Classification accuracy of structural and functional connectomes across different depressive phenotypes

Supplementary Materials

Hon Wah Yeung¹, Aleks Stolicyn¹, Xueyi Shen¹, Mark J. Adams¹, Liana Romaniuk¹, Gladi Thng¹, Colin R. Buchanan^{2,8,9}, Elliot M. Tucker-Drob^{3,4}, Mark E. Bastin^{5,8,9}, Andrew M. McIntosh^{1,6}, Simon R. Cox^{2,8,9,*}, Keith Smith^{7,*}, and Heather C. Whalley^{1,*}

November 22, 2022

Materials and Methods

A.1**Depression Definitions**

The first five definitions (Depression Medicated, Ever Depressed, Ever Severely Depressed, Currently Depressed, Recurrent Depression) were presented in Davis et al. (2020) in details, and the R code is available on (Coleman and Davis, 2019). The detail description of the last MDD phenotype, probable moderate/severe depression, was presented in Smith et al. (2013). For clarification, the brief description and the code for the six MDD phenotypes used in this study were shown below.

MDD phenotypes	Description	Field and code
Depression Medicated	Taken at least one of the medication listed on the antidepressants list	Please refer to the R code for the antidepressant fields
Ever Depressed	At least one core symptom of depression, most or all of the day on most or all days for a two week period, with at least five depressive symptoms that represent a change from usual occurring over the same time-scale, with some or a lot of impairment.	Persistent sadness (20446) = Yes OR Loss of interest (20441) = Yes AND How much of day (20436) = Most of day or All day long AND Did you feel this way (20439) = Almost every day or Every day AND Impairment (20440) = Somewhat or A lot AND

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

²Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ³Department of Psychology, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

⁴Population Research Center and Center on Aging and Population Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, TX, USA ⁵Centre for Clinical Brain Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁶Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK ⁷Department of Physics and Mathematics, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, United Kingdom $^8\mathrm{Lothian}$ Birth Cohorts, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁹Scottish Imaging Network, A Platform for Scientific Excellence Collaboration (SINAPSE), Edinburgh, United Kingdom These authors share joint senior authorship

MDD phenotypes	Description	Field and code
		Total number of symptoms endorsed (core
		and others) ≥ 5
		Persistent sadness (core) 20446; Loss of
		interest (core) 20441; Tired or low energy
		20449; Gain or loss of weight 20536 =
		Gain, Loss or Gain and loss; Sleep change
		20532; Trouble concentrating 20435; Feeling
		worthless 20450; Thinking about death
		20437
Ever Severely Depressed		{Ever Depressed}
		AND
		CIDI Severity Score = 8
Currently Depressed	PHQ+ve and CIDI+ve Reports	{Ever Depressed}
	symptoms in the last two weeks	AND
	that have bothered them. Current	Total symptoms endorsed as occurring more
	depression is indicated by five or	than half days (or some or more days for
	more items marked to bother at or	last item) ≥ 5
	above a certain intensity: "more	Little interest or pleasure in doing things
	than half of days" for first eight	20514, Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless
	items, "some days" for last item.	20510, Trouble sleeping 20517, Feeling tired
		20519, Poor appetite or overeating 20511,
		Feeling bad about yourself 20507, Trouble
		concentrating 20508, Moving or speaking
		slowly or fidgety or restless 20518, Thoughts
		that you would be better off dead 20513
Recurrent Depression		{Ever Depressed}
		AND
		Number of episodes $(20442) > 1$ or -999
		(too many to count)
		AND
		NOT case bipolar type I
		Excluded if number of episodes missing or
	I .	

MDD phenotypes	Description	Field and code
MDD phenotypes Probable Moderate/Severe Depression	Description Either satisfying the criteria for Probable recurrent major depression (moderate) or Probable recurrent major depression (severe) described in Smith et al. (2013).	Field and code Probable recurrent major depression (moderate): EITHER: 4598 Ever depressed/down for a whole week, plus 4609 At least two weeks duration, plus 4620 At least two episodes, plus 2090 Ever seen a GP (but not a psychiatrist) for nerves, anxiety, depression OR: 4631 Ever anhedonic (unenthusiasm / uninterest) for a whole week, plus 5375 At least two weeks, plus 5386 At least two episodes, plus 2090 Ever seen a GP (but not a psychiatrist) for nerves, anxiety, depression
		(severe): EITHER: 4598 Ever depressed/down for a whole week, plus 4609 At least two weeks duration, plus 4620 At least two episodes, plus 2100 Ever seen a psychiatrist for nerves, anxiety, depression OR: 4631 Ever anhedonic (unenthusiasm / uninterest) for a whole week, plus 5375 At least two weeks, plus 5386 At least two episodes, plus 2100 Ever seen a psychiatrist for nerves, anxiety, depression

