## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

# Improved Robustness of SARS-CoV-2 Whole-Genome Sequencing from Wastewater with a Nonselective Virus Concentration Method

Emily Segelhurst,<sup>1</sup> Jonathan E. Bard,<sup>2,3,6</sup> Annemarie N. Pillsbury,<sup>1</sup> Md Mahbubul Alam,<sup>1</sup> Natalie A. Lamb,<sup>2</sup> Chonglin Zhu,<sup>1</sup> Alyssa Pohlman,<sup>2</sup> Amanda Boccolucci,<sup>2</sup> Jamaal Emerson,<sup>4</sup> Brandon J. Marzullo,<sup>2,3</sup> Donald A. Yergeau,<sup>2</sup> Norma J. Nowak,<sup>2,3</sup> Ian M. Bradley,<sup>1,5</sup> Jennifer A. Surtees,<sup>3,4,6</sup> Yinyin Ye<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States

<sup>2</sup>UB Genomics and Bioinformatics Core, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14203, United States

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, New York 14203, United States

<sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical

Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14203, United States

<sup>5</sup>Research and Education in Energy, Environmental and Water (RENEW) Institute, University at

Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States

<sup>6</sup>Genetics, Genomics and Bioinformatics Graduate Program, Jacobs School of Medicine and

Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14203, United States

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: yinyinye@buffalo.edu

Tel. (716) 645-4002

## Contents

Text S1: Inhibition test	S3
Text S2: RT-qPCR assay details and Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantificat	tion (LOQ)
determination	<b>S</b> 3
Table S1. Details of four sewersheds in this study	<b>S</b> 6
Table S2. Details of RT-qPCR assay for quantifying SARS-CoV-2 N gene and S gene	:
mutations	<b>S</b> 7
Table S3. Check list of RT-qPCR experiments according to the MIQE (minimum info	rmation for
publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments) guidelines	S8
Table S4. Summary of wastewater samples sequenced in this study	S9
Figure S1. Geographic locations of four sewersheds in Erie County, New York	S11
Figure S2. Schematic of tangential-flow filtration system	S12
Figure S3. SARS-CoV-2 N gene levels in wastewater and blank test samples	S13
Figure S4. Determination of limit of detection (LOD; left) and limit of quantifica	tion (LOQ;
right) of SARS-CoV-2 N gene by RT-qPCR	S14
Figure S5. RT-qPCR inhibition test of SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the wastewater n	ucleic acid
extracts	S15
Figure S6. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 genome coverage from wastewater with	h different
workflows	S16
Figure S7. Heat map of read depths of SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing from	wastewater
samples	S17
Figure S8. Comparison of relative abundances of SARS-CoV-2 lineages estimated	ted by the
sequencing data at depths of $10 \times$ and $50 \times$	S18

S2

Figure S9. Pair-wise pearson correlation of B.1.2 lineage mutaton spectrum against all other lineage mutation spectra S19

#### **Text S1: Inhibition test**

We noticed there was some inhibition of RT-qPCR using the wastewater nucleic acid extracts. We tested whether sample dilution would mitigate inhibition and determined the dilution factor needed to remove the inhibitory effects. The SARS-CoV-2 positive control (2019-nCoV research use only kit; IDT) was prepared to a final concentration of  $10^3$  gene copies (gc)/ $\mu$ L in nucleasefree water or in wastewater nucleic acid extracts, which had been diluted 5-fold, 10-fold, and 20fold in nuclease-free water. The  $10^3$  gc/µL concentration was ~ $100 \times$  higher than the background SARS-CoV-2 gene concentration in the tested nucleic acid extracts. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene was then measured by RT-qPCR according to the CDC N2 assay.<sup>1</sup> The RT-qPCR was performed with three replicates of the SARS-CoV-2 gene in nuclease-free water and with seven replicates of the SARS-CoV-2 gene prepared in seven different nucleic acid extracts from different wastewater samples. The results suggest that dilution can remove inhibition. The 5-fold dilution decreased inhibition to various levels in different samples (Figure S5). The 10-fold and 20-fold dilution removed inhibition across different samples (Figure S5). We therefore used the 10-fold dilution to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, but we used the 5-fold or 10-fold dilution to determine the presence or absence of specific genetic mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 S gene.

