

Supplement

Table S1 – Questions used to determine exposure to childhood maltreatment on mental health questionnaire.	2
Table S2 – Evidence supporting a causal role for the considered risk factors on cardiovascular disease risk ...	2
Table S3 – ICD codes for the included cardiovascular diseases.	2
Table S4 – Variance explained by each PGS according to the P value threshold.	3
Table S5 – Association of childhood maltreatment score with cardiovascular risk factors and disease.....	3
Table S6 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$	4
Table S7 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$	4
Table S8 – Sex-stratified effect modification of childhood maltreatment score on genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease on the additive scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$	5
Table S9 – Sex-stratified effect modification of childhood maltreatment score on genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease on the multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$	6
Table S10 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 0.05$	7
Table S11 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 0.5$	8
Table S12 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, adjusted for covariate interactions.....	9
Table S13 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by exposure to childhood maltreatment on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, adjusted for childhood SEP..	10
Methods: Polygenic Scores.....	11

Table S1 – Questions used to determine exposure to childhood maltreatment on mental health questionnaire.

Question: “When I was a child...”	Type of maltreatment	Cut-off *
“I felt loved”	Emotional neglect	2 or lower
“People in my family hit me so hard that it left me with bruises or marks”	Physical abuse	1 or higher
“I felt that someone in my family hated me”	Emotional abuse	1 or higher
“Someone molested me (sexually)”	Sexual abuse	1 or higher
“There was someone to take me to the doctor if I needed it”	Physical neglect	3 or lower

*According to the following answers: 0: never true; 1: rarely true; 2: sometimes true; 3: often true; 4: very often true.

Table S2 – Evidence supporting a causal role for the considered risk factors on cardiovascular disease risk

Risk factor	Study	Study design
Alcohol (drinks per week)	Millwood <i>et al</i> , 2019 ¹	Mendelian randomization study
BMI	Carter <i>et al</i> , 2019 ² ; Larsson <i>et al</i> , 2020 ³	Mendelian randomization study; Mendelian randomization study
Low density lipoprotein cholesterol	Ference <i>et al</i> , 2017 ⁴ ; Cheung <i>et al</i> , 2004 ⁵	Mendelian randomization and clinical study; randomized controlled trial
Smoking (lifetime behaviour)	Larsson <i>et al</i> , 2020 ⁶	Mendelian randomization study
Systolic blood pressure	Carter <i>et al</i> , 2019 ² ; Etehad <i>et al</i> , 2016 ⁷	Mendelian randomization study; systematic review including randomized controlled trial
Type 2 diabetes	Ahmad <i>et al</i> , 2015 ⁸	Mendelian randomization study

Table S3 – ICD codes for the included cardiovascular diseases/risk factors.

Diagnosis	ICD9	ICD10
Atrial Fibrillation	427.31	I48
Type 2 diabetes	250.00, 250.02, 250.10, 250.12, 250.20, 250.22, 250.30, 250.32, 250.40, 250.42, 250.50, 250.52, 250.60, 250.62, 250.70, 250.72, 250.80, 250.82, 250.90, 250.92	E11
Coronary Heart Disease	410.0-414.9	I20-I25
Stroke	430.0-438.9	G45, I60-I64

Table S4 – Variance explained by each PGS according to the P value threshold.

Risk factor/disease GWAS	P<5×10 ⁻⁸		P<0.05		P<0.5	
	# of SNPs	R ²	# of SNPs	R ²	# of SNPs	R ²
BMI	127	0.02983	20 542	0.06512	139 582	0.06868
Drinks per week	14	0.07834	72 962	0.08039	449 080	0.08019
LDL cholesterol	398	0.07026	13 337	0.02450	23 724	0.02288
Lifetime smoking (sample 1)	23	0.00621	67 741	0.01571	391 104	0.01606
Lifetime smoking (sample 2)	21	0.00641	66 909	0.01516	390 557	0.01559
Systolic blood pressure (sample 1)	126	0.15067	77 709	0.17562	373 402	0.17260
Systolic blood pressure (sample 2)	112	0.14150	76 557	0.16176	372 715	0.16011
Atrial Fibrillation	3431	0.09755	60 738	0.29849	361 969	0.38717
Type 2 Diabetes	18	0.02916	5 137	0.02422	134 673	0.02300
Coronary Heart Disease	75	0.06554	49 098	0.06453	345 040	0.06356
Stroke	11	0.03919	63 025	0.03948	373 240	0.03919

Table S5 – Association of childhood maltreatment score with cardiovascular risk factors and disease. Analyses were adjusted for age and sex.

