**Table S1.** Quality scores for papers included in the review.<sup>1</sup>

Article Name and Author: Study 1	The surgical debrief: Just another checklist or an instrument to drive cultural change?	Leonard et al. (2021)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		

<sup>1</sup> Emma Skegg, Canice McElroy, Mercedes Mudgway, James Hamill (2022). Debriefing surgery: a systematic review.

necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	. 00
substantiate analytic findings	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	. 03
Short summary of main findings; explanation of	
2 Sammar, S. main intaings, explanation of	

how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field **Limitations** - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings **Conflicts of interest** - Potential sources of influence No

or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed

**Funding** - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting

15/21

Article Name and Author: Study 2 Structured feedback enhances But et al. (2020) compliance with operating room debriefs

**Title** - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended

**Abstract** - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions

**Problem formulation** - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions

Qualitative approach and research paradigm -Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended: rationale \* \*

Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other	
Yesconfidentiality and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	

include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	Yes	16/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		

Article Name and Author: Study 3	Debriefing: the forgotten phase of the surgical safety checklist	Bartz-Kurycki et al. (2017)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		

recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -No Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual Yes factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -No Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues **Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed,

including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach;

rationale <sup>* *</sup>		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	No	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
-	No	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and	No No	13/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed		13/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support;		13/21
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		13/21  Dharampal et al. (2016)
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No Attitudes and beliefs about the	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	No  Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes  Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 4  Title - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance	Attitudes and beliefs about the surgical safety checklist: Just another tick box?  Yes  Yes	

Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes
study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes
1	

prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	Yes
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	
substantiate analytic findings	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	
Short summary of main findings; explanation of	
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,	
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier	
scholarship; discussion of scope of	
application/generalizability; identification of	
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline	
or field	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes
findings	
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence	Yes
or perceived influence on study conduct and	
conclusions; how these were managed	
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	Yes

Article Name and Author: Study 5	Operating room efficiency	Porta et al. (2013)
	improvement after implementation	
	of a postoperative team assessment	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	

19/21

**Title** - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended

role of funders in data collection, interpretation,

and reporting

<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes
using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes
study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	140
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	V
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	No
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	

used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)		
changed over the course of the study		
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes	
characteristics of participants, documents, or		
events included in the study; level of participation		
(could be reported in results)		
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes	
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,		
data entry, data management and security,		
verification of data integrity, data coding, and		
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data analysis;		
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	13/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		

questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)

Article Name and Author: Study 6	Briefing and debriefing in the cardiac operating room. Analysis of impact on theatre team attitude and patient safety	Papaspyros et al. (2009)
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	110	
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	No	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No	
Documentation of approval by an appropriate		
ethics review board and participant consent, or		
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality		

and data security issues

**Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale \*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data No prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, Yes themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., Yes interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory **Links to empirical data** - Evidence (e.g., quotes, Yes field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, Yes transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of

unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline

or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support;	No	13/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 7	Briefing-debriefing: using a reflexive	Vashdi et al. (2007)
	organizational learning model from	
	the military to enhance the	
	performance of surgical teams	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	

factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -No Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues Data collection methods - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant No characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, Yes themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale \*\* **Synthesis and interpretation** - Main findings (e.g., Yes interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, Yes

field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to

substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	15/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 8	Surfacing safety hazards using	Bandari et al. (2012)
	stanardized operating room briefings	
	and debriefings at a large regional	
	medical center	
Title Consider description of the nature and tonic	Yes	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	165	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	ies	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	ies	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	ies	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	ies	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended		
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,		
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance		
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded)	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	Yes Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	Yes Yes	

Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
,	

rationale\*\*

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -

No

Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**  Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory.	Yes	
integration with prior research or theory <b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Yes	
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -	165	
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
		4.4./04
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support;	No	14/21
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	No	14/21
	No	14/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a	Berenholtz et al. (2009)
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background,	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded)	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	
role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 9  Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions  Problem formulation - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement  Purpose or research question - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions  Qualitative approach and research paradigm - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded)	Implementing standardized operating room briefings and debriefings at a large regional medical center  Yes  Yes	

postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -No Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual No factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research Yes participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -Yes Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues **Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale \*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed,

including the researchers involved in data analysis;

usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	No	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	14/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 10	Use of briefings and debriefings as a	Bethune et al. (2011)
	tool in improving teamwork,	
	efficiency, and communication in the	
	operating theatre	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	No	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		

relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement Purpose or research question - Purpose of the Yes study and specific objectives or questions Qualitative approach and research paradigm -Yes Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\* Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -No Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability **Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual Yes factors; rationale\*\* Sampling strategy - How and why research No participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\* Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -No Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues **Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or

events included in the study; level of participation

(could be reported in results)		
Data processing - Methods for processing data	No	
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,		
data entry, data management and security,		
verification of data integrity, data coding, and		
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data analysis;		
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of		
·		
application/generalizability; identification of		
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline	No	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	No	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No Yes	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings		
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence		
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support;		13/21
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	Yes	13/21
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	Yes  No  Implementation of surgical debriefing	13/21 Brindle et al. (2018)
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes  No  Implementation of surgical debriefing programs in large health systems: an	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting  Article Name and Author: Study 11	Yes  No  Implementation of surgical debriefing	
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed  Funding - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes  No  Implementation of surgical debriefing programs in large health systems: an	

publication; typically includes background,

purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes
study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	Yes
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	No
	110
factors; rationale**	110
factors; rationale**  Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected;	
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -  Documentation of approval by an appropriate	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**  Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**  Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	Yes
Sampling strategy - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**  Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues  Data collection methods - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**  Data collection instruments and technologies - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	Yes

Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes	
characteristics of participants, documents, or		
events included in the study; level of participation		
(could be reported in results)		
Data processing - Methods for processing data	No	
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,		
data entry, data management and security,		
verification of data integrity, data coding, and		
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	Yes	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data analysis;		
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	Yes	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g.,	No	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No	
findings	NO	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and	163	
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	Yes	17/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 12	Debriefing in the OR: A quality	Finch et al. (2019)
•	improvement project	. ,

<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or	
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,	
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	
interview, focus group) is recommended	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes
using the abstract format of the intended	
publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes
study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	

and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale \*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant No characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., Yes interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, No field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, Yes transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline **Limitations** - Trustworthiness and limitations of No findings

<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support;	No	13/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 13	Coaching to improve the quality of	Kleiner et al. (2014)
	communication during briefings and	
	debriefings	
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement Domestic Domestic College	West	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions	V	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	No	
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes	
Documentation of approval by an appropriate		
ethics review board and participant consent, or		
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality		

and data security issues

**Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale \*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -Yes Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale\*\* Yes

Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory

No

Yes

**Links to empirical data** - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings

Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline

or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	Yes	18/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 14	Effects of perioperative briefing and	Leong et al. (2017)
	debriefing on patient safety: a	
	prospective intervention study	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability  Contact Setting/cite and salient contactual	Vos	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	

factors; rationale\*\*

Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	No
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	
substantiate analytic findings	

Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	15/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 15	Changing operating room culture:	Magill et al. (2017)
	implementation of a postoperative	
	debrief and improved safety culture	
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
research, and guiding theory if appropriate,		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	No	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	No	

research, including personal attributes,

qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	103
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	110
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	
·	Yes
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	res
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
171 1171 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,

	the operating room	
Article Name and Author: Study 16	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in	Mundt et al. (2
and reporting		
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	15/21
conclusions; how these were managed		
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
findings		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
or field		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
application/generalizability; identification of		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
substantiate analytic findings		
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
integration with prior research or theory		
include development of a theory or model, or		
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	163	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		

Article Name and Author: Study 16	Okay, let's talk - short debriefings in the operating room	Mundt et al. (2020)
Title - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
Problem formulation - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	Yes	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		

theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale\*\*

## Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -

Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability

**Context** - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale\*\*

**Sampling strategy** - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale\*\*

Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues

**Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\*

Data collection instruments and technologies -Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study

**Units of study** - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)

**Data processing** - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	Yes	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data analysis;		
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support;	Yes	19/21
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	163	17,21
and reporting		
Article Name and Author: Study 17	Predictors of successful	Paull et al. (2009)
, a disconding frame, and a factor of the fa	implementation of preoperative	
	briefings and postoperative	
	debriefings after medical team	
	training	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
and and and are remained or the internace		

publication; typically includes background,	
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of	
relevant theory and empirical work; problem	
statement	
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes
study and specific objectives or questions	
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded	
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative	
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;	
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,	
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also	
recommended; rationale**	
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the	
research, including personal attributes,	
qualifications/experience, relationship with	
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;	
potential or actual interaction between	
researchers' characteristics and the research	
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or	
transferability	
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes
factors; rationale**	
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
Data collection methods - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	