# Text S2: RT-qPCR assay details and Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) determination

#### RT-qPCR assays for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene

The 10-µL RT-qPCR reaction mixtures consisted of 5 µL of 2× iTaq universal probes reaction mix (Bio-Rad), 0.25 µL of 50× iScript reverse transcriptase (Bio-Rad), 0.75 µL of 2019nCoV\_N2 (2019-nCoV research use only kit; IDT), and 4 µL of 10-fold diluted nucleic acid extract. The reactions were heated at 50 °C for 15 min, 95°C for 1 min, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s. The standard curve of SARS-CoV-2 N gene had an average  $R^2$  of >0.98 and average efficiency of 1.13 (**Table S2**).

#### RT-qPCR assays for genetic mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 S gene

Each assay was optimized with Twist synthetic RNA controls (TWIST Bioscience) for optimal amplification (Table S2). The S gene assays were only used to measure the presence and absence of specific S gene mutations. Specifically, for the Delta assay that targets WT493-498 and the generic Omicron assay that targets Q493R and Q498R, the 20-µL reaction mixtures contained 5 µL of Reliance one-step multiplex RT-qPCR supermix (Bio-Rad), 1.2 µL of forward primers at 10  $\mu$ M, 1.2  $\mu$ L of reverse primers at 10  $\mu$ M, 0.5  $\mu$ L of probe at 10  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ L of 5fold diluted nucleic acid extract, and 2.1 µL of nuclease-free water. The reactions were conducted under the following thermocycling conditions: 50 °C for 10 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s. For the Omicron BA.1 assay that measures delL24/P25/P26 and A27S, the 20-µL reaction mixtures consisted of 5 µL of reliance one-step multiplex RT-qPCR supermix, 1.2  $\mu$ L of forward primers at 10  $\mu$ M, 1.2  $\mu$ L of reverse primers at 10 µM, 0.4 µL of probe at 10 µM, 10 µL of 10-fold diluted nucleic acid extract, and 2.2 µL of nuclease-free water. The following thermocycling conditions were used: 50 °C for 10 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s. For the Omicron BA.2 assay that targets delH69/V70, the 20- $\mu$ L reaction mixtures contained 5  $\mu$ L of Reliance one-step multiplex

RT-qPCR supermix, 1.2  $\mu$ L of forward primers at 10  $\mu$ M, 1.2  $\mu$ L of reverse primers at 10  $\mu$ M, 0.5  $\mu$ L of probe at 10  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ L of 10-fold diluted nucleic acid extract, and 2.1  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water. The reactions were conducted under the following thermocycling conditions: 50 °C for 10 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 55 °C for 30 s.

#### LOD and LOQ determination for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the SARS-CoV-2 N gene with the CDC N2 RT-qPCR assay were determined according to a modified procedure described by He et al.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, different concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 positive control (2019-nCoV research use only kit; IDT) were prepared as  $10^4$ ,  $10^3$ ,  $10^2$ ,  $10^1$ , 5, 2, 1, and 0.5 gc/µL in nuclease-free water. We performed four PCR replicates of the  $10^4$ ,  $10^3$ ,  $10^2$ , and  $10^1$  gc/µL standards and eight PCR replicates of the 5, 2, 1, and 0.5 gc/µL standards. The resulting detection rates of each standard based on their  $C_T$  values (<40) were fitted with a nonlinear regression model. The LOD was determined as the lowest concentration at which the detection rate was >95%. The LOQ was determined as the lowest concentration at which the coefficient of variation (CV) was <40%. The CV of each standard was calculated according to the following equation:

$$CV = 100\% \sqrt{(1+E)^{(SDct)^2 \ln (1+E)} - 1}.$$

Here, SDct is the standard deviation of  $C_T$  values measured for each standard concentration; E is the amplification efficiency determined using the slope of standard curve within the linear range,  $E = 10^{1/slope}$ .

As a result, the LOD was determined to be 1.8 gc/ $\mu$ L, and the LOQ was determined to be 5 gc/ $\mu$ L in the nucleic acid extracts (**Figure S4**).