Continuous trait	Mean difference in SD of phenotypic trait per unit increase in maltreatment score (95% CI)
BMI	0.068 (0.062, 0.073)
Drinks per week	0.002 (-0.003, 0.008)
LDL cholesterol	0.003 (-0.003, 0.009)
Lifetime smoking	0.101 (0.095, 0.107)
Systolic blood pressure	-0.011 (-0.017, -0.005)
Binary trait	Odds ratio per unit increase in maltreatment score (95% CI)
Atrial Fibrillation	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)
Type 2 Diabetes	1.19 (1.14, 1.25)
Coronary Heart Disease	1.13 (1.10, 1.17)
Stroke	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)

Table S6 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components.

Continuous trait	N		Additive scale			
			Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for interaction
BMI	100659		0.12 (0.11, 0.13)	0.065 (0.060, 0.071)	0.009 (0.003, 0.014)	0.003
Drinks per week	100529		0.04 (0.04, 0.05)	0.001 (-0.004, 0.007)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.007)	0.598
LDL cholesterol	95809		0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.003 (-0.002, 0.009)	0.003 (-0.002, 0.009)	0.238
Lifetime smoking	95845		0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.100 (0.094, 0.105)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.008)	0.395
Systolic blood pressure	93001		0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	-0.011 (-0.016, -0.005)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.004)	0.490
Binary trait	N = 100833		Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for interaction
Atrial fibrillation	Control	98735	0.011 (0.010, 0.012)	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	4×10^{-4} (-4×10^{-4} , 1×10^{-3})	0.322
	Case	2098				
Type 2 diabetes	Control	99449	0.003 (0.003, 0.004)	0.003 (0.002, 0.003)	4×10^{-4} (-2×10^{-4} , 1×10^{-3})	0.196
	Case	1384				
Coronary heart disease	Control	97801	0.007 (0.006, 0.008)	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)	3×10^{-4} (-1×10^{-3} , 1×10^{-3})	0.611
	Case	3032				
Stroke	Control	99838	1×10^{-4} (-5×10^{-4} , 8×10^{-4})	0.001 (-0.000, 0.001)	1×10^{-5} (-5×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-4})	0.973
	Case	995				

Table 7 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components.

Continuous trait	N		Multiplicative scale			
			Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for interaction
BMI	100659		0.12 (0.11, 0.13)	0.065 (0.059, 0.070)	0.007 (0.001, 0.013)	0.015
Drinks per week	100529		0.05 (0.04, 0.06)	-0.028 (-0.033, -0.022)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	0.496
LDL cholesterol	95809		0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.002 (-0.003, 0.008)	0.004 (-0.002, 0.010)	0.164
Lifetime smoking	95845		0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.103 (0.097, 0.109)	0.002 (-0.003, 0.008)	0.429
Systolic blood pressure	93001		0.09 (0.09, 0.10)	-0.011 (-0.017, -0.006)	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.004)	0.506
Binary trait	N		OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for interaction
Atrial fibrillation	Control	98735	1.69 (1.62, 1.76)	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.312
	Case	2098				
Type 2 diabetes	Control	99449	1.29 (1.22, 1.35)	1.20 (1.14, 1.25)	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.743
	Case	1384				
Coronary heart disease	Control	97801	1.28 (1.23, 1.32)	1.14 (1.10, 1.18)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.615
	Case	3032				
Stroke	Control	99838	1.02 (0.95, 1.08)	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	0.990
	Case	995				

Table S8 – Sex-stratified effect modification of childhood maltreatment score on genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease on the additive scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

