

Methods

Explicit Awareness

Testing of explicit awareness occurred during short- (24-hour) and long- (35-day) motor learning sessions. Each explicit awareness test involved showing participants a series of 10 sequence movies (in random order) displayed on the Kinarm workspace they had been practicing the STT on and asking them (yes/no) whether they recognized any. Each movie consisted of 6 sequential targets: three of them were repeating sequences (having been seen during practice sessions) and seven were random sequences (novel and not having been seen before). Individuals who identified both the repeated and random sequences at a better than chance (i.e., 2 of 3 repeated sequences identified correctly and 4 of 7 novel, random sequences identified as not having been seen before), were considered to have gained explicit awareness.

Stress Test

To ensure safe participation and assign individualized intensity during exercise sessions, all participants completed a physician supervised maximally graded stress test on a recumbent bike (SCIFIT, Tulsa, OK, USA). After 3 minutes of rest, baseline resting heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) were recorded. Participants were then seated on a recumbent bike, identical to the one used in session 4-8. HR and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) (9) was monitored continuously. Participants were instructed to keep the pedaling cadence between 50-80 revolutions per minute (RPM). The stress test began with a 2-minute warm-up at 10 Watts (W), after which resistance increased either by 5, 10, or 15W based on current stress test recommendations (10). Resistance was increased until either the participant reached volitional exhaustion, or RPMs dropped below 50 and continued to decline for 5 seconds. After the stress test, participants cooled down for 3 minutes at 10W, then rested until HR and BP returned to baseline.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline demographic data were evaluated using a 2 (Group: stroke, controls) by 2 (Exercise: HIT, rest) full factorial univariate ANOVAs using age, MoCA, and baseline fitness (composite Z-score) when comparing the healthy older adults and the stroke groups.

All significant main effects and interactions were followed by post-hoc pairwise comparisons, Sidak corrected.

Results

A total of 41 individuals with stroke and 44 healthy older adults were consented to participate in this study. Among the stroke participants, 5 participants did not pass screening. Three participants had incidental findings during the stress test, whereas 2 were ineligible due to a low (<20) Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score ($n = 1$), or could not properly manipulate the KINARM ($n = 1$). Therefore, a total of 36 ($n = 17$ exercise; $n = 23$ rest) stroke participants enrolled in the study. One participant from the exercise group was excluded from data analysis due to a technical error on practice day 4. Two participants from the rest group dropped out of the study due to health complications unrelated to exercise or the study, and one participant from the rest group had excessively long reaction time (> 3 SD) for most of their trials. Two additional participants from the rest group did not return for the 35-day retention test due to COVID-19 research suspensions but their practice and 24-hour retention data were included in the analyses.

Demographics (stroke and older adults)

There were no differences between groups or exercise conditions with respect to Age (Group, $F(1, 68) = .293, p = .590, \eta_p^2 = .004$), Exercise ($F(1, 68) = .009, p = .924, \eta_p^2 = .000$), Group by Exercise ($F(1, 68) = 2.069, p = .155, \eta_p^2 = .030$). Likewise there was no differences in baseline Fitness as demonstrated by the lack of a main effect of Participant Group ($F(1, 68) = .214, p = .645, \eta_p^2 = .003$), main effect of Exercise Group ($F(1, 68) = .315, p = .577, \eta_p^2 = .005$) and no Participant Group by Exercise Group interaction ($F(1, 68) = .007, p = .932, \eta_p^2 = .000$).

For the analysis of MoCA score, a total of 7 scores were missing, resulting in 65 values for the subsequent analysis (Stroke, $n=26$; Control, $n=39$). There was a main effect of Participant Group with respect to MoCA score ($F(1, 61) = 8.089, p = .006, \eta_p^2 = .117$). There was no main effect of Exercise Group ($F(1, 61) = .083, p = .774, \eta_p^2 = .001$) and no Participant Group by Exercise Group interaction ($F(1, 61) = 2.356, p = .130, \eta_p^2 = .037$).

Time between sessions (stroke group)

There were no group differences in the number of days between practice sessions. The amount of time between each practice session was 3.9 days and the amount of time between the 24-hour and 35-day retention sessions was 33.6 days.

Heart rate (stroke group)

Heart rate (HR) was collected from 12 of the 15 stroke participants, with 10 complete datasets across the 5 days of the intervention. Average HR and RPE for each exercise period (rest, warm-up, HITT, recovery) can be found in Table 2.

A 5 (Day: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) $\times$ 2 (Period: HITT, recovery) $\times$ 3 (Interval: 1, 2, 3) RM-ANOVA revealed a main effect of Day ($F(4,36) = 2.693, p = .046, \eta_p^2 = .23$), however post-hoc comparisons did not survive correction. There was also a main effect of Period ($F(1,9) = 41.587, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .82$). Heart rate was significantly higher in the high-intensity Period ($M = 114.94 \pm 2.8$) relative to the recovery Period ($M = 99.19 \pm 3.9$) of exercise. Importantly, 75% of age-predicted max (220-average age of the exercise group) is 115.0, or .06 from averaged HIIT HR. There was also a main effect of Interval ($F(2,18) = 9.140, p = .002, \eta_p^2 = .50$). BPM were significantly higher in the third ($M = 110.0 \pm 3.4$) compared to the first ($M = 104.8 \pm 3.0; p = .002$) and second ($M = 106.4 \pm 3.2; p = .041$) intervals. No interactions reached significance.