changed over the course of the study		
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes	
characteristics of participants, documents, or		
events included in the study; level of participation		
(could be reported in results)		
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes	
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,		
data entry, data management and security,		
verification of data integrity, data coding, and		
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts		
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	No	
themes, etc., were identified and developed,		
including the researchers involved in data analysis;		
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;		
rationale**		
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and		
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,		
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**		
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes	
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might		
include development of a theory or model, or		
integration with prior research or theory		
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	No	
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to		
substantiate analytic findings		
Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
scholarship; discussion of scope of		
application/generalizability; identification of		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
or field		
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	No	
findings		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	No	
or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No 1	L2/
role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		
and reporting		

changed over the course of the study

Article Name and Author: Study 18	Use of a surgical debriefing checklist	Rose et al. (2018)
	to achieve higher value health care	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		
Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes	
participants, documents, or events were selected;		
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was		
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**		
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	No	
Documentation of approval by an appropriate		
ethics review board and participant consent, or		
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality		
and data security issues		

**Data collection methods** - Types of data collected; Yes details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale\*\* Data collection instruments and technologies -Yes Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study Units of study - Number and relevant Yes characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results) Data processing - Methods for processing data Yes prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts Data analysis - Process by which inferences, No themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale\*\* Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -No Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale \*\* Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g., Yes interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes, No field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings Integration with prior work, implications, Yes transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field

<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Yes	
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Yes	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Yes	15/21
Article Name and Author: Study 19	Long⊡term effects of perioperative	Schaap et al. (2020)
	briefing and debriefing on team	
	climate: A mixed?method evaluation	
	study	
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic	Yes	
of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or		
indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography,		
grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,		
interview, focus group) is recommended		
Abstract - Summary of key elements of the study	Yes	
using the abstract format of the intended		
publication; typically includes background,		
purpose, methods, results, and conclusions		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance	Yes	
of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of		
relevant theory and empirical work; problem		
statement		
Purpose or research question - Purpose of the	Yes	
study and specific objectives or questions		
Qualitative approach and research paradigm -	No	
Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded		
theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative		
research) and guiding theory if appropriate;		
identifying the research paradigm (e.g.,		
postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also		
recommended; rationale**		
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity -	No	
Researchers' characteristics that may influence the		
research, including personal attributes,		
qualifications/experience, relationship with		
participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions;		
potential or actual interaction between		
researchers' characteristics and the research		
questions, approach, methods, results, and/or		
transferability		
Context - Setting/site and salient contextual	Yes	
factors; rationale**		

Sampling strategy - How and why research	Yes
participants, documents, or events were selected;	
criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects -	Yes
Documentation of approval by an appropriate	
ethics review board and participant consent, or	
explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality	
and data security issues	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data collected;	Yes
details of data collection procedures including (as	
appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of	
sources/methods, and modification of procedures	
in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	
Data collection instruments and technologies -	Yes
Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides,	
questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders)	
used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s)	
changed over the course of the study	
Units of study - Number and relevant	Yes
characteristics of participants, documents, or	
events included in the study; level of participation	
(could be reported in results)	
Data processing - Methods for processing data	Yes
prior to and during analysis, including transcription,	
data entry, data management and security,	
verification of data integrity, data coding, and	
anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	
Data analysis - Process by which inferences,	Yes
themes, etc., were identified and developed,	
including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
usually references a specific paradigm or approach;	
rationale**	
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness -	No
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and	
credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking,	
audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	
Synthesis and interpretation - Main findings (e.g.,	Yes
interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
include development of a theory or model, or	
integration with prior research or theory	
Links to empirical data - Evidence (e.g., quotes,	Yes
field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	
substantiate analytic findings	

transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -  Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and	Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Integration with prior work, implications,	Yes	
how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	transferability, and contribution(s) to the field -		
elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Short summary of main findings; explanation of		
scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	how findings and conclusions connect to, support,		
application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier		
unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	scholarship; discussion of scope of		
or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	or field  Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	application/generalizability; identification of		
Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	Limitations - Trustworthiness and limitations of Yes findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline		
findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	findings  Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	or field		
Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes	Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence Yes or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of	Yes	
	or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	findings		
or perceived influence on study conduct and	conclusions; how these were managed	Conflicts of interest - Potential sources of influence	Yes	
	-	or perceived influence on study conduct and		
conclusions; how these were managed	Funding - Sources of funding and other support: No	conclusions; how these were managed		
Funding - Sources of funding and other support; No 17/21	runding - Sources of funding and other support, No	Funding - Sources of funding and other support;	No	17/21
	role of funders in data collection, interpretation,	role of funders in data collection, interpretation,		

and reporting