Continuous trait	N		Additive scale						
			Sex	N	Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P Value for interaction	P value interaction by sex
BMI	100659		Female	57540	0.13 (0.12, 0.14)	0.067 (0.059, 0.074)	0.007 (-0.001, 0.015)	0.071	0.596
			Male	43119	0.11 (0.11, 0.12)	0.064 (0.056, 0.072)	0.010 (0.002, 0.019)	0.016	
Drinks per week	100529		Female	57541	0.04 (0.03, 0.05)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.004)	3E-04 (-0.006, 0.006)	0.926	0.503
			Male	42988	0.05 (0.04, 0.06)	0.005 (-0.005, 0.016)	0.004 (-0.006, 0.015)	0.429	
LDL cholesterol	95809		Female	54698	0.24 (0.23, 0.25)	0.009 (0.002, 0.016)	0.002 (-0.005, 0.009)	0.604	0.506
			Male	41111	0.21 (0.20, 0.22)	-0.002 (-0.011, 0.007)	0.004 (-0.005, 0.013)	0.406	
Lifetime smoking	95845		Female	54768	0.03 (0.02, 0.04)	0.095 (0.088, 0.102)	0.001 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.735	0.440
			Male	41077	0.04 (0.03, 0.05)	0.107 (0.097, 0.117)	0.006 (-0.004, 0.016)	0.258	
Systolic blood pressure	93001		Female	53143	0.10 (0.09, 0.11)	-0.010 (-0.018, -0.003)	-0.004 (-0.011, 0.004)	0.320	0.572
			Male	39858	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	-0.009 (-0.018, 0.000)	-2X10 ⁻⁵ (-9x10 ⁻³ , 9x10 ⁻³)	0.972	
Binary trait	N = 100 833		Sex Female N= 57641 Male N = 43192	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for interaction	P value interaction by sex	
Atrial fibrillation	Control	98735	Female	0.007 (0.006, 0.008)	2x10 ⁻⁴ (-6x10 ⁻⁴ , 0.001)	4x10 ⁻⁴ (-4x10 ⁻⁴ , 0.001)	0.350	0.432	
	Case	2098	Male	0.017 (0.016, 0.019)	0.002 (0.000, 0.003)	0.001 (-0.001, 0.003)	0.226		
Type 2 diabetes	Control	99449	Female	0.003 (0.002, 0.004)	0.002 (0.001, 0.003)	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	0.046	0.349	
	Case	1384	Male	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)	6x10 ⁻⁵ (-0.001, 0.001)	0.926		
Coronary heart disease	Control	97801	Female	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)	0.003 (0.002, 0.004)	-3x10 ⁻⁴ (-1x10 ⁻³ , 1x10 ⁻³)	0.527	0.065	
	Case	3032	Male	0.011 (0.009, 0.013)	0.004 (0.002, 0.006)	0.002 (-4x10 ⁻⁴ , 0.004)	0.116		
Stroke	Control	99838	Female	2x10 ⁻⁴ (-5x10 ⁻⁴ , 9x10 ⁻⁴)	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	5x10 ⁻⁴ (-1x10 ⁻⁴ , 1x10 ⁻³)	0.106	0.020	
	Case	995	Male	1x10 ⁻⁴ (-1x10 ⁻³ , 1x10 ⁻³)	2x10 ⁻⁴ (-9x10 ⁻⁴ , 1x10 ⁻³)	-1x10 ⁻⁴ (-2x10 ⁻³ , 2x10 ⁻⁴)	0.118		

Table S9 – Sex-stratified effect modification of childhood maltreatment score on genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease on the multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