Serial Targeting Task

Explicit Awareness (stroke group)

There was no group difference in explicit awareness when assessed during the two retention intervals (24-h, 35-day). Individuals in the exercise group got 59% and 61% correct during the explicit awareness assessment during the 24-hour and 30-day retention sessions, respectively. Individuals in the rest group got 55% and 61% correct at the 24-hour and the 30-day retention sessions, respectively.

A total of 5 individuals were classified as being fully explicitly aware of the repeated implicit sequence (at least 2 out of 3 for the repeated sequence and at least 4 out of 7 for the foils), 4 of which were from the exercise group. Similarly, 5 individuals were explicitly aware of the implicit sequence, 4 of which were from the exercise group. Non-parametric tests revealed no statistically group differences.

Object hit and avoid

Hand Speed

There were no main effects of Group ($F(1, 67) = 1.069, p = .305, \eta_p^2 = .07$), Exercise Group ($F(1, 67) = .028, p = .867, \eta_p^2 < .01$), or their interaction ($F(1, 67) = .273, p = .603, \eta_p^2 < .01$). Hand speed did not change pre- to post-intervention, as evidenced by the lack of any main effects of Time ($F(1, 67) = .013, p = .908, \eta_p^2 < .01$), Participant Group by Time ($F(1, 67) = .588, p = .446, \eta_p^2 = .01$), or Participant Group by Exercise Group Time interactions ($F(1, 67) = 1.627, p = .207, \eta_p^2 = .02$).

There was evidence of a main effect of Hand ($F(1, 67) = 47.428, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .41$) suggesting that the affected/non-dominant hand was slower than the less-affected hand. This effect was driven by the Stroke group, whose affected hand was significantly slower than the less affected hand as evidenced by the Participant Group by Hand interaction ($F(1, 67) = 21.099, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .24$).

Hand effects did not vary with Exercise Group or Time as evidenced by the lack of a Hand by Time ($F(1, 67) = .164, p = .687, \eta_p^2 < .01$), Participant Group by Hand by Time ($F(1, 67) = .003, p = .956, \eta_p^2 < .01$), Exercise Group by Hand by Time ($F(1, 67) = .347, p = .558, \eta_p^2 = .01$), or Participant Group by Exercise Group by Hand by Time ($F(1, 67) = .033, p = .856, \eta_p^2 < .001$) interactions.

Table 1. Heart rate and rate of perceived exertion (RPE) averaged across the practice sessions separated by period for the exercise group. Each practice session for the exercise group was 23 minutes in duration and consisted of a 5-minute warm-up, followed by three high-intensity intervals (HIIT) of exercise for 3 minutes interleaved with 3 active recovery periods for 3 minutes. Values are reported as means with standard deviation.

Period	Heart Rate	RPE
Resting	77.2 ± 13.4	
Warm-up	91.4 ± 14.8	2.3 ± 1.2
HITT 1	110.4 ± 13.9	4.9 ± 1.2
Recovery 1	99.9 ± 14.7	2.7 ± 1.3
HITT 2	115.7 ± 14.2	5.5 ± 1.4
Recovery 2	100.6 ± 14.1	3.0 ± 1.4
HITT 3	119.6 ± 14.6	5.9 ± 1.5
Recovery 3	102.6 ± 14.0	2.9 ± 1.6




Figure 1. Group means (large circles and triangles) and individual (small circles and triangles shapes) of total completion time (in seconds) for Trail Making Test-A (left) and Train Making Test-B (right) disaggregated by healthy older adults (pink) and stroke (blue), exercise (circles) and rest (triangles) groups.




Figure 2. Group means (large circles) and individuals (small circles) of the number of targets hit in object hit and avoid separated by affected / non-dominant (orange circles) or less affected / dominant (purple circles) for healthy older adults (left) and stroke (right) groups. Note, Data is collapsed across exercise and rest groups.




Figure 3. Group means (large circles and triangles) and individual (small circles and triangles) number of target hits in object hit and avoid disaggregated by healthy older adults (left) stroke (right), exercise (circles), rest (triangles), affected / non-dominant hand (orange), and less affected / dominant (purple).




Figure 4. Group mean (large circles) and individual (small circles) number of distractors hit in the object hit and avoid task collapsed for healthy older adults (left), stroke (right), disaggregated by affected / non-dominant hand (orange circles) and less affected / dominant (purple circles) hand. Data is collapsed across exercise and rest groups.




Figure 5. Group means (large circles) and individual (small circles) number of distractors hit in the object hit and avoid task collapsed for healthy older adults (left), stroke (right), disaggregated by exercise (circles) and rest (triangles), affected / non-dominant hand (orange) and less affected / dominant (purple) hands.