 Table S1. Details of four sewersheds in this study

Wastewater treatment plant	Sewershed	Estimated population	Permit flow rate (MGD*)	Composite sampling type	Sewer type	
Tonawanda wastewater treatment plant	Tonawanda	14,873	2.5-5	Flow weighted	Separate /Combined	
	Kenmore- Tonawanda	70,470	25	Flow weighted	Separate	
Amherst wastewater treatment plant	Amherst	140,324	48	Time weighted	Separate	
Bird Island wastewater treatment plant	Bird Island	437,357	180	Time weighted	Combined	

\*MGD = millions of gallons per day

Assay name	Target gene region or mutations	Forward Primer (5' to 3', F) Reverse Primer (5' to 3', R) Probe (5' to 3', P)	Size of amplicon (bp)	Source of control/standard	Calibration curve slop (intercept)	Efficiency (%)	R <sup>2</sup>	Ref.
CDC-N2	N gene	TTA CAA ACA TTG GCC GCA AA (F) GCG CGA CAT TCC GAA GAA (R) 6-FAM-ACA ATT TGC /ZEN/ CCC CAG CGC TTC AG-IABkFQ (P)	67	2019-nCoV research use only kit (IDT)	-3.057 (+36.69)	113	0.984	1
Delta	S: Q483, Q498	CTT TCC TTT ACA ATC ATA TGG TTT CCA (F) AGT TGC TGG TGC ATG TAG AA (R) 6-FAM-ACC CAC TWA /ZEN/ TGG TGT TGG TYA CCA-IABkFQ (P)	103	Twist synthetic RNA control 23 (B.1.617.2) (TWIST Bioscience)				3
Omicron	S: Q483R, Q498R	CTT TCC TTT ACG ATC ATA TAG TTT CCG (F) AGT TGC TGG TGC ATG TAG AA (R) 6-FAM-ACC CAC TWA /ZEN/ TGG TGT TGG TYA CCA-IABkFQ (P)	103	Twist synthetic RNA control 48 (B.1.1.529/BA.1) (TWIST Bioscience)				3
Omicron BA.1	S: delH69/V70	ATG TTA CTT GGT TCC ATG CTA TCT C (F) AAA TGG TAG GAC AGG GTT ATC AA (R) 6-FAM-TCT CTG GGA /ZEN/ CCA ATG GTA CTA AGA GGT- IABkFQ (P)	77	Twist synthetic RNA control 48 (B.1.1.529/BA.1) (TWIST Bioscience)				3, 4
Omicron BA.2	S: delL24, delP25, delP26, A27S	TGT TAA TCT TAT AAC CAG AAC TCA ATC ATA (F) AGA ACA AGT CCT GAG TTG AAT GTA (R) 6-FAM-TCA CAC GTG /ZEN/ GTG TTT ATT ACC CTG ACA- IABkFQ (P)	113	Twist synthetic RNA control 50 (B.1.1.529/BA.2) (TWIST Bioscience)				3

Table S2. Details of RT-qPCR assay for quantifying SARS-CoV-2	√ gene and S gene mutations
---	-----------------------------

**Table S3.** Check list of RT-qPCR experiments according to the MIQE ( $\underline{m}$ inimum informationfor publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments) guidelines.<sup>5</sup>