Continuous trait	N		Sex	N	Multiplicative scale				
					Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for Interaction	P value interaction by sex
BMI	100659		Female	57540	0.13 (0.12, 0.14)	0.066 (0.059, 0.074)	0.006 (-0.002, 0.013)	0.145	0.635
			Male	43119	0.11 (0.11, 0.12)	0.062 (0.053, 0.070)	0.009 (3x10 ⁻⁴ , 0.017)	0.042	
Drinks per week	100529		Female	57541	0.05 (0.05, 0.06)	-0.028 (-0.035, -0.021)	0.001 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.839	0.616
			Male	42988	0.04 (0.03, 0.05)	-0.028 (-0.037, -0.019)	0.004 (-0.005, 0.013)	0.402	
LDL cholesterol	95809		Female	54698	0.24 (0.23, 0.25)	0.008 (0.001, 0.015)	0.003 (-0.004, 0.010)	0.465	0.544
			Male	41111	0.21 (0.20, 0.21)	-0.003 (-0.013, 0.006)	0.004 (-0.005, 0.014)	0.379	
Lifetime smoking	95845		Female	54768	0.03 (0.02, 0.04)	0.099 (0.092, 0.106)	0.002 (-0.005, 0.009)	0.620	0.672
			Male	41077	0.05 (0.04, 0.06)	0.108 (0.098, 0.119)	0.004 (-0.006, 0.015)	0.396	
Systolic blood pressure	93001		Female	53143	0.10 (0.09, 0.11)	-0.011 (-0.018, -0.004)	-0.004 (-0.011, 0.003)	0.280	0.477
			Male	39858	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	-0.009 (-0.018, -0.001)	4x10 ⁻⁴ (-0.008, 0.009)	0.934	
Binary trait	N = 100 833		Sex Female N = 57641 Male N = 43192		OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value for Interaction	P value interaction by sex
Atrial fibrillation	Control	98735	Female		1.61 (1.50, 1.72)	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)	0.291	0.683
	Case	2098	Male		1.74 (1.65, 1.84)	1.05 (0.99, 1.12)	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	0.588	
Type 2 diabetes	Control	99449	Female		1.34 (1.24, 1.46)	1.18 (1.10, 1.26)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)	0.651	0.324
	Case	1384	Male		1.25 (1.17, 1.33)	1.22 (1.14, 1.29)	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	0.341	
Coronary heart disease	Control	97801	Female		1.23 (1.16, 1.31)	1.20 (1.14, 1.26)	0.96 (0.92, 1.01)	0.127	0.088
	Case	3032	Male		1.30 (1.24, 1.36)	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	1.02 (0.97, 1.07)	0.401	
Stroke	Control	99838	Female		1.03 (0.93, 1.13)	1.09 (1.01, 1.19)	1.06 (0.98, 1.15)	0.136	0.029
	Case	995	Male		1.01 (0.93, 1.10)	1.01 (0.93, 1.10)	0.94 (0.86, 1.02)	0.123	

Table S10 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P<0.05$. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

	P<0.05							
Continuous Trait	Additive scale				Multiplicative scale			
	Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
BMI	0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.061 (0.056, 0.067)	0.016 (0.010, 0.021)	2×10^{-8}	0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.060 (0.055, 0.066)	0.013 (0.008, 0.019)	4×10^{-6}
Drinks per week	0.06 (0.06, 0.07)	0.001 (-0.005, 0.007)	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.004)	0.465	0.06 (0.06, 0.07)	-0.028 (-0.033, -0.022)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.004)	0.480
LDL cholesterol	0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.009)	3×10^{-4} (- 6×10^{-3} , 6×10^{-3})	0.917	0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	2×10^{-4} (- 6×10^{-3} , 6×10^{-3})	0.941
Lifetime smoking	0.12 (0.11, 0.13)	0.206 (0.143, 0.269)	0.017 (0.009, 0.024)	7×10^{-6}	0.12 (0.12, 0.13)	0.215 (0.152, 0.278)	0.014 (0.007, 0.022)	1×10^{-4}
Systolic blood pressure	0.21 (0.20, 0.22)	0.043 (-0.017, 0.101)	-9×10^{-5} (- 7×10^{-3} , 6×10^{-3})	0.978	0.21 (0.21, 0.22)	0.037 (-0.022, 0.095)	0.001 (-0.006, 0.007)	0.223
Binary Trait	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
Atrial fibrillation	0.032 (0.031, 0.033)	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	-6×10^{-4} (- 1×10^{-4} , 2×10^{-4})	0.122	4.56 (4.35, 4.79)	1.06 (0.98, 1.14)	0.98 (0.93, 1.02)	0.365
Type 2 diabetes	0.002 (0.001, 0.002)	0.003 (0.002, 0.003)	2×10^{-4} (- 5×10^{-4} , 9×10^{-4})	0.575	1.12 (1.06, 1.18)	1.20 (1.14, 1.25)	1.00 (0.95, 1.04)	0.867
Coronary heart disease	0.006 (0.005, 0.007)	0.004 (0.003, 0.004)	-3×10^{-5} (- 1×10^{-3} , 1×10^{-3})	0.954	1.25 (1.20, 1.30)	1.13 (1.10, 1.17)	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.367
Stroke	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	-1×10^{-5} (- 6×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-4})	0.960	1.06 (1.00, 1.13)	1.06 (0.99, 1.12)	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	0.953

Table S11 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at P<0.5. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