A.2 Childhood Trauma Questionnaire

The UK Biobank Childhood Trauma Questionnaire items are listed in the following:

- 1. Felt loved as a child (inverse scored)
- 2. Someone to take me to the doctor as a child (inverse scored)
- 3. Sexually molested as a child
- 4. Physically abused by family as a child
- 5. Felt hated by family member as a child

which they correspond to emotional neglect, physical neglect, sexual abuse, physical abuse and emotional abuse respectively. We converted the responses to a scoring system of 0-to-4 to represent the severity (ranging from 'never true' to 'very often true') of trauma for each of the items. As for two of the positive items, it was score in an inverse way to capture trauma.

A.3 Jaccard Index

Jaccard index is used to measure the similarity between two set of elements, and the similarity between set A and B is given by:

$$Jaccard(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} \tag{A.1}$$

which is the size of intersection divided by the size of union.

A.4 Predictive coefficients normalisation by subnetwork size

A straight forward aggregation of predictive coefficient of the robust edge features to subnetwork level can be computed using the following:

$$S = C^T E C (A.2)$$

where E is a n-by-n predictive coefficients matrix for the robust edges (n is the number of nodes), S is a c-by-c matrix (c is the number of subnetworks), S_{ij} represents the aggregated predictive coefficient for the connectivity between subnetwork i and j, C is n-by-c community indicator matrix, $C_{ij} = \delta(c_i, c_j)$ with c_i representing the community of node i.

However, this is a biased measurement due to the size differences among the subnetworks. To account for this, we replace C by C', where

$$C'_{ij} = \frac{C_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{kj}}} \tag{A.3}$$

B MDD phenotypes Classification Results

Table 2: Classification performance for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on different connectome modalities. Drug = Depression Medicated, Ever = Ever Depressed, Ever Severe = Ever Severely Depressed, Current = Currently Depressed, Recurrent = Recurrent Depression without Bipolar Disorder, MDD Narrow = Probable Moderate/Severe Depression

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.98 (3.26)	54.24 (1.59)	53.10 (3.52)	57.44 (5.33)	54.38 (1.88)	55.15 (2.53)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	55.05 (3.34)	53.04 (1.72)	53.17 (2.92)	60.06 (3.21)	52.98 (1.83)	54.79 (3.49)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	54.64 (3.90)	54.39 (1.54)	53.91 (5.48)	60.68 (4.29)	53.07 (1.74)	54.26 (3.07)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	54.88 (3.90)	53.01 (2.84)	54.86 (7.59)	60.57 (5.73)	53.70 (2.92)	55.46 (2.38)

(a) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on correlations functional connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	59.15 (2.73)	54.30 (1.35)	52.43 (2.94)	61.06 (4.82)	54.70 (1.51)	53.25 (2.97)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	58.03 (3.00)	53.73 (1.43)	53.49 (3.13)	65.47 (4.18)	52.80 (1.86)	52.58 (3.32)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	57.42 (2.44)	53.55 (1.85)	54.70 (4.01)	65.74 (4.73)	52.62 (2.43)	52.04 (3.20)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	57.73 (3.46)	52.59 (1.64)	53.48 (5.28)	64.87 (6.57)	52.30 (2.26)	53.74 (4.21)

(b) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on partial correlations functional connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.53 (3.67)	51.74 (1.81)	53.17 (4.67)	56.45 (6.58)	52.98 (2.82)	53.61 (4.90)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	52.63 (4.12)	53.02 (2.09)	53.05 (4.24)	57.56 (10.37)	52.98 (3.53)	53.24 (4.92)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	54.50 (5.66)	53.05 (2.79)	51.53 (5.22)	59.57 (7.06)	52.88 (3.00)	55.27 (4.72)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	55.64 (6.78)	53.80 (3.54)	51.83 (6.08)	59.19 (9.10)	50.92 (3.85)	55.93 (5.88)

