeroy E, Stock JT, Stanojevic S, et al. Trade-offs in relative limb length among Peruvian children: extending the thrifty phenotype hypothesis to limb proportions. *PLoS One* 2012;7(12):e51795. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051795 [published Online First: 2012/12/29]
88. Wells JCK, Devakumar D, Manandhar DS, et al. Associations of stunting at 2 years with body composition and blood pressure at 8 years of age: longitudinal cohort analysis from lowland Nepal. *European journal of clinical nutrition* 2019;73(2):302-10. doi: 10.1038/s41430-018-0291-y [published Online First: 2018/08/30]
89. España-Romero V, Artero EG, Santaliestra-Pasias AM, et al. Hand span influences optimal grip span in boys and girls aged 6 to 12 years. *J Hand Surg Am* 2008;33(3):378-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2007.11.013 [published Online First: 2008/03/18]
90. Malina RM, Pena Reyes ME, Tan SK, et al. Physical fitness of normal, stunted and overweight children 6-13 years in Oaxaca, Mexico. *European journal of clinical nutrition* 2011;65(7):826-34. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2011.44 [published Online First: 2011/03/31]
91. Castro-Pinero J, Ortega FB, Artero EG, et al. Assessing muscular strength in youth: usefulness of standing long jump as a general index of muscular fitness. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010;24(7):1810-7. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181ddb03d [published Online First: 2010/06/18]
92. Armstrong MEG, Lambert MI, Lambert EV. Relationships between different nutritional anthropometric statuses and health-related fitness of South African primary school children. *Annals of Human Biology* 2017;44(3):208-13. doi: 10.1080/03014460.2016.1224386
93. Lang JJ, Tremblay MS, Leger L, et al. International variability in 20 m shuttle run performance in children and youth: who are the fittest from a 50-country comparison? A systematic literature review with pooling of aggregate results. *British journal of sports medicine* 2018;52(4):276. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096224 [published Online First: 2016/09/22]
94. Mayorga-Vega D, Aguilar-Soto P, Viciano J. Criterion-Related Validity of the 20-M Shuttle Run Test for Estimating Cardiorespiratory Fitness: A Meta-Analysis. *J Sports Sci Med* 2015;14(3):536-47.
95. Castro-Piñero J, Artero EG, España-Romero V, et al. Criterion-related validity of field-based fitness tests in youth: a systematic review. *British journal of sports medicine* 2010;44(13):934-43. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2009.058321
96. Bustinduy AL, Thomas CL, Fiutem JJ, et al. Measuring Fitness of Kenyan Children with Polyparasitic Infections Using the 20-Meter Shuttle Run Test as a Morbidity Metric. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2011;5(7):e1213. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001213

97. Febba A, Sesso R, Barreto GP, et al. Stunting growth: association of the blood pressure levels and ACE activity in early childhood. *Pediatric nephrology (Berlin, Germany)* 2009;24(2):379-86. doi: 10.1007/s00467-008-0980-1 [published Online First: 2008/09/16]
98. De Lucia Rolfe E, de França GVA, Vianna CA, et al. Associations of stunting in early childhood with cardiometabolic risk factors in adulthood. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(4):e0192196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192196
99. Kaljee L, Munjile K, Menon A, et al. The 'Teachers Diploma Program' in Zambian Government Schools: A Baseline Qualitative Assessment of Teachers' and Students' Strengths and Challenges in the Context of a School-Based Psychosocial Support Program. *International Education Studies* 2017;Vol. 10:92-103.
100. Wells JCK, Williams JE, Fewtrell M, et al. A simplified approach to analysing bio-electrical impedance data in epidemiological surveys. *Int J Obes* 2007;31(3):507-14. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803441
101. Norman K, Stobäus N, Pirlich M, et al. Bioelectrical phase angle and impedance vector analysis – Clinical relevance and applicability of impedance parameters. *Clinical Nutrition* 2012;31(6):854-61. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2012.05.008>
102. España-Romero V, Ortega FB, Vicente-Rodríguez G, et al. Elbow position affects handgrip strength in adolescents: validity and reliability of Jamar, DynEx, and TKK dynamometers. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010;24(1):272-7. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b296a5 [published Online First: 2009/12/08]
103. Leger LA, Lambert J. A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict VO2 max. *European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology* 1982;49(1):1-12. doi: 10.1007/bf00428958 [published Online First: 1982/01/01]
104. Brazendale K, Decker L, Hunt ET, et al. Validity and Wearability of Consumer-based Fitness Trackers in Free-living Children. *Int J Exerc Sci* 2019;12(5):471-82.
105. Chasekwa B, Maluccio JA, Ntozini R, et al. Measuring wealth in rural communities: Lessons from the Sanitation, Hygiene, Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) trial. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(6):e0199393. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0199393
106. Sprunt B, McPake B, Marella M. The UNICEF/Washington Group Child Functioning Module-Accuracy, Inter-Rater Reliability and Cut-Off Level for Disability Disaggregation of Fiji's Education Management Information System. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019;16(5) doi: 10.3390/ijerph16050806
107. Bhopal S, Roy R, Verma D, et al. Impact of adversity on early childhood growth & development in rural India: Findings from the early life stress sub-study of the SPRING cluster randomised controlled trial (SPRING-ELS). *PLOS ONE* 2019;14(1):e0209122. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0209122
108. Berens AE, Kumar S, Tofail F, et al. Cumulative psychosocial risk and early child development: validation and use of the Childhood Psychosocial Adversity Scale in global health research. *Pediatric research* 2019 doi: 10.1038/s41390-019-0431-7 [published Online First: 2019/05/19]
109. Driscoll KS, Pianta RC. Mothers' and fathers' perceptions of conflict and closeness in parent-child relationships during early childhood. *Psychology* 2011
110. Straus MA, Hamby SL, Finkelhor D, et al. Identification of child maltreatment with the Parent-Child Conflict Tactics Scales: development and psychometric data for a

- national sample of American parents. *Child Abuse Negl* 1998;22(4):249-70. doi: 10.1016/s0145-2134(97)00174-9 [published Online First: 1998/05/20]
111. Chibanda D, Mangezi W, Tshimanga M, et al. Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale among women in a high HIV prevalence area in urban Zimbabwe. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2010;13(3):201-6. doi: 10.1007/s00737-009-0073-6 [published Online First: 2009/09/18]
 112. Coates J, Swindale A, Bilinsky P. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for measurement of food access: indicator guide: version 3. 2007
 113. Gandure S, Drimie S, Faber M. Food Security Indicators after Humanitarian Interventions Including Food Aid in Zimbabwe. *Food and nutrition bulletin* 2010;31(4):513-23. doi: 10.1177/156482651003100405
 114. Young SL, Boateng GO, Jamaluddine Z, et al. The Household Water InSecurity Experiences (HWISE) Scale: development and validation of a household water insecurity measure for low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Global Health* 2019;4(5):e001750. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001750