	P<0.5							
Continuous Trait	Additive scale				Multiplicative scale			
	Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
BMI	0.23 (0.23, 0.24)	0.061 (0.055, 0.066)	0.015 (0.009, 0.020)	1x10 ⁻⁷	0.24 (0.23, 0.24)	0.060 (0.054, 0.066)	0.011 (0.007, 0.017)	2x10 ⁻⁵
Drinks per week	0.06 (0.06, 0.07)	0.001 (-0.005, 0.007)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.003)	0.467	0.06 (0.06, 0.07)	-0.028 (-0.033, -0.022)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.003)	0.397
LDL cholesterol	0.07 (0.06, 0.08)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.009)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.009)	0.276	0.07 (0.06, 0.07)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.008)	0.377
Lifetime smoking	0.14 (0.13, 0.14)	0.201 (0.138, 0.264)	0.022 (0.014, 0.030)	3x10 ⁻⁸	0.14 (0.13, 0.15)	0.210 (0.147, 0.273)	0.020 (0.012, 0.028)	9x10 ⁻⁷
Systolic blood pressure	0.22 (0.21, 0.23)	0.036 (-0.023, 0.096)	0.002 (-0.005, 0.009)	0.574	0.22 (0.22, 0.23)	0.031 (-0.028, 0.090)	0.003 (-0.004, 0.010)	0.413
Binary Trait	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
Atrial fibrillation	0.040 (0.039, 0.041)	0.001 (-0.000, 0.001)	-0.001 (-0.002, 0.000)	0.066	5.37 (5.11, 5.64)	1.06 (0.97, 1.15)	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)	0.432
Type 2 diabetes	2x10 ⁻⁴ (-5x10 ⁻⁴ , 9x10 ⁻⁴)	0.003 (0.002, 0.003)	2x10 ⁻⁴ (-5x10 ⁻⁴ , 9x10 ⁻⁴)	0.535	1.02 (0.96, 1.07)	1.20 (1.14, 1.25)	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	0.615
Coronary heart disease	0.006 (0.005, 0.007)	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)	-1x10 ⁻⁴ (-1x10 ⁻³ , 8x10 ⁻⁴)	0.782	1.22 (1.18, 1.27)	1.14 (1.10, 1.17)	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.331
Stroke	1x10 ⁻⁴ (-5x10 ⁻⁴ , 8x10 ⁻⁴)	0.001 (0.000, 0.001)	4x10 ⁻⁵ (-5x10 ⁻⁴ , 6x10 ⁻⁴)	0.901	1.02 (0.95, 1.08)	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	1.01 (0.95, 1.07)	0.865

Table S12 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by childhood maltreatment score on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, adjusted for covariate interactions. Interactions considered were those between main confounders (age, sex, and genetic principal components) and the polygenic scores or maltreatment scores. Analyses were also adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

	Adjusted for covariate interactions, $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$							
Continuous Trait	Additive scale				Multiplicative scale			
	Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
BMI	0.12 (0.11, 0.13)	0.099 (0.038, 0.160)	0.007 (0.001, 0.012)	0.015	0.12 (0.11, 0.13)	0.094 (0.033, 0.155)	0.006 (-0.000, 0.011)	0.054
Drinks per week	0.04 (0.04, 0.05)	-0.004 (-0.063, 0.056)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.007)	0.543	0.05 (0.04, 0.06)	-0.068 (-0.129, -0.008)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.007)	0.598
LDL cholesterol	0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.011 (-0.050, 0.072)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	0.476	0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	0.014 (-0.047, 0.076)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	0.452
Lifetime smoking	0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.215 (0.152, 0.279)	0.002 (-0.003, 0.008)	0.463	0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.224 (0.161, 0.287)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	0.515
Systolic blood pressure	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.039 (-0.021, 0.099)	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.004)	0.395	0.09 (0.09, 0.10)	0.034 (-0.026, 0.093)	-0.003 (-0.008, 0.003)	0.366
Binary Trait	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
Atrial fibrillation	0.011 (0.010, 0.012)	-0.002 (-0.011, 0.006)	0.001 (0.000, 0.002)	0.022	1.69 (1.62, 1.76)	0.91 (0.54, 1.56)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.284
Type 2 diabetes	0.003 (0.003, 0.004)	0.002 (-0.005, 0.009)	4×10^{-4} (-2×10^{-4} , 1×10^{-4})	0.173	1.29 (1.22, 1.35)	1.44 (0.88, 2.36)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.656
Coronary heart disease	0.007 (0.006, 0.008)	-0.002 (-0.012, 0.009)	0.001 (-0.000, 0.002)	0.261	1.28 (1.23, 1.32)	1.58 (1.08, 2.31)	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.657
Stroke	1×10^{-4} (-5×10^{-4} , 8×10^{-4})	-0.003 (-0.009, 0.003)	5×10^{-5} (-5×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-4})	0.856	1.02 (0.95, 1.08)	0.86 (0.43, 1.72)	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	0.887

