## Debriefing surgery: a systematic review

Emma Skegg, Canice McElroy, Mercedes Mudgway, James Hamill

**Supplementary table.** Quality scores for papers included in the systematic review.

Article Name and Author: Study 1	The surgical debrief: Just another checklist or an instrument to drive	Leonard et al. (2021)
	cultural change?	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as		
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory) or data		
collection methods (e.g., interview, focus		
group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon		
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Yes	
the study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research	No	
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,		
phenomenology, narrative research) and		
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the		
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,		
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**  Researcher characteristics and	No	
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	NO	
may influence the research, including personal		
attributes, qualifications/experience,		
relationship with participants, assumptions,		
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual		
interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	

factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human No subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues Data collection methods - Types of data Yes collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and Yes technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing Yes data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main Yes findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a

theory or model, or integration with prior

research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)	Yes	
to substantiate analytic findings  Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and	165	
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings	NT-	
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study	No	
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	Yes	15/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		
interpretation, and reporting	Okaza da ana 1 fa a lla a la anala ana a	Det et al (cocc)
Article Name and Author: Study 2	Structured feedback enhances compliance with operating room	But et al. (2020)
	compliance with operating room	
	debriefs	
Title - Concise description of the nature and		
topic of the study Identifying the study as	debriefs	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,	debriefs	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	debriefs	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	debriefs	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the	debriefs	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	debriefs Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	debriefs Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	debriefs Yes Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	debriefs Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and	debriefs Yes Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	debriefs Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of	debriefs Yes Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  *Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  *Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  *Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	debriefs Yes  Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research	debriefs Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  *Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  *Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  *Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	debriefs Yes  Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	debriefs Yes  Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  *Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  *Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  *Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  *Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	debriefs Yes  Yes  Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and	debriefs Yes  Yes  Yes	

constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and No reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability Context - Setting/site and salient contextual Yes factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human No subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other Yesconfidentiality and data security issues Data collection methods - Types of data Yes collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and Yes technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing Yes data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of

excerpts

<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	No	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	No	
<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	16/21
Article Name and Author: Study 3	Debriefing: the forgotten phase of the surgical safety checklist	Bartz-Kurycki et al. (2017)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	

<b>Problem formulation -</b> Description and	Yes
significance of the problem/phenomenon	
studied; review of relevant theory and	
empirical work; problem statement	
<b>Purpose or research question - Purpose of</b>	Yes
the study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research	No
<b>paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	No
<b>reflexivity</b> - Researchers' characteristics that	
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	No
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	

collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed		
over the course of the study	77	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes	
characteristics of participants, documents, or		
events included in the study; level of		
participation (could be reported in results)	77	
Data processing - Methods for processing	Yes	
data prior to and during analysis, including		
transcription, data entry, data management		
and security, verification of data integrity, data		
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of		
excerpts	N.	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	No	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data		
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm		
or approach; rationale**	Ma	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member		
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	Voc	
<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	Yes	
themes); might include development of a		
theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	No	
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)	110	
to substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and	165	
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings	-00	
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of	No	
influence or perceived influence on study		
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other	No	13/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		• •
interpretation, and reporting		

Article Name and Author: Study 4	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	Dharampal et al. (2016)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	Yes	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	No	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack	Yes	

thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

**Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\*

**Data collection instruments and technologies** - Description of instruments
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed
over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

**Data processing** - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

**Data analysis** - Process by which inferences, Yethemes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\*

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\*

Synthesis and interpretation - Main
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and
themes); might include development of a

**Links to empirical data** - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

theory or model, or integration with prior

research or theory

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings	160	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	Yes	
influence or perceived influence on study	163	
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	Yes	19/21
support; role of funders in data collection,	160	19/21
interpretation, and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 5	Operating room efficiency	Porta et al. (2013)
Article Name and Addition, Study 5	improvement after implementation	1 01ta et al. (2013)
	of a postoperative team assessment	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as	165	
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory) or data		
collection methods (e.g., interview, focus		
group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended	163	
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon	163	
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Ves	
the study and specific objectives or questions	100	
Qualitative approach and research	No	
<b>paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	1.0	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,		
phenomenology, narrative research) and		
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the		
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,		
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and	No	
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that		
may influence the research, including personal		
attributes, qualifications/experience,		
relationship with participants, assumptions,		
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual		
interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
* '		

approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	No
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
subjects - Documentation of approval by an	105
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
	Voc
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
-	No
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data	
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	
or approach; rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes

findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory		
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and		
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	No	
limitations of findings <b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of	No	
influence or perceived influence on study	NO	
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	No	13/21
_	110	13/ =1
support; role of funders in data confection,		
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting		
·	Briefing and debriefing in the	Papaspyros et al.
interpretation, and reporting	cardiac operating room. Analysis of	Papaspyros et al. (2009)
interpretation, and reporting	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude	
interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 6	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude	
interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety Yes	
Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	
Article Name and Author: Study 6  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety  Yes  Yes  Yes	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety Yes  Yes  Yes	

ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and No reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability Context - Setting/site and salient contextual Yes factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research No participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human No subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues Data collection methods - Types of data Yes collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and Yes technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

Data processing - Methods for processing

data prior to and during analysis, including

No

	<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	Yes	
	Article Name and Author: Study 7	Briefing-debriefing: using a reflexive organizational learning model from the military to enhance the performance of surgical teams	Vashdi et al. (2007)
_	managed <b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	13/21
	Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were	No	
	<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
	elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
	implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
	Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings  Integration with prior work,	Yes	
	findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory		
	Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**  Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes	
	coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts <b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale** <b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> -	Yes	
	transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data		

collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	
group) is recommended	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes
study using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes
significance of the problem/phenomenon	
studied; review of relevant theory and	
empirical work; problem statement	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of	Yes
the study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research	Yes
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	No
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	1.0
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	105
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	105
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	No
subjects - Documentation of approval by an	110
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
	Voc
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	

modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	<b>3</b> 7
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	No
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	Vee
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	Yes
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data	
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	
or approach; rationale**	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -</b>	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	
themes); might include development of a	
theory or model, or integration with prior	
research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	Yes
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)	
to substantiate analytic findings	
Integration with prior work,	Yes
implications, transferability, and	
contribution(s) to the field - Short	
summary of main findings; explanation of how	
findings and conclusions connect to, support,	
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of	
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	
application/generalizability; identification of	
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a	
discipline or field	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes
limitations of findings	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	No
	•

influence or perceived influence on study		
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	No	15/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		
interpretation, and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 8	Surfacing safety hazards using	Bandari et al. (2012)
	stanardized operating room	
	briefings and debriefings at a large	
	regional medical center	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as		
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory) or data		
collection methods (e.g., interview, focus		
group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon		
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement	Voc	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of	Yes	
the study and specific objectives or questions <b>Qualitative approach and research</b>	No	
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	NO	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,		
phenomenology, narrative research) and		
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the		
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,		
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and	No	
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that		
may influence the research, including personal		
attributes, qualifications/experience,		
relationship with participants, assumptions,		
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual		
interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were		

selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
<b>Ethical issues pertaining to human</b>	No
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	103
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
	res
technologies - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	<b>37</b>
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	<b>3</b> 7
Data processing - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data	
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	
or approach; rationale**	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -</b>	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	
themes); might include development of a	
theory or model, or integration with prior	
research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	Yes
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)	

to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings	165	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	No	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection,	No	14/21
interpretation, and reporting	Inculant outing atom double ad	Donombolto et al
Article Name and Author: Study 9	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	Berenholtz et al. (2009)
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon		
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement <b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of	Yes	
the study and specific objectives or questions	165	
Qualitative approach and research	Yes	
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	No	

interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	No
factors; rationale**	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	Yes
	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant	
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of	
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed,	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes

checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** <b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	No	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Yes	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	No	
•		1
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	14/21
support; role of funders in data collection,	Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in the operating theatre	14/21  Bethune et al. (2011)
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 10  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 10  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in the operating theatre	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 10  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	Use of briefings and debriefings as a tool in improving teamwork, efficiency, and communication in the operating theatre  No	

Qualitative approach and research	Yes
<b>paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	No
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	No
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	No
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	