(c) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on mean diffusivity structural connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.77 (3.59)	51.18 (1.81)	55.72 (4.04)	58.46 (10.17)	52.70 (2.89)	53.81 (3.76)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	53.85 (4.53)	53.01 (1.82)	52.60 (4.58)	55.77 (8.37)	52.56 (2.96)	52.89 (3.35)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	55.72 (6.52)	53.38 (2.25)	52.25 (6.76)	59.44 (7.00)	54.34 (3.25)	54.33 (6.42)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	53.70 (8.45)	52.95 (3.17)	51.11 (6.40)	63.40 (7.73)	52.92 (4.24)	57.51 (7.67)

(d) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on fractional anisotropy structural connectome

Table 2: Classification performance for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on different connectome modalities. Drug = Depression Medicated, Ever = Ever Depressed, Ever Severe = Ever Severely Depressed, Current = Currently Depressed, Recurrent = Recurrent Depression without Bipolar Disorder, MDD Narrow = Probable Moderate/Severe Depression (Cont.)

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	52.28 (3.35)	51.27 (2.23)	53.32 (4.87)	50.25 (7.69)	51.63 (2.86)	50.38 (3.70)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	50.20 (4.04)	50.17 (1.95)	50.95 (6.18)	50.00 (7.95)	51.16 (4.11)	50.27 (4.20)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	47.89 (5.29)	49.66 (2.83)	51.66 (4.65)	53.60 (8.86)	50.46 (2.98)	48.48 (4.16)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	48.86 (7.21)	49.90 (3.52)	53.49 (7.12)	51.76 (8.74)	50.42 (3.65)	47.38 (4.86)

(e) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on streamline count structural connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	53.89 (4.78)	51.90 (1.51)	54.76 (4.61)	54.27 (7.34)	52.32 (2.70)	50.89 (3.87)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	53.78 (5.11)	51.51 (2.13)	52.25 (4.62)	56.54 (8.52)	53.32 (2.91)	50.18 (4.81)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	54.33 (4.43)	51.06 (2.44)	52.64 (5.04)	58.70 (7.99)	53.11 (2.99)	51.26 (4.44)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	49.44 (5.87)	51.61 (2.81)	54.37 (4.85)	54.69 (8.15)	51.75 (4.46)	49.32 (5.65)

(f) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on orientation dispersion structural connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	52.87 (3.57)	51.61 (2.01)	50.87 (4.54)	58.73 (6.02)	52.03 (3.43)	51.25 (4.51)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	52.69 (4.54)	53.31 (2.36)	52.02 (6.13)	59.87 (6.28)	53.54 (3.60)	51.46 (5.40)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	53.22 (6.69)	53.93 (2.37)	51.11 (6.12)	62.94 (9.43)	53.48 (3.27)	51.52 (5.15)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	52.23 (5.91)	53.23 (3.64)	47.94 (4.71)	59.07 (6.88)	53.39 (4.68)	54.55 (6.15)

(g) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on isotropic volume fraction structural connectome

MDD phenotype	Drug	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.40 (3.95)	52.99 (2.01)	56.07 (4.60)	60.37 (9.30)	53.42 (2.56)	53.99 (4.33)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	52.49 (4.47)	53.21 (2.51)	55.33 (4.66)	60.64 (10.13)	52.63 (2.93)	54.32 (3.77)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	53.00 (7.13)	53.97 (2.68)	55.23 (6.64)	59.13 (9.10)	53.65 (2.95)	56.36 (4.95)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	55.09 (6.30)	53.54 (3.55)	54.37 (6.38)	59.67 (7.05)	53.56 (4.09)	56.45 (7.15)

(h) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on intra-cellular volume fraction structural connectome

B.1 MDD Classification results for sex specific models based on functional conectomes

Table 3: Classification performance of sex specific model for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) based on functional connectomes. Drug = Depression Medicated, Ever = Ever Depressed, Ever Severe = Ever Severely Depressed, Current = Currently Depressed, Recurrent = Recurrent Depression without Bipolar Disorder, MDD Narrow = Probable Moderate/Severe Depression (Cont.)