							Sequencing,				RT-qPCR, Ct value (**)			
										S:delL24,				S:delL24,
						_				S:delP25,				S:delP25,
		Collection		COVID-19	RT-qPCR, Ct	Genome			S: del H69, S:	S:delP26,			S: delH69, S:	S:delP26,
SeqID	se qNam e	date	Location	incidence rate	(SARS-CoV-2	coverage at		S:Q493R,	delV70	S:A275		S:Q493R,	delV70	S:A275
				(per 100,000)	N)	10x depth	WT 493-498	S:Q498R	(Omicron	(Omicron	WT 493-498	S:Q498R	(Omicron	(Omicron
							(Delta)	(Omicron)	BA.1)	BA.2)	(Delta)	(Omicron)	BA.1)	BA.2)
UF 10 01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 10 01/2021	10/1/2021	Amherst	18.80	33.7	97.8	+	-	-	-				
UF 10 12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 10 12/2021	10/15/2021	Amherst	17.30	31.4	81.8	+	-	-	-				
UF_10_19	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_19/2021	10/22/2021	Amherst	22.00	32.2	97.4	+	-	-	-		1		
UF_10_25	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_25/2021	10/29/2021	Amherst	27.20	NaN *	91.3	+	-	-	-				
UF_11_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 11 01/2021	11/5/2021	Amherst	33.00	32.3	83.9	+	-	-	-	34.7	NaN		
UF_11_10	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_10/2021	11/18/2021	Amherst	52.00	32.9	99.8	+	-	-	-	35.4	NaN		
UF_12_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_01/2022	12/2/2021	Amherst	60.00	31.2	99.8	+	-	-	-	33.2	39.3	38.4	
UF_12_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_05/2022	12/9/2021	Amherst	41.50	31.8	99.8	+	-	-	-			39.1	
UF_12_09	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_09/2022	12/16/2021	Amherst	40.20	33.0	99.3	-	+	÷.		35.2	37.2	37.4	
UF_01_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_01/2022	1/18/2022	Amherst	101.60	31.7	99.4	-	+	s <del>i</del>	-	39.7	33.6	34.3	
UF_01_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_05/2022	1/20/2022	Amherst	99.10	37.1	25.2	-	-	-	-	39.3	38.6	NaN	
UF_01_09	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_09/2022	1/25/2022	Amherst	67.60	33.0	99.1	-	+	+	<u> </u>	39.9	34.3	35.4	
UF_02_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_01/2022	2/1/2022	Amherst	36.20	33.9	97.1	-	+	s <del>i</del>	~			36.2	
UF_02_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_05/2022	2/8/2022	Amherst	20.80	34.7	97.2	-	+	3. 19 <del>1</del> 9	-			37.3	
UF_02_17	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_17/2022	2/15/2022	Amherst	9.30	35.8	95.1	+	-	+	-			37.7	NaN
UF_02_21	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_21/2022	3/1/2022	Amherst	9.90	34.4	30.4	+	÷.	-	<u> </u>		38.5	39.7	NaN
UF_03_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_08/2022	3/8/2022	Amherst	5.60	36.6	15.0	+	-	-	-		39.4	39.7	NaN
UF_03_02	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_02/2022	3/16/2022	Amherst	6.40	NaN	30.5	+	-	-	-		39.4	NaN	NaN
UF_03_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_12/2022	3/22/2022	Amherst	10.03	35.1	62.7		+	-	-		36.5	38.5	NaN
UF_03_16	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_16/2022	3/29/2022	Amherst	15.68	34.1	90.6	-	+	-	+		35.0	38.9	NaN
UF_04_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_01/2022	4/5/2022	Amherst	18.80	35.5	61.3	+	-	-	+			NaN	39.7
UF_04_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_05/2022	4/12/2022	Amherst	16.00	36.8	97.1	-	+	-	+			NaN	37.8
UF_10_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_04/2021	10/1/2021	Bird Island	17.40	34.1	91.0	+	<u>=</u>	-	2				
UF_10_15	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_15/2021	10/15/2021	Bird Island	20.50	31.7	77.8	+	-	-	-				
UF_10_22	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_22/2021	10/22/2021	Bird Island	22.00	33.0	90.8	+	-	-	-				
UF_10_28	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_28/2021	10/29/2021	Bird Island	25.20	34.9	97.1	+	-	-	-				
UF_11_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_04/2021	11/5/2021	Bird Island	36.00	33.3	60.6	+	-	-	-	35.1	NaN		
UF_11_07	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_07/2021	11/11/2021	Bird Island	42.60	32.3	99.8	+	-	-	-				
UF_11_13	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_13/2021	11/18/2021	Bird Island	50.70	38.9	99.8	+	-	-	-	35.5	NaN		
UF_12_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_04/2022	12/2/2021	Bird Island	60.20	31.4	99.8	+	1	-	-	33.7	39.8	NaN	
UF_12_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_08/2022	12/9/2021	Bird Island	47.10	31.5	99.8	+	-	-	-			38.7	
UF_01_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_12/2022	12/16/2021	Bird Island	57.00	32.6	99.8	-	+	-	-	39.8	35.6		
UF_01_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_04/2022	1/18/2022	Bird Island	97.00	33.1	98.6	-	+		-	39.0	34.8	35.5	
UF_01_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_08/2022	1/20/2022	Bird Island	87.80	31.9	99.1	2	+		-	38.6	33.8	35.2	
UF_12_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_12/2022	1/25/2022	Bird Island	44.30	32.0	98.0	-	+		-			37.2	
UF_02_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_04/2022	2/1/2022	Bird Island	30.60	33.9	97.5	-	+		-	39.1	35.0	35.4	
UF_02_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_08/2022	2/8/2022	Bird Island	19.60	35.2	97.1	-	+		-		36.2	37.5	
UF_02_20	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_20/2022	2/15/2022	Bird Island	6.80	34.1	57.0	+	-	+	Ξ			NaN	
UF_02_24	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_24/2022	3/1/2022	Bird Island	6.50	34.0	64.2	-	+	+	-		37.8	39.1	NaN
UF_03_11	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_11/2022	3/8/2022	Bird Island	5.30	35.8	60.0	-	+		-		38.2	NaN	NaN
UF_03_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_04/2022	3/16/2022	Bird Island	6.20	NaN	53.7	2	+	-	+		38.1	38.1	NaN
UF_03_15	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_15/2022	3/22/2022	Bird Island	8.34	37.1	58.0	+	-	+	-		35.6	38.8	NaN
UF_03_19	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_19/2022	3/29/2022	Bird Island	11.28	35.2	92.2	-	+	-	+		36.2	39.6	NaN
UF_04_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_04/2022	4/5/2022	Bird Island	17.40	33.0	98.2	-	+	-	+			NaN	38.1
UF_04_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_08/2022	4/12/2022	Bird Island	16.60	36.7	76.0	+	-	-	+			NaN	NaN
UF_10_03	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_03/2021	10/1/2021	Kenmore Tonawanda	24.50	32.3	99.0	+	-	+				37.6	

