

Appendix C: Overview of the risk of bias, classified as low, moderate or high in each of the seven identified bias domains.

Abbreviations: m: male; f: female; No information on status: no assessment of the participants' physical health, mental health or fall history

Bias domain	1 – Confounding factors	2 – Participant selection	3 – Group allocation based on fall risk assessment	4 – Intended experiment	5 – Motion analysis outcome parameters	6 – Missing data	7 – Result reporting	Total bias
<b>Stair climbing</b>								
Ackermans (2019)	No information on gender.	No information on status or gender.	Moderate High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on valid clinical tests or questionnaires.	Low Subjects were allowed to take breaks to avoid fatigue.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Begg (2000)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of male subjects. No information on status.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Bosse (2012)	Low	No information on status.	NA	No information on randomization. No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Low
Carli (2014)	No information on gender balance within groups.	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 4 m, 30 f.	Moderate High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on valid clinical tests or questionnaires.	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Chiu (2015)	Low	No information on status.	NA	No information on randomization.	Low	Low	Low	Low

				No information on fatigue prevention strategy.				
Christina (2002)	Moderate Gender balance is different across groups Y: 5 m, 7 f O: 8 m, 4 f	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low physical test scores.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low 1 participant slipped and was removed from the analysis.	Low	Moderate
Francksen (2020)	No information on gender.	No information on status or gender.	NA	No information on randomization. No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Low
Hamel (2005)	Low	Serious Exclusion of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low physical test scores.</li> <li>• male subjects.</li> </ul>	NA	No information on randomization. No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low Only trials of a pre-specified speed were included in the analysis.	Low	Serious
Kim (2009)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low physical test scores.</li> <li>• subjects with fall history.</li> </ul>	NA	No information on randomization. No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Novak (2011)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with fall history. Gender is unbalanced 21 m, 36 f.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low 1 subject per group was excluded due to technical failure.	Low	Moderate

Novak (2016)	Low	No information on status	NA	Low Trials were randomised to prevent fatigue affecting the results.	Moderate Markers placed on the sacrum were used as a proxy for the center of mass.	Low	Low	Moderate
Oh-Park (2012)	Low	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 147 m, 224 f.	Moderate High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on valid clinical tests or questionnaires.	Low Subjects were allowed a brief rest.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Oh-Park (2011)	No information on gender distribution within groups-	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 204 m & 309 f.	Low High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on fall history.	Low Subjects were allowed a brief rest.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Zietz (2011)	Low	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 3 m, 19 f	Low High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on fall history.	Moderate 4 out of the 7 high fall risk older adults (and no other participant) used the handrail. No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Serious No information on how the center of mass is calculated.	Low	Low	Serious
<b>Perturbations</b>								
Kazanski (2020)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low	NA	Low There was an approximately 2	Low	Moderate 2 of the 17 older adults (and none of the younger adults) used	Low	Moderate

		physical and mental test scores.		minute rest between trials.		the handrail, and were removed from the analysis.		
Martelli (2017)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with fall history.	NA	Low Subjects were allowed to rest if needed. No information on randomization.	Low	Low 2 out of 24 trials (both in elderly subjects) were removed due to technical failure.	Low	Moderate
Nachmani (2020)	No information on gender.	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low cognitive test score. No information on gender.	NA	Low Subjects were allowed to rest if needed.	Moderate The center of mass was calculated as the midpoint between both ASIS markers.	Serious 13 of the 35 older adults (and none of the younger adults) failed to complete the task and were removed from the analysis.	Low	Serious
Roeles (2018)	Moderate Gender balance is different across groups Y: 6 m, 3 f O: 2 m, 7 f	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low physical test scores.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Sun (2017)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low physical and mental test scores.	NA	Low Subjects rested 5 minutes between trials.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Shulman (2018)	Low	Low	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Low
Shulman (2019)	Low	Low	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low 4 of the 560 trials (all in older adults) were	Low	Low

						removed from the analysis because participants contacted the floor with the forefoot prior to heel contact.		
Tropea (2015)	Moderate Gender balance is different across groups Y: 4 m, 2 f O: 2 m, 4 f	Moderate Exclusion of participants with low physical health (as assessed by a physical therapist)	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy. No information on randomization.	Low	Low	Serious No statistic analysis	Serious
<b>Obstacle crossing</b>								
Caetano (2016)	Low	Moderate : Exclusion of : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low physical and mental test scores.</li> <li>• subjects with fall history.</li> </ul> Gender is unbalanced: 25 m, 46 f.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Moderate 22% of the older adults (and none of the younger adults) made at least 1 mistake, and trials with a mistake were removed from the analysis.	Low	Moderate
Chen (1991)	Low	Low	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Low
Chen (1994)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low physical test scores.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Moderate Trials in which participants failed to step over an obstacle were removed from the analysis. There is	Low	Moderate

						no information on how often this occurred.		
Chien (2018)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with fall history	NA	Low Subjects rested one minute between trials.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Guadagnin (2020)	Low	Serious Exclusion of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low mental test scores</li> <li>• male subjects</li> </ul>	Low High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on fall history	No information on fatigue prevention strategy. No information on randomization.	Low	Low	Low	Serious
Kim (2013)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low physical and mental test scores</li> <li>• subjects with fall history</li> </ul>	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Lu (2006)	No information on gender.	No information on status or gender.	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy. No information on randomization.	Low	Low	Low	Low
Mckenzie (2004)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• subjects with low physical and mental test scores</li> <li>• subjects with fall history</li> </ul>	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Moderate 6 out of 17 older adults and 8 out of 15 younger adults were not analysed due to technical failure.	Low	Moderate

		Gender is unbalanced: 12m, 20 f						
Pan (2016)	No information on gender.	No information on gender.	Moderate High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on valid clinical tests or questionnaires.	Low Subjects were allowed to rest if needed.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Pieruccini-Faria (2019)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of male subjects.	Low High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on fall history.	No information on fatigue prevention strategy. No information on randomization.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Pijnappels (2004)	Low	Low	Moderate High and low risk older adults are differentiated based on valid clinical tests or questionnaires.	No information on fatigue prevention strategy. No information on randomization.	Low	Moderate Older adults (but no younger adults) sometimes performed “a lowering strategy at mid-swing”, and these trials were removed from the analysis.	Low	Moderate
Uemura (2011)	Low	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 26 m, 50 f.	Low	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Wang (2010)	Low	Moderate Exclusion of subjects with low	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low	Low	Moderate

		physical test scores.		No information on randomization.				
Weerdesteyn (2005)	Low	Moderate Gender is unbalanced: 26 m, 98 f	NA	No information on fatigue prevention strategy.	Low	Low 1% of trials were removed from the analysis because the subject performed an "intermediate avoidance strategy".	Low	Moderate