Table S13 – Effect modification of genetic predisposition to cardiovascular risk factors and disease by exposure to childhood maltreatment on the additive and multiplicative scale at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, adjusted for childhood SEP. Proxies of childhood SEP utilised were number of siblings and maternal smoking. Analyses were also adjusted for age, sex and 40 genetic principal components. BMI=body mass index; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; PGS=polygenic score.

	Adjusted for childhood SEP, $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$							
Continuous Trait	Additive scale				Multiplicative scale			
	Mean difference in SD of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	Mean difference in SD of log trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Mean difference in log SD of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
BMI	0.12 (0.12, 0.13)	0.057 (0.051, 0.063)	0.010 (0.004, 0.016)	0.002	0.12 (0.12, 0.13)	0.056 (0.050, 0.062)	0.009 (0.003, 0.015)	0.006
Drinks per week	0.04 (0.04, 0.05)	0.002 (-0.004, 0.008)	4×10^{-4} (-6×10^{-3} , 6×10^{-3})	0.905	0.05 (0.04, 0.05)	-0.025 (-0.031, -0.019)	0.001 (-0.005, 0.007)	0.807
LDL cholesterol	0.23 (0.22, 0.24)	2×10^{-4} (-6×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-4})	0.004 (-0.002, 0.010)	0.160	0.23 (0.22, 0.23)	-0.001 (-0.007, 0.005)	0.005 (-0.001, 0.011)	0.091
Lifetime smoking	0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.087 (0.081, 0.093)	0.003 (-0.003, 0.010)	0.269	0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.090 (0.084, 0.096)	0.004 (-0.003, 0.010)	0.249
Systolic blood pressure	0.09 (0.09, 0.10)	-0.010 (-0.016, -0.004)	-0.001 (-0.007, 0.005)	0.776	0.09 (0.09, 0.10)	-0.011 (-0.017, -0.005)	-0.001 (-0.007, 0.005)	0.795
Binary Trait	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	Risk difference of trait per SD increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction	OR of trait per SD increase of PGS (95% CI)	OR of trait per unit increase of maltreatment score (95% CI)	Interaction coefficient	P value of interaction
Atrial fibrillation	0.011 (0.010, 0.012)	0.001 (-0.000, 0.001)	0.001 (-0.000, 0.001)	0.227	1.72 (1.64, 1.79)	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.183
Type 2 diabetes	0.003 (0.003, 0.004)	0.002 (0.002, 0.003)	0.001 (-0.000, 0.001)	0.082	1.29 (1.22, 1.36)	1.17 (1.12, 1.23)	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	0.865
Coronary heart disease	0.007 (0.005, 0.008)	0.003 (0.002, 0.004)	0.001 (-0.001, 0.002)	0.320	1.27 (1.22, 1.32)	1.12 (1.08, 1.17)	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.963
Stroke	6×10^{-5} (-6×10^{-4} , 7×10^{-4})	5×10^{-4} (-1×10^{-4} , 1×10^{-3})	2×10^{-5} (-6×10^{-4} , 6×10^{-4})	0.956	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	1.05 (0.99, 1.12)	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	0.970

Supplementary Methods 1: Polygenic scores (PGS)

Derivation of PGSs

Summary statistics were downloaded from MR-Base⁹ using the R statistical package, including rs IDs, effect estimates, effect alleles and relevant study information. The 1000 Genomes Project was used to find proxy SNPs in LD (R^2 above 0.8) with SNPs not found in UK Biobank. The SNPs from MR-Base were harmonised with the SNPs from UK Biobank, aligning the effect estimates and alleles. SNPs were clumped using the European subsample of the 1000 genomes project, with $R^2 < 0.001$ and a window of 10,000 kb. PGS were calculated by multiplying the number of effect alleles for each participant in UK Biobank by the effect estimate of the SNP, then summing across all SNPs associated with each trait.