# Table S4. Summary of wastewater samples sequenced in this study

							Sequencing				RT-gPCR. Ct value (**)			
									,	S:dell 24				S:dell 24
										S:delP25				S:delP25
				COVID-19	RT-qPCR, Ct	Genome			St dal H69 St	SidelP26			St dal H60 St	S:delP26
SeqID	segName	Collection	Location	incidence rate	(SARS-CoV-2	coverage at		5-0402P	delV70	5.den 20,		6+0402B	delV70	5.den 20,
		date		(per 100,000)	N)	10x depth	WIT 402 409	5.Q495K,	(Omicron	(Omigran	WT 402 409	5.Q495R,	(Omicron	5.AZ75
						500 500 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	(Delte)	5.Q496h			(Dolto)	(Omieran)		(Omicron
							(Delta)	(Umicron)	BA.1)	ва.2)	(Deita)	(Omicron)	BA.1)	BA.Z)
UF_10_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_01/2021	10/1/2021	Amherst	18.80	33.7	97.8	+	-	-	-				
UF_10_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_12/2021	10/15/2021	Amherst	17.30	31.4	81.8	+	-	7	-				
UF_10_19	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_19/2021	10/22/2021	Amherst	22.00	32.2	97.4	+	-	-	-				
UF_10_25	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_25/2021	10/29/2021	Amherst	27.20	NaN *	91.3	+	-	-	-				
UF_11_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_01/2021	11/5/2021	Åmher st	33.00	32.3	83.9	+	-	-	-	34.7	NaN		
UF_11_10	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_10/2021	11/18/2021	Amherst	52.00	32.9	99.8	+	-	-	-	35.4	NaN		
UF_12_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_01/2022	12/2/2021	Amherst	60.00	31.2	99.8	+	-	-	-	33.2	39.3	38.4	
UF_12_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_05/2022	12/9/2021	Amherst	41.50	31.8	99.8	+	-	-	-			39.1	
UF_12_09	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_09/2022	12/16/2021	Amherst	40.20	33.0	99.3	-	+	+		35.2	37.2	37.4	
UF_01_01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_01/2022	1/18/2022	Amherst	101.60	31.7	99.4	-	+	÷	-	39.7	33.6	34.3	
UF_01_05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_05/2022	1/20/2022	Amherst	99.10	37.1	25.2	-	-	-	-	39.3	38.6	NaN	
UF 01 09	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 01 09/2022	1/25/2022	Amherst	67.60	33.0	99.1	-	+	+	-	39.9	34.3	35.4	
UF 02 01	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 02 01/2022	2/1/2022	Amherst	36.20	33.9	97.1	-	+	+	-			36.2	
UF 02 05	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 02 05/2022	2/8/2022	Amherst	20.80	34.7	97.2	-	+	+	-			37.3	
UF 02 17	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF 02 17/2022	2/15/2022	Åmher st	9.30	35.8	95.1	+	-	+	-			37.7	NaN
UF 02 21	hCov-19/USA/NY-UE 02 21/2022	3/1/2022	Amherst	9,90	34.4	30.4	+	-	-	2		38.5	39.7	NaN
LIE 03 08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UE_03_08/2022	3/8/2022	Amherst	5.60	36.6	15.0	+	-	-	-		39.4	39.7	NaN
UE 03 02	bCov-19/USA/NY-UE 03 02/2022	3/16/2022	Amherst	6.40	NaN	30.5	+					39.4	NaN	NaN
UE 03 12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UE_03_12/2022	3/22/2022	Amherst	10.03	35.1	62.7		+				36.5	38.5	NaN
UF_02_16	hcov-19/034/NY-0F_03_12/2022	2/20/2022	Amherst	10.03	24.1	02.7	-			1		25.0	28.0	NaN
UE 04 01	hCov-19/03A/NY-01_03_10/2022	4/5/2022	Amherst	19.00	35.5	50.0 61.3		1				55.0	NaN	30.7
