Reference	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants and personnel	Blinding of outcome assessments	Incomplete outcome data	Selective reporting	Other bias	Overall bias assessment
Tang W [26]	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Horby PW [27] RECOVERY	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Self W [28] ORCHID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Pan H [29] SOLIDARITY	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Cavalcanti AB [30] Coalition Covid-19 Brazil I	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Lyngbakken MN [31]	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Abd-Elsalam S [32]	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Ulrich RJ [33] TEACH	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Brown SM [34] HAHPS	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Chen CP [35]	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Rea-Neto A [36]	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Galan LEB [37]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Arabi YM [38] <i>REMAP-CAP</i>	Low	Low	High	High	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Dubee V [39] HYCOVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Hernandez-Cardenas C [40]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Barratt-Due A [41] NOR-Solidarity	Low	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate
Sivapalan P [42]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low

Table S1. RCTs evaluating HC/CQ in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 - risk of bias assessment

The Cochrane Collaboration tool was used to assess risk of bias [92], one assessor was used, lack of blinding led to 'high risk' assessments for the blinding domains; overall bias assessments were usually moderate anyhow because outcome measurements were 'hard' and less likely to be affected by lack of blinding. Absent notation of how missingness was handled resulted in a moderate risk domain assessment for 'incomplete outcome data'.