

Apolipoprotein E moderates the association between Non-APOE Polygenic Risk Score for Alzheimer's Disease and Aging on Preclinical Cognitive Function

Yuxuan Xu¹, Zhongxuan Sun², Erin Jonaitis^{4,5}, Yuetiva Deming^{1,3}, Qiongshi Lu², Sterling C. Johnson^{3,4}, Corinne D. Engelman¹

¹Department of Population Health Science, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison; ²Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison; ³Department of Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison; ⁴Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute, University of Wisconsin-Madison; ⁵Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Supplementary methods, tables, and figures

Supplementary tables 1-12 see excel file

Supplementary methods

Supplementary figure 1. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on immediate learning cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and at different age (N = 1,190).

Supplementary figure 2. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on delayed recall cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and at different age (N = 1,190).

Supplementary figure 3. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on executive function cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and at different age (N = 1,190).

Supplementary figure 4. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on PACC-3 cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and at different age (N = 1,190).

Supplementary figure 5. Simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on domain specific- and global cognitive score for individuals with different *APOE* genotype and at different age (N = 1,190).

Supplementary figure 6. Simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on domain specific- and global cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and at different age in Health and Retirement Study (N = 6,785).

Supplementary methods

1. Simple slopes calculation

Suppose we have a three-way interaction model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 Age * PRS + \beta_6 Age^2 * PRS + \beta_7 Age * APOE + \beta_8 Age^2 * APOE + \beta_9 PRS * APOE + \beta_{10} PRS * APOE * Age + \beta_{11} PRS * APOE * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u$$

Where **BX** represents covariates (gender, education, parental history of AD, practice effects, and first five principal components), *i* index the within-individual random intercept, *j* is the within-family random intercept, and *u* is the error term.

To calculate the simple slope of the **PRS** for individuals with different *APOE* ε4 carrier status at different age, we can reorganize the above model as

$$Y = \beta_0 + PRS(\beta_1 + \beta_5 Age + \beta_6 Age^2 + \beta_9 APOE + \beta_{10} APOE * Age + \beta_{11} APOE * Age^2) + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_7 Age * APOE + \beta_8 Age^2 * APOE + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u$$

Then it's easy to calculate the simple slope of PRS by using different combination of values for age and *APOE* ε4 in this three-way interaction model.

Example

If we want to calculate the simple slope of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on PACC-3 for individuals with and without *APOE* ε4 and at age 65, 70, and 75.

We first get the regression outputs as the following (the same as the model presented in table 3, age is centered at year 65)

PACC-3			
Predictors	Estimates	std. Error	p
(β0) (Intercept)	-0.832294	0.066533	<0.001
(β1) PRS	0.032291	0.032169	0.316
(β2) Age	-0.060302	0.004255	<0.001
(β3) Age ²	-0.000786	0.000190	<0.001
(β4) APOE ε4	-0.176490	0.055365	0.001
(β5) PRS * Age	-0.000497	0.002370	0.834
(β6) PRS * Age ²	-0.000128	0.000179	0.475

(β7) APOE ε4 * Age	-0.030727	0.004115	<0.001
(β8) APOE ε4 * Age ²	-0.001662	0.000316	<0.001
(β9) PRS * APOE ε4	-0.072353	0.053890	0.179
(β10) PRS * Age * APOE ε4	-0.009792	0.004199	0.020
(β11) PRS * Age ² * APOE ε4	-0.000751	0.000340	0.027
Female	0.678827	0.053277	<0.001
Years of education	0.128226	0.011200	<0.001
Practice effects	0.107708	0.011031	<0.001
Family history of AD	-0.040554	0.058385	0.487
PC1	-1.764619	0.847440	0.037
PC2	0.477825	0.827262	0.564
PC3	0.166100	0.840462	0.843
PC4	0.083924	0.830247	0.919
PC5	1.919996	0.827682	0.020

The simple slopes of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on PACC-3 for individuals who are APOE ε4 non-carriers and at age 65, 70, 75 (0, 5, 10 for age-65-centered age) are

Age 65:

$$0.032291 + \beta_5*0 + \beta_6*0 + \beta_9*0 + \beta_{10}*0 + \beta_{11}*0 = 0.032291$$

Age 70:

$$0.032291 + (-0.000497)*5 + (-0.000128)*25 + \beta_9*0 + \beta_{10}*0 + \beta_{11}*0 = 0.026606$$

Age 75:

$$0.032291 + (-0.000497)*10 + (-0.000128)*100 + \beta_9*0 + \beta_{10}*0 + \beta_{11}*0 = 0.014521$$

The simple slopes of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on PACC-3 for individuals who are APOE ε4 carriers and at age 65, 70, 75 (0, 5, 10 for age-65-centered age) are

Age 65:

$$0.032291 + \beta_5*0 + \beta_6*0 + (-0.072353)*1 + \beta_{10}*0 + \beta_{11}*0 = -0.040062$$


Age 70:

$$0.032291 + (-0.000497)*5 + (-0.000128)*25 + (-0.072353)*1 + (-0.009792)*1*5 + (-0.000751)*1*25 = -0.113482$$

Age 75:

$$0.032291 + (-0.000497)*10 + (-0.000128)*100 + (-0.072353)*1 + (-0.009792)*1*10 + (-0.000751)*1*100 = -0.230852$$

Visualize simple slopes in the predicted value plot



2. Model set for model comparison

a. Main effect model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (17 terms)}$$

b. Main effect of APOE + Age × PRS interaction

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 PRS * Age + \beta_6 PRS * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (19 terms)}$$

c. Main effect of PRS + Age × APOE interaction

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 APOE * Age + \beta_6 APOE * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (19 terms)}$$

d. Main effect of age + PRS × APOE interaction

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 PRS * APOE + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (18 terms)}$$

e. Age × APOE interaction + Age × PRS interaction

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 APOE * Age + \beta_6 APOE * Age^2 + \beta_7 PRS * Age + \beta_8 PRS * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (21 terms)}$$

f. Full model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 Age * PRS + \beta_6 Age^2 * PRS + \beta_7 Age * APOE + \beta_8 Age^2 * APOE + \beta_9 PRS * APOE + \beta_{10} PRS * APOE * Age + \beta_{11} PRS * APOE * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (24 terms)}$$

Where **BX** represents covariates (gender, education, family history of AD, practice effects, and first five principal components), i index the within-individual random intercept, j is the within-family random intercept, and u is the error term.