UF_04_01	hCov-19/034/N1-0F_04_01/2022	4/3/2022	Amherst	16.00	35.5	01.3	3.TF.	-	-	+			NaN	35.7
UF_04_03	hcov-19/03A/N1-0F_04_03/2022	4/12/2022	Annerst Died Idee d	17.00	30.8	97.1	-		-	T			INdiv	57.6
UF_10_04	hcov-19/05A/NY-UF_10_04/2021	10/1/2021	Bird Island	17.40	34.1	91.0	+	-	-	-				l
UF_10_13	hcov-19/03A/NT-0F_10_13/2021	10/15/2021	Diru Islanu Diru Island	20.30	31.7	77.8	+	-	-	-				<b></b>
UF_10_22	HCOV-19/USA/NY-UF_10_22/2021	10/22/2021	Bird Island	22.00	33.0	90.8	+	-	-	-				L
UF_10_28	hcov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_28/2021	10/29/2021	Bird Island	25.20	34.9	97.1	+	-	-	-	25.4			L
UF_11_04	ncov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_04/2021	11/5/2021	Bird Island	36.00	33.3	60.6	+	-	-	-	35.1	NaN		L
UF_11_07	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_0//2021	11/11/2021	Bird Island	42.60	32.3	99.8	+	-	=	-				L
UF_11_13	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_11_13/2021	11/18/2021	Bird Island	50.70	38.9	99.8	+	-	-	-	35.5	NaN		
UF_12_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_04/2022	12/2/2021	Bird Island	60.20	31.4	99.8	+	-	-	-	33.7	39.8	NaN	
UF_12_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_08/2022	12/9/2021	Bird Island	47.10	31.5	99.8	+	-	-	-			38.7	
UF_01_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_12/2022	12/16/2021	Bird Island	57.00	32.6	99.8	-	+	-	-	39.8	35.6		
UF_01_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_04/2022	1/18/2022	Bird Island	97.00	33.1	98.6	-	+	+	-	39.0	34.8	35.5	
UF_01_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_01_08/2022	1/20/2022	Bird Island	87.80	31.9	99.1	-	+	+	-	38.6	33.8	35.2	
UF_12_12	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_12_12/2022	1/25/2022	Bird Island	44.30	32.0	98.0	-	+	+	-			37.2	
UF_02_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_04/2022	2/1/2022	Bird Island	30.60	33.9	97.5	-	+	+	-	39.1	35.0	35.4	
UF_02_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_08/2022	2/8/2022	Bird Island	19.60	35.2	97.1	-	+	+	-		36.2	37.5	
UF_02_20	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_20/2022	2/15/2022	Bird Island	6.80	34.1	57.0	+	-	+	-			NaN	
UF_02_24	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_02_24/2022	3/1/2022	Bird Island	6.50	34.0	64.2	-	+	+	-		37.8	39.1	NaN
UF_03_11	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_11/2022	3/8/2022	Bird Island	5.30	35.8	60.0	-	+	+	-		38.2	NaN	NaN
UF_03_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_04/2022	3/16/2022	Bird Island	6.20	NaN	53.7	-	+		+		38.1	38.1	NaN
UF_03_15	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_15/2022	3/22/2022	Bird Island	8.34	37.1	58.0	+	-	+	=		35.6	38.8	NaN
UF_03_19	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_03_19/2022	3/29/2022	Bird Island	11.28	35.2	92.2	-	+	-	+		36.2	39.6	NaN
UF_04_04	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_04/2022	4/5/2022	Bird Island	17.40	33.0	98.2	-	+	-	+			NaN	38.1
UF_04_08	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_04_08/2022	4/12/2022	Bird Island	16.60	36.7	76.0	+	-	-	+			NaN	NaN
UF_10_03	hCov-19/USA/NY-UF_10_03/2021	10/1/2021	Kenmore Tonawanda	24.50	32.3	99.0	+	-	+	-			37.6	