<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	No	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	No	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	No	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations - Trustworthness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	13/21
Article Name and Author: Study 11	Implementation of surgical debriefing programs in large health systems: an exploratory qualitative analysis	Brindle et al. (2018)
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	Yes	

publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes
significance of the problem/phenomenon	
studied; review of relevant theory and	
empirical work; problem statement	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of	Yes
the study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research	Yes
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	Yes
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	100
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	No
factors; rationale**	110
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	100
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments	100
cominiones Description of motiuments	

(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed		
over the course of the study	W	
<b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or	Yes	
events included in the study; level of		
participation (could be reported in results)		
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	No	
data prior to and during analysis, including		
transcription, data entry, data management		
and security, verification of data integrity, data		
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of		
excerpts		
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	Yes	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data		
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm		
or approach; rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member		
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**  Synthesis and interpretation - Main	No	
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	110	
themes); might include development of a		
theory or model, or integration with prior		
research or theory		
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g.,	Yes	
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)		
to substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and		
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	No	
limitations of findings	110	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	Yes	
influence or perceived influence on study		
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	Yes	17/21

interpretation, and reporting Article Name and Author: Study 12	Debriefing in the OR: A quality	Finch et al. (2019)
	improvement project	
<b>Fitle</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as		
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory) or data		
collection methods (e.g., interview, focus		
group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon		
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Yes	
the study and specific objectives or questions	-00	
Qualitative approach and research	No	
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	110	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,		
phenomenology, narrative research) and		
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the		
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,		
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and	No	
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	110	
may influence the research, including personal		
attributes, qualifications/experience,		
relationship with participants, assumptions,		
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual		
interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions,		
approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**	100	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were		
selected; criteria for deciding when no further		
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling		
saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes	
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an		
appropriate ethics review board and		

participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\*

Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

Units of study - Number and relevant

characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\*

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support,

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

No

No

Yes

No

Yes

elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	No	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	Yes	
influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	No	13/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		
interpretation, and reporting		TZ1 ' 1 ()
Article Name and Author: Study 13	Coaching to improve the quality of communication during briefings and debriefings	Kleiner et al. (2014)
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes	
significance of the problem/phenomenon		
studied; review of relevant theory and		
empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	165	
Qualitative approach and research	Yes	
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,		
phenomenology, narrative research) and		
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the		
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,		
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and	No	
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that		
may influence the research, including personal		
attributes, qualifications/experience,		
relationship with participants, assumptions,		
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers'		
characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research	Yes	

participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data	
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	
or approach; rationale**	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -</b>	Yes
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	
themes); might include development of a	
theory or model, or integration with prior	
research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	No

quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings  Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Yes	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	18/21
Article Name and Author: Study 14	Effects of perioperative briefing and debriefing on patient safety: a prospective intervention study	Leong et al. (2017)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended		
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	Yes	
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	Yes	
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and		

Researcher characteristics and	No
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	110
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
•	Yes
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	168
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	168
1	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	<b>T</b> 7
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	1.0
memos, etc., were racinitied and developed,	

including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	No	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**  Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	Yes	
themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory		
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	No	
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	Yes	
influence or perceived influence on study		
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other	No	15/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		
interpretation, and reporting	Changing an austing manner cultures	Mazillatal (2017)
Article Name and Author: Study 15	Changing operating room culture: implementation of a postoperative debrief and improved safety culture	Magill et al. (2017)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and	Yes	
topic of the study Identifying the study as		
qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,		
ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus		
group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes	
study using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and	Yes	

significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and	
empirical work; problem statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Yes
the study and specific objectives or questions	168
Qualitative approach and research	No
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	No
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	110
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
, , ,	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	No
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
technologies - Description of instruments	100
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
concetion, n/ now the instrument(s) changed	

over the course of the study		
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes	
characteristics of participants, documents, or		
events included in the study; level of		
participation (could be reported in results)		
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	Yes	
data prior to and during analysis, including		
transcription, data entry, data management		
and security, verification of data integrity, data		
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of		
excerpts		
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	No	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data		
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm		
or approach; rationale**	Ma	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -</b> Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	No	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member		
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes	
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	165	
themes); might include development of a		
theory or model, or integration with prior		
research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	Yes	
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)		
to substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and		
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field	\$7	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes	
limitations of findings <b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of	Yes	
influence or perceived influence on study	ies	
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other	No	15/21
support; role of funders in data collection,		-0/
interpretation, and reporting		

