1 Supplementary Material

- 2
- 3 Methods

4 **MinION library preparation**: Where possible 1 μ g, or the maximum amount available 5 up to 1 μ g, of genomic DNA of each of the 47 samples was dissolved in 50 μ l of nuclease-free 6 water and fragmented using a Covaris g-TUBE (Covaris, USA) according to manufacturer's 7 instructions (centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 60 seconds, in both directions). To confirm 8 fragmentation, 1 µl of each sample DNA was run on the 2100 Bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent 9 Technologies, USA). End repair and dA tailing were performed on the sheared DNA using 10 NEBNext[®] Ultra[™] II End repair/dA Tailing Module (NEB, UK) and end-prepped DNA purified 11 using solid phase reversible immobilisation (SPRI) purification (Beckman Coulter, UK), eluting 12 in 22.5 µl of nuclease-free water according to manufacturer's instructions. Twelve native 13 barcodes NB01-NB12 from kit EXP-NBD103 (ONT, UK) were ligated to 12 samples of end-14 prepped DNA, using 22.5 μ l end-prepped DNA, 2.5 μ l of native barcode adaptor and 25 μ l of 15 Blunt TA master mix (NEB, UK). A second SPRI purification was carried out according to 16 manufacturer's instructions and DNA eluted in 15 μ l with water. DNA was quantified using 17 Qubit v1.0 with Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen, USA). Equal amounts of each sample 18 were pooled into sets of three, with a total pooling mass of approximately 700 ng, equalling 19 approximately 233 ng per sample. 20 μ l of native barcoding adapter mix (BAM) was ligated to 20 each pool using 50 μ l Blunt TA master mix, and made up to 100 μ l with water. A final SPRI 21 purification step was done using 40 µl of SPRI beads, followed by 2 x 140 µl wash with adapter 22 bead binding (ABB) and elution in 25 μ l of elution buffer (ELB). The library was then ready for 23 sequencing on the MinION.

MinION sequencing was performed using 16 MinION flow cells (Flow Cell - FLOMIN105 using R9.2 Pore) with barcoded-DNA from three isolates per flow cell. Each MinION
flow cell was removed from its packaging and inserted onto the MinION 1.1b (MIN101B) and
MinION quality control software run to assess pore activity. Barcoded one-directional DNA

libraries were created using library kits: SQK-NSK007 and EXP-NBD103, and 12 µl of each
barcoded sample pool was loaded into the MinION according to manufacturer's instructions.
Flow cells were run for varying lengths of time, between 2–24 hours. No flow cells were

reused. 1D base calling was performed using the Metrichor rev 1.107 (cloud) platform.

32 Post-hoc quality control adjustment: The first, 'filter 1', maintained all QC parameters 33 of standard QC (as detailed in the methods), with alteration to only the DP4 filtering criteria 34 to include at least one read mapping to each strand (forward and reverse) of either the REF 35 or ALT site, and with these minimum criteria met, at least 75% of total number of reads 36 supporting that site. The second, 'filter 2', also maintained all QC parameters of standard QC 37 with a further relaxation of DP4 criteria to a minimum of only one read covering one strand 38 of either the REF or ALT site, and with these minimum criteria met, at least 75% of reads 39 supporting that site. Additionally, the impact on accuracy when compared to the MiSeq 40 position within the same isolate, was done.

41

31

42 Results

43 Post-hoc quality control adjustment: For standard QC parameters, DP4 requires a
44 minimum of four reads, with at least two mapping to each strand of one site (supporting the
45 REF or ALT), and at least 75% of reads supporting that site. In many cases, strand-bias was
46 caused by sufficient reads for only one strand (on one site), and less than two for the
47 opposite strand, resulting in QC failure.

The relaxation of QC parameters using filter 1 and filter 2, increased QC pass rates with increasing depth of coverage (Supplementary Table 2). Overall, increased QC pass rate was not associated with loss of accuracy. The impact of filter 1 QC parameters on genome position 620918 within codon 91 in GyrA, was an increase in number of positions passing QC, as well as maintaining accuracy at 100% when compared to the MiSeq, across all three depths. For genome position 620906 within codon 95 of GyrA, filter 1 QC parameters saw the number

54	that passed QC increase from 4/22 to 9/22 at 10x depth, however no further increase was
55	seen at 30x and 40x depth. All sites that passed filter 1 QC at this position agreed with the
56	MiSeq and maintained 100% accuracy. Filter 2 QC parameters, saw an increase in isolate
57	numbers at both positions in GyrA that passed QC at depths of 10x, 30x and 40x, but with
58	decreased accuracy (Supplementary Table 2, C & D).
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	