**Figure S1.** Geographic locations of four sewersheds in Erie County, New York: Tonawanda (green), Kenmore-Tonawanda (brown), Amherst (pink), and Bird Island (blue).



**Figure S2.** Schematic of tangential-flow filtration system. During the operation, 125 mL of solids-removed wastewater samples were loaded from sample reservoirs to 30-kDa ultrafilter membranes at a feedline flow rate of 8.5 mL/min. The membrane filtrate was collected in the waste container.



**Figure S3.** SARS-CoV-2 N gene levels in wastewater (n = 24) and blank test samples (n = 24). In the blank test, autoclaved Milli-Q water (125 mL) was concentrated with the same procedures used for wastewater samples after the tangential-flow filtration system was washed with 0.5 M NaOH. The nucleic acids were then extracted from the concentrated Milli-Q water samples, and the concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 N gene were quantified by RT-qPCR according to the CDC N2 assay. No signals were detected in the blank test samples ( $C_T > 40$ ). The limit of quantification (LOD) and limit of detection (LOD) were determined as described in **Text S2** and **Figure S4**.



**Figure S4.** Determination of limit of detection (LOD; left) and limit of quantification (LOQ; right) of SARS-CoV-2 N gene by RT-qPCR (CDC N2 assay). The horizontal dashed line in the LOD plot represents 95% positive detection. The horizontal dashed line in the LOQ plot represents 40% of the coefficient of variation.



**Figure S5**. RT-qPCR inhibition test of SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the wastewater nucleic acid extracts. SARS-CoV-2 standards ( $10^3$  gc/µL) were prepared in wastewater nucleic acid extracts that were 5-fold, 10-fold, and 20-fold diluted (n = 7) and in nuclease-free water (n = 3). The bars represent mean values.

- Bar-Or et al., 2021; Electronegative mem (with solids removal) + ARTIC; unknown depth cutoff
- Izquierdo-Lara et al., 2021; Amicon + Nanopore; 10× depth
- Perez-Cataluna et al., 2022; Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> precipitation + ARTIC; 20× depth
- ▼ Fontenele et al., 2022; Amicon + Swift Normalase; 10× depth
- This study; Tangential-flow filtration + ARTIC; 10× depth



**Figure S6.** Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 genome coverage and probability of sequencing success from wastewater with different workflows.<sup>6-9</sup> The dashed line represents the 0.9 probability of sequencing success. The workflows were selected for comparison according to the following criteria: (i) used a nonselective method to concentrate viruses from wastewater; (ii) processed >50 wastewater samples (excluded a proof-of-concept study); (iii) reported the  $C_T$  values of SARS-CoV-2 gene and the corresponding genome coverage in the main text or supporting information.



**Figure S7.** Heat map of read depths of SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing from wastewater samples. The depth was calculated for every 250-nucelotide region across the SARS-CoV-2 genome. Each row in the plot represents one wastewater sample, which was named "sewershed\_SeqID". The Amherst, Bird Island, Kenmore-Tonawanda, and Tonawanda sewersheds are abbreviated as Amh, Bir, Ken\_Ton, and Ton, respectively. The SeqID and sample details are provided in **Table S4**.



**Figure S8.** Comparison of relative abundances of SARS-CoV-2 lineages estimated by the sequencing data at depths of  $10 \times$  and  $50 \times$ . The Freyja pipeline<sup>10</sup> was used to estimate the relative abundances of different lineages.