Female MDD Classification Models

Test Accuracies	Medicated	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.49 (3.59)	54.28 (1.78)	54.42 (3.82)	56.53 (7.14)	54.34 (1.91)	55.53 (3.04)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	53.48 (4.27)	53.47 (2.03)	52.86 (5.37)	56.46 (7.18)	53.91 (1.76)	55.62 (4.16)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	54.74 (3.40)	53.77 (2.08)	52.98 (6.45)	58.33 (9.12)	53.75 (2.50)	54.67 (4.24)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	52.72 (4.33)	53.55 (2.97)	55.56 (7.85)	57.82 (10.02)	54.81 (3.24)	54.97 (4.22)

(a) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) for female MDD models based on correlation functional connectomes.

Test Accuracies	Medicated	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	59.16 (3.82)	53.34 (2.30)	54.91 (2.77)	58.14 (5.67)	54.52 (2.30)	53.06 (2.64)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	57.68 (3.32)	53.05 (1.76)	53.59 (3.47)	58.04 (7.68)	53.68 (1.94)	52.76 (3.83)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	55.42 (3.71)	53.20 (2.02)	56.38 (4.31)	62.16 (11.91)	52.85 (2.25)	51.43 (3.60)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	54.91 (5.56)	53.32 (3.03)	57.69 (4.74)	65.00 (10.27)	52.32 (3.23)	53.48 (4.73)

(b) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) for female MDD models based on partial correlation functional connectomes.

Male MDD Classification Models

Test Accuracies	Medicated	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	52.86 (5.72)	50.72 (2.34)	53.61 (6.31)	57.34 (8.01)	49.67 (2.81)	50.70 (5.07)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	53.36 (5.32)	50.42 (2.39)	53.75 (7.08)	57.21 (7.59)	49.69 (2.53)	50.59 (5.38)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	53.75 (7.76)	49.85 (2.78)	49.99 (8.66)	62.05 (7.81)	46.70 (4.23)	49.87 (4.00)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	55.71 (7.20)	48.94 (2.87)	48.38 (8.70)	56.02 (9.95)	45.74 (5.64)	51.88 (5.28)

(c) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) for male MDD models based on correlation functional connectomes.

Test Accuracies	Medicated	Ever	Ever Severe	Current	Recurrent	MDD narrow
Without CTQ Threshold	55.66 (4.38)	52.86 (2.38)	52.15 (5.03)	56.76 (6.78)	50.69 (2.64)	53.04 (3.62)
CTQ Threshold at 0.2	51.94 (6.95)	51.43 (3.35)	48.54 (6.23)	61.94 (9.65)	47.34 (3.90)	51.92 (5.03)
CTQ Threshold at 0.4	55.83 (7.89)	51.86 (2.47)	48.75 (9.20)	62.90 (8.98)	46.55 (4.21)	51.56 (6.74)
CTQ Threshold at 0.6	57.98 (10.28)	50.80 (4.62)	46.33 (10.51)	55.65 (7.42)	45.06 (4.04)	51.88 (9.62)

(d) Classification accuracies of the test set (mean percentage with standard deviation in brackets) for different depression phenotypes (with different CTQ thresholds) for male MDD models based on partial correlation functional connectomes.

References

Coleman, J. and Davis, K. (2019). Code for use in R statistics with UK Biobank Mental Health Questionnaire data.

Davis, K. A., Coleman, J. R., Adams, M., Allen, N., Breen, G., Cullen, B., Dickens, C., Fox, E., Graham, N., Holliday, J., et al. (2020). Mental health in uk biobank–development, implementation and results from an online questionnaire completed by 157 366 participants: a reanalysis. *BJPsych open*, 6(2).

Smith, D. J., Nicholl, B. I., Cullen, B., Martin, D., Ul-Haq, Z., Evans, J., Gill, J. M., Roberts, B., Gallacher, J., Mackay, D., et al. (2013). Prevalence and characteristics of probable major depression and bipolar disorder within uk biobank: cross-sectional study of 172,751 participants. *PloS one*, 8(11):e75362.