3. Likelihood ratio tests

We test the joint significance of the interactions between PRS, *APOE* ε4, and age using likelihood ratio tests. Specifically, we tested the coefficients for all the three-way interaction terms are simultaneously zero (degree of freedom = difference between number of parameters in the full model vs nested model).

Full model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 Age * PRS + \beta_6 Age^2 * PRS + \beta_7 Age * APOE + \beta_8 Age^2 * APOE + \beta_9 PRS * APOE + \beta_{10} PRS * APOE * Age + \beta_{11} PRS * APOE * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (24 terms)}$$


Nested model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PRS + \beta_2 Age + \beta_3 Age^2 + \beta_4 APOE + \beta_5 PRS * APOE + \beta_6 APOE * Age + \beta_7 APOE * Age^2 + \beta_8 PRS * Age + \beta_9 PRS * Age^2 + \mathbf{BX} + i + f + u \text{ (22 terms)}$$

Where **BX** represents covariates (gender, education, family history of AD, practice effects, and first five principal components), i index the within-individual random intercept, j is the within-family random intercept, and u is the error term.

Supplementary figures 1. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on immediate learning cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 and at different age (N = 1,190).


Immediate Learning



Supplementary figure 1 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS constructed using different p-threshold for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 from age 50 to 80 on immediate learning composite score. The red line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS among *APOE* ϵ 4 non-carriers while the blue line represents simple slope estimates of PRS among individuals with *APOE* ϵ 4. Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS, age (quadratic), *APOE* ϵ 4, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, practice effect, family history of AD, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean.

Supplementary figure 2. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on delayed recall cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 and at different age (N = 1,190).


Delayed Recall



Supplementary figure 2 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS constructed using different p-threshold for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 from age 50 to 80 on delayed recall composite score. The red line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS among *APOE* ϵ 4 non-carriers while the blue line represents simple slope estimates of PRS among individuals with *APOE* ϵ 4. Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS, age (quadratic), *APOE* ϵ 4, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, practice effect, family history of AD, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean.

Supplementary figure 3. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on executive function cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 and at different age (N = 1,190).


Executive Function



Supplementary figure 3 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS constructed using different p-threshold for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 from age 50 to 80 on executive function composite score. The red line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS among *APOE* ϵ 4 non-carriers while the blue line represents simple slope estimates of PRS among individuals with *APOE* ϵ 4. Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS, age (quadratic), *APOE* ϵ 4, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, practice effect, family history of AD, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean.


Supplementary figure 4. Simple slope estimates of PRSs constructed using IGAP GWAS summary statistics with different thresholding parameters on PACC-3 cognitive score for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 and at different age (N = 1,190).

PACC-3




Supplementary figure 4 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS constructed using different p-threshold for individuals with and without *APOE* ϵ 4 from age 50 to 80 on PACC-3 composite score. The red line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS among *APOE* ϵ 4 non-carriers while the blue line represents simple slope estimates of PRS among individuals with *APOE* ϵ 4. Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS, age (quadratic), *APOE* ϵ 4, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, practice effect, family history of AD, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean. PACC-3 = Preclinical Alzheimer’s Cognitive Composite Score-3.

Supplementary figure 5. Simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on domain specific- and global cognitive score for individuals with different *APOE* genotype and at different age (N = 1,190).



Supplementary figure 5 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} for individuals with different *APOE* genotypes from age 50 to 80 on global and domain specific cognition score. Each line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} for individuals with different *APOE* genotypes (as quantified by the *APOE* score). Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS , age (quadratic), *APOE* score, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, practice effect, family history of AD, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean. PACC-3 = Preclinical Alzheimer’s Cognitive Composite Score-3.

Supplementary figure 6. Simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} on domain specific- and global cognitive score for individuals with and without $APOE \epsilon 4$ and at different age in Health and Retirement Study (N = 6,785).



Supplementary figure 6 plotted the simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} for individuals with and without $APOE \epsilon 4$ from age 50 to 85 on global and domain specific cognition score in the Health and Retirement Study. Inclusion criteria include individuals who were born between 1935 and 1959 (age 40 to 65 at year 2000, not-late babyboomers cohort), non-Hispanic white, whose cognition were not assessed through proxy, and are not classified as “demented” by Langa-Weir Classification of Cognitive Function. The red line represents the longitudinal trajectory of simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} among $APOE \epsilon 4$ non-carriers while the blue line represents simple slope estimates of PRS_{Kunkle_sig} among individuals with $APOE \epsilon 4$. Bands represent 95% confidence intervals. The simple slope estimates are calculated using package “reghelper” in R and were based on the results which were obtained using the linear mixed effect model and adjusted for within-individual/family correlation. In addition to PRS, age (quadratic), $APOE \epsilon 4$, and their interactions, additional covariates include gender, education years, cohort, practice effect, and the first five principal components. Age is centered at year 65 and education is centered at the mean.