**Figure S9.** Pair-wise pearson correlation of B.1.2 lineage mutaton spectrum against all other lineage mutation spectra used in Freyja for calling lineages. The resulting pearson correlation values were sorted from highest to lowest. The lineages with the top five highest correlation values were labeled on the plot.

## REFERENCES

Lu, X.; Wang, L.; Sakthivel, S. K.; Whitaker, B.; Murray, J.; Kamili, S.; Lynch, B.; Malapati, L.; Burke, S. A.; Harcourt, J.; et al. US CDC real-time reverse transcription PCR panel for detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2020**, *26* (8), 1654. DOI: 10.3201/eid2608.201246.
 He, H.; Zhou, P.; Shimabuku, K. K.; Fang, X.; Li, S.; Lee, Y.; Dodd, M. C. Degradation and deactivation of bacterial antibiotic resistance genes during exposure to free chlorine, monochloramine, chlorine dioxide, ozone, ultraviolet light, and hydroxyl radical. *Environ Sci Technol* **2019**, *53* (4), 2013-2026. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b04393.

(3) Lee, W. L.; Gu, X.; Armas, F.; Wu, F.; Chandra, F.; Chen, H.; Xiao, A.; Leifels, M.; Chua, F. J. D.; Kwok, G. W. C.; et al. Quantitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 variants in wastewater through allele-specific RT-qPCR. *medRxiv* **2022**. DOI: 10.1101/2021.12.21.21268077.

(4) Lee, W. L.; Imakaev, M.; Armas, F.; McElroy, K. A.; Gu, X. Q.; Duvallet, C.; Chandra, F.; Chen, H. J.; Leifels, M.; Mendola, S.; et al. Quantitative SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variant B.1.1.7 tracking in wastewater by allele-specific RT-qPCR. *Environ Sci Technol Lett* **2021**, *8* (8), 675-682. DOI: 10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00375.
(5) Bustin, S. A.; Benes, V.; Garson, J. A.; Hellemans, J.; Huggett, J.; Kubista, M.; Mueller, R.; Nolan, T.; Pfaffl, M. W.; Shipley, G. L.; et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clin Chem* **2009**, *55* (4), 611-622. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797.

(6) Bar-Or, I.; Weil, M.; Indenbaum, V.; Bucris, E.; Bar-Ilan, D.; Elul, M.; Levi, N.; Aguvaev, I.; Cohen, Z.; Shirazi, R.; et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 variants by genomic analysis of wastewater samples in Israel. *Sci Total Environ* **2021**, *789*, 148002. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148002.

(7) Izquierdo-Lara, R.; Elsinga, G.; Heijnen, L.; Munnink, B. B. O.; Schapendonk, C. M. E.; Nieuwenhuijse, D.; Kon, M.; Lu, L.; Aarestrup, F. M.; Lycett, S.; et al. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 circulation and diversity through community wastewater sequencing, the Netherlands and Belgium. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2021**, *27* (5), 1405-1415. DOI: 10.3201/eid2705.204410.

(8) Perez-Cataluna, A.; Chiner-Oms, A.; Cuevas-Ferrando, E.; Diaz-Reolid, A.; Falco, I.; Randazzo, W.; Giron-Guzman, I.; Allende, A.; Bracho, M. A.; Comas, I.; et al. Spatial and temporal distribution of SARS-CoV-2 diversity circulating in wastewater. *Water Res* **2022**, *211*, 118007. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2021.118007.

(9) Fontenele, R. S.; Kraberger, S.; Hadfield, J.; Driver, E. M.; Bowes, D.; Holland, L. A.; Faleye, T. O. C.; Adhikari, S.; Kumar, R.; Inchausti, R.; et al. High-throughput sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater provides insights into circulating variants. *Water Res* **2021**, *205*, 117710. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117710.

(10) Karthikeyan, S.; Levy, J. I.; De Hoff, P.; Humphrey, G.; Birmingham, A.; Jepsen, K.; Farmer, S.; Tubb, H. M.; Valles, T.; Tribelhorn, C. E.; et al. Wastewater sequencing reveals early cryptic SARS-CoV-2 variant transmission. *Nature* **2022**, *609*, 101-108. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-05049-6.