Suitable summary statistics were not available for SBP and lifetime smoking, thus a split sample GWAS of the UK Biobank was performed to generate PGSs. Briefly, the participants of the study were randomly allocated to one of two samples and a GWAS was performed on each of the samples. Summary statistics of each sample were then used to calculate PGSs for the other sample, avoiding sample overlap.¹⁰ This method has been described in detail previously.²

BMI

BMI (kg/m^2) was calculated using the height and weight measurements collected at baseline.

We used summary statistics from the Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits (GIANT) Consortium BMI GWAS on individuals of European ancestry ($n=339\,224$),¹¹ the most recent BMI GWAS without UK Biobank participants to avoid sample overlap.

Alcohol consumption (drinks per week)

Alcohol consumption was self-reported by participants at baseline at the assessment centres. Participants were asked to report their current drinking behaviours with the options daily or almost daily, three or four times a week, once or twice a week, one to three times a month, special occasions only, never or prefer not to say. Participants with an intake of once or twice weekly and over were asked to report their weekly intake of a number of alcoholic beverages; red wine, white wine, champagne, beer, cider, spirits, fortified wine. These were summed to create a variable reflecting the number of drinks per week, in which those which reported to drink one to three times a month or less were assumed to have an intake of 0 drinks per week. This variable has been previously described elsewhere.¹²

Summary statistics from the GWAS and Sequencing Consortium of Alcohol and Nicotine use (GSCAN) GWAS of drinks per week, as previously described,¹³ were employed to generate the PGSs. UK Biobank participants were excluded to avoid sample overlap.

LDL cholesterol

LDL cholesterol was measured from plasma samples collected from participants by enzymatic protective selection analysis on a Beckman Coulter AU5800. Measurements were excluded by UK Biobank if they did not pass the UK Biobank's quality control checks after an inadvertent dilution of samples.¹⁴

Summary statistics were taken from the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium LDL cholesterol GWAS of individuals of European ancestry ($n=188,577$).¹⁵

Lifetime smoking

Smoking behaviour was self-reported by participants at baseline at the assessment centres. Participants were asked about smoking status (current, former or never), age of initiation, and cigarettes smoked per day. A variable reflecting lifetime smoking behaviour was generated by combining the smoking measures into an index and a half-life constant reflecting the exponential decrease in effect of smoking at a given time on health. A detailed overview of the construction of this variable can be found elsewhere.¹⁶

For the GWAS, estimates from the statistical analyses using the two samples were meta-analysed by using the *metan* command on Stata.

Systolic blood pressure

Participant systolic blood pressure measurements were taken at baseline while attending assessment centres using an Omron HEM-7105IT blood pressure monitor. The mean of two resting blood pressure measurements was used.

For the GWAS summary statistics, estimates from the statistical analyses using the two samples were meta-analysed by using the *metan* command on Stata.

Atrial Fibrillation

Atrial fibrillation cases were determined through mortality data from the UK Biobank and linked hospital episode statistics and Scottish morbidity and mortality records, referred to jointly as hospital inpatient records. Cases were identified according to the specified ICD9 and ICD10 disease code as shown in Table S3. Date of diagnosis and date of attendance of assessment centre were compared to distinguish prevalent from incident cases.

Summary statistics were obtained from an Atrial Fibrillation GWAS of individuals of European ancestry (n=59 133, of which 6 707 with atrial fibrillation).¹⁷

Type 2 Diabetes

T2DM cases were determined through mortality data from the UK Biobank and linked hospital inpatient records. Date of diagnosis and date of attendance of assessment centre were compared to distinguish prevalent from incident cases. Given the relatively low proportion of hospitalisation episodes attributed to T2DM alone, self-reported cases to UK Biobank nurses at an assessment centre were included. Cases reported at baseline were identified as prevalent if reported at baseline and as incident if reported at a follow-up visit to the assessment centre, though only a proportion of participants attend these. These self-reported variables do not distinguish between type 1 and type 2 diabetes, yet most of these cases are expected to be of the latter.