Article Name and Author: Study 16	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al. (2020)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	Yes	
recommended; rationale**  Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	No	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes	
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security	Yes	

## issues

Data collection methods - Types of data Yes collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and Yes technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing Yes data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, Yes themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main Yes findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., Yes quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, Yes implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of

earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of

application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	19/21
Article Name and Author: Study 17	Predictors of successful implementation of preoperative briefings and postoperative debriefings after medical team training	Paull et al. (2009)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Yes	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	No	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers'	No	

characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes
·	Voc
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were	Yes
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human	No
subjects - Documentation of approval by an	110
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	100
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	
modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study <b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study <b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study <b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed,	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	Yes
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study  Units of study - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)  Data processing - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Yes	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	No	
Integration with prior work,	Yes	
implications, transferability, and		
contribution(s) to the field - Short		
summary of main findings; explanation of how		
findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of		
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a		
discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	No	
limitations of findings		
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of	No	
influence or perceived influence on study		
conduct and conclusions; how these were		
managed		
<b>Funding -</b> Sources of funding and other	No	12/21
_	110	12/21
support; role of funders in data collection,	110	12/21
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting		
support; role of funders in data collection,	Use of a surgical debriefing	Rose et al. (2018)
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes  Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	
support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 18  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist to achieve higher value health care Yes  Yes  Yes	

ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and No reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability Context - Setting/site and salient contextual Yes factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human No subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues Data collection methods - Types of data Yes collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and Yes technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of

participation (could be reported in results) **Data processing** - Methods for processing

data prior to and during analysis, including

Yes

transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts  Data analysis - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	No	
or approach; rationale**  Techniques to enhance trustworthiness - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	No	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale** <b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior	Yes	
research or theory <b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	No	
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Yes	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	15/21
Article Name and Author: Study 19	Long□term effects of perioperative briefing and debriefing on team climate: A mixed□method evaluation study	Schaap et al. (2020)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data	Yes	

collection methods (e.g., interview, focus	
group) is recommended	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the	Yes
study using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and	Yes
significance of the problem/phenomenon	
studied; review of relevant theory and	
empirical work; problem statement	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of	Yes
the study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research	No
paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g.,	
ethnography, grounded theory, case study,	
phenomenology, narrative research) and	
guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the	
research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and	No
reflexivity - Researchers' characteristics that	110
may influence the research, including personal	
attributes, qualifications/experience,	
relationship with participants, assumptions,	
and/or presuppositions; potential or actual	
interaction between researchers'	
characteristics and the research questions,	
approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
•	Voc
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Yes
	Voc
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were	
selected; criteria for deciding when no further	
sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling	
saturation); rationale**	**
Ethical issues pertaining to human	Yes
<b>subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an	
appropriate ethics review board and	
participant consent, or explanation for lack	
thereof; other confidentiality and data security	
issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data	Yes
collected; details of data collection procedures	
including (as appropriate) start and stop dates	
of data collection and analysis, iterative	
process, triangulation of sources/methods, and	

modification of procedures in response to	
evolving study findings; rationale**	<b>T</b> 7
Data collection instruments and	Yes
<b>technologies</b> - Description of instruments	
(e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and	
devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data	
collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	100
events included in the study; level of	
participation (could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing	Yes
data prior to and during analysis, including	100
transcription, data entry, data management	
and security, verification of data integrity, data	
coding, and anonymization/de-identification of	
excerpts	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences,	Yes
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	100
including the researchers involved in data	
analysis; usually references a specific paradigm	
or approach; rationale**	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -</b>	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	110
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member	
checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main	Yes
findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and	100
themes); might include development of a	
theory or model, or integration with prior	
research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g.,	Yes
quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs)	100
to substantiate analytic findings	
Integration with prior work,	Yes
implications, transferability, and	100
contribution(s) to the field - Short	
summary of main findings; explanation of how	
findings and conclusions connect to, support,	
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of	
earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	
application/generalizability; identification of	
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a	
discipline or field	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and	Yes
limitations of findings	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of	Yes

influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed

**Funding** - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting

No

17/21