84 Supplementary Table 1. Summary of MinION and MiSeq sequencing outputs

	MiSeq		MinION		
Isolate	Read count	Depth	Read count	Depth	Median read length
NG002	286726	59	37791	95	5228
NG003	374461	80	32921	89	5906
NG004	359432	79	32297	80	4724
NG005	398056	84	16470	42	5268
NG006	329834	75	66837	80	2013
NG007	382478	79	37944	82	4477
NG008	144410	26	58890	76	1957
NG010	181701	41	28766	50	3489
NG011	254192	55	21676	40	4016
NG012	235162	54	29130	39	2491
NG013	125864	22	37164	75	4311
NG014	249449	54	36783	57	3096
NG015	223409	46	19411	47	5598
NG016	209404	45	20056	41	3802
NG017	142738	30	21572	39	3882
NG018	186799	42	40262	90	4891
NG019	287033	63	32981	68	4416
NG020	279821	60	84971	105	1813
NG022	251790	53	39907	65	3409
NG023	276267	62	57729	80	2488
NG024	354985	75	26719	59	4767
NG026	240317	54	19265	43	4865
NG027	283617	61	26274	54	4487
NG028	204630	42	36560	51	2559
NG029	121248	21	13602	30	5154
NG031	273662	63	13538	29	4489
NG032	326198	68	5117	11	4299
NG033	164870	37	21286	24	1883
NG035	185770	38	18782	26	2131
NG037	274239	61	17889	26	1658
NG038	197600	41	27064	34	1755
NG039	241124	54	15144	19	1774
NG040	237790	51	8086	19	5672
NG043	169118	28	80153	67	1355
NG044	220790	45	27641	32	1636
NG045	190776	43	26785	36	2043
NG047	173802	39	32648	34	1412
NG048	253662	56	25489	31	1576
NG049	88812	17	39523	40	1499
NG050	34598	6	45642	46	1188
NG051	382287	83	24154	32	2067
NG052	510395	111	49371	42	1500
NG054	275550	58	47080	40	1427
NG056	314907	71	13558	32	5172
NG057	169721	31	15576	32	4892

86	Read count and depth of coverage of MinION and MiSeq sequencing, with median
87	read length for MinION only. Sequencing depth was calculated based on reads
88	mapped against the FA1090 reference genome (accession: NC_002946).
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	
101	
102	
103	
104	
105	
106	
107	
108	
109	
110	
111	
112	
113	
114	
115	
116	

117 Supplementary Table 2. Number and accuracy of MinION variant calls passing

118 standard and alternative QC parameters

119 A.

Passed QC	Standard QC	Filter1	Filter2
10x	13.5%	28.7%	52.8%
	185/1371	393/1372	724/1371
30x	36.5%	47.9%	70.2%
	503/1378	660/1378	967/1378
40x	40.9%	51.3%	72.7%
	565/1382	709/1382	1005/1382

120

121

Β.

Passed QC + accuracy	Standard QC	Filter1	Filter2
10x	100%	100%	99.2%
	185/185	393/393	718/724
30x	99.8%	99.8%	98.8%
	502/503	659/660	955/967
40x	99.8%	99.7%	98.8%
	564/565	707/709	993/1005

122

123 **c.**

124 Position: 620906 (GyrA D95)

Passed QC + accuracy	Standard QC	Filter1	Filter2
10x	100%	100%	86.7%
	4/4	9/9	13/15
30x	100%	100%	81.3%
	13/13	13/13	13/16
40x	100%	100%	81.3%
	13/13	13/13	13/16

125

126 Position: 620918 (GyrA S91)

Passed QC + accuracy	Standard QC	Filter1	Filter2
10x	0	100%	100%
	0/0	7/7	13/13
30x	100%	100%	100%
	10/10	14/14	16/16
40x	100%	100%	100%
	12/12	14/14	17/17

127

Total number of the 68 nucleotide positions representing 37 non-plasmid RAMs across 22
MinION isolates which: A. passed standard, filter 1, and filter 2 QC parameters B. passed
standard, filter 1, and filter 2 QC parameters, and were accurate when compared to the
MiSeq sequences within the same isolate, at the same position C. Total number of positions:
620906, and 620918 corresponding to GyrA D95 and S91 respectively, that passed standard,
filter 1, and filter 2 QC parameters, and were accurate when compared to the MiSeq
sequences within the same isolate, at the same position.

136 Supplementary Figure 1. MinION genome depth of coverage vs sequencing time



138 MinION depth of coverage at increasing timepoints.