Summary statistics were taken from the DIAbetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM) Consortium GWAS of individuals of European ancestry (n=159,234 of which 26,676 with T2DM).¹⁸

Coronary Heart Disease

CHD cases were determined through mortality data from the UK Biobank and linked hospital inpatient records. Cases were identified according to the specified ICD9 and ICD10 disease codes as shown in Table S3, spanning subtypes such as acute myocardial infarction and angina pectoris. Date of diagnosis and date of attendance of assessment centre were compared to distinguish prevalent from incident cases.

Summary statistics were taken from the most recent CHD GWAS without UK Biobank participants. The GWAS included individuals of predominantly (77%) European ancestry (n=184,305, of which 60,801 with CHD).¹⁹

Stroke

Stroke cases were determined through mortality data from the UK Biobank and linked hospital inpatient records. Cases were identified according to the specified ICD9 and ICD10 disease code as shown in Table S3. Date of diagnosis and date of attendance of assessment centre were compared to distinguish prevalent from incident cases.

Summary statistics were obtained from the MEGASTROKE Consortium GWAS including individuals European ancestry (n=446,696, of which cases = 40,585).²⁰

References

1. Millwood IY, Walters RG, Mei XW, et al. Conventional and genetic evidence on alcohol and vascular disease aetiology: a prospective study of 500 000 men and women in China. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10183):1831-1842. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31772-0
2. Carter AR, Gill D, Davies NM, et al. Understanding the consequences of education inequality on cardiovascular disease: Mendelian randomisation study. *BMJ*. 2019;365:1-12. doi:10.1136/bmj.l1855
3. Larsson SC, Bäck M, Rees JMB, Mason AM, Burgess S. Body mass index and body composition in relation to 14 cardiovascular conditions in UK Biobank: a Mendelian randomization study.

- Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(2):221-226. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz388
4. Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, et al. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. 1. Evidence from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38(32):2459-2472. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx144
 5. Cheung BM, Lau C-P, Kumana CR. Meta-analysis of large randomized controlled trials to evaluate the impact of statins on cardiovascular outcomes. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2004;57(5):640-651. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2003.02060.x
 6. Larsson SC, Mason AM, Bäck M, et al. Genetic predisposition to smoking in relation to 14 cardiovascular diseases. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(35):3304-3310. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa193
 7. Etehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10022):957-967. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01225-8
 8. Ahmad OS, Morris JA, Mujammami M, et al. A Mendelian randomization study of the effect of type-2 diabetes on coronary heart disease. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:7060. doi:10.1038/ncomms8060
 9. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Loos R, ed. *Elife.* 2018;7:e34408. doi:10.7554/eLife.34408
 10. Choi SW, Mak TSH, O'Reilly PF. Tutorial: a guide to performing polygenic risk score analyses. *Nat Protoc.* 2020;15(9):2759-2772. doi:10.1038/s41596-020-0353-1
 11. Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature.* 2015;518(7538):197-206. doi:10.1038/nature14177
 12. Howe LJ, Lawson DJ, Davies NM, et al. Genetic evidence for assortative mating on alcohol consumption in the UK Biobank. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12424-x
 13. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet.* 2019;51(2):237-244. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5
 14. UK Biobank. Biomarker assay quality procedures: approaches used to minimise systematic and random errors (and the wider epidemiological implications). 2019;(April):25.
 15. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet.* 2013;45(11):1274-1285. doi:10.1038/ng.2797
 16. Wootton RE, Richmond RC, Stuijzand BG, et al. Evidence for causal effects of lifetime smoking on risk for depression and schizophrenia: A Mendelian randomisation study. *Psychol Med.* 2020;50(14):2435-2443. doi:10.1017/S0033291719002678
 17. Ellinor PT, Lunetta KL, Albert CM, et al. Meta-analysis identifies six new susceptibility loci for atrial fibrillation. *Nat Genet.* 2012;44(6):670-675. doi:10.1038/ng.2261
 18. Scott RA, Scott LJ, Mägi R, et al. An Expanded Genome-Wide Association Study of Type 2 Diabetes in Europeans. *Diabetes.* 2017;66(11):2888-2902. doi:10.2337/db16-1253
 19. Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, et al. A comprehensive 1000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet.* 2015;47(10):1121-1130. doi:10.1038/ng.3396
 20. Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, et al. Multi-ancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. *Nat Genet.*

2018;50(4):524-537. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0058-3