**Heterozygous and homozygous variants in *STX1A* cause a neurodevelopmental disorder with or without epilepsy**
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Figure S1. Pedigree of the family of Individuals 1 and 2. [removed medRxiv policy]

# Table S1. Detailed phenotypic overview of all individuals with rare variants in STX1A

Provided as a separate Excel-file. [not provided due to medRxiv policy]

# **Table S2.** *In silico* prediction of splice variant in *STX1A*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ind.** | **Genomic position (hg19)** | **Variant**  **NM\_004603.3** | **CADD-v6**1 | **SpliceAI**2 | **MaxEntScan**3 | **NNSPLICE**4 | **Nucleotide conservation** | **gnomAD**5 |
| 1, 2 | chr7:73118754 | c.284-1G>A, p.? | 35 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | high | 0 |

Color code represents the probability of the variant to be damaging; red: high

# **Table S3.** *In silico* prediction of missense and inframe deletion variants in *STX1A*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ind.** | **Genomic position (hg19)** | **Variant**  **NM\_004603.3** | **CADD-v6**1 | **REVEL**6 | **Mutation Taster**7 | **M-CAP 1.3**8 | **Polyphen 2 v2.2.2**9 | **AA conservation** | **gnomAD**5 |
| 3, 4 | chr7:73118509 | c.435C>G,  p.(Cys145Trp) | 29.2 | 0.547  (LDC) | D | 0.109  (PoP) | PrD | high | 0 |
| 5 | chr7:73117299 | c.554C>G,  p.(Ser185Cys) | 24.9 | 0.301  (MDC) | D | 0.032  (PoP) | B | high | 0 |
| 6 | chr7:73117183\_73117185del | c.668\_670delTGG,  p.(Val223del) | 22.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | high | 0 |
| 7 | chr7:73117176 | c.677A>G,  p.(Gln226Arg) | 32 | 0.723  (LDC) | D | 0.328  (PoP) | PrD | high | 0 |
| 8 | chr7:73115125\_73115127del | c.722\_724delTAG,  p.(Val241del) | 20.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | high | 0 |
| (55498)11 | chr7:73119527 | c.236T>G,  p.(Met79Arg) | 23.8 | 0.238  (MDC) | D | 0.021  (LB) | B | high | 0 |

Color code represents the probability of the variant to be damaging; red: high; orange: medium; green: low; LDC = likely disease causing; LDC = maybe disease causing; D = Deleterious; PoP = Possibly Pathogenic; PrD = Probably Damaging; B = Benign; LB = likely benign; NA = not available
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