Supporting Information:

Two-Year Evaluation of *Legionella* in an Aging Residential Building: Assessment of Multiple Potable Water Remediation Approaches

Monica Lee-Masi^a, Caroline Coulter^a, Steven J. Chow^a, Benjamin Zaitchik^b, Joseph G. Jacangelo^{a,c}, Natalie G. Exum^a, and Kellogg J. Schwab^{*a}

^a Department of Environmental Health & Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 21205, Baltimore, Maryland, United States

^b Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins Krieger School of Arts and

Sciences, 21218, Baltimore, Maryland, United States ^c Stantec, Washington, DC, 20005, United States

*Email: kschwab1@jhu.edu

10 pages: supporting text, 7 supporting figures.

1 SI Materials and Methods

2 Sample Collection and Processing

All samples were collected by team members who wore personal protective equipment 3 4 (N95 masks and nylon gloves) to protect against inhalation exposure and prevent cross-5 contamination. At each shower or sink faucet location, the showerheads or aerators were 6 removed prior to sampling. All shower valves were rotated to the maximum hot temperature 7 designation to obtain only hot water at first-draw (T0) and five-minute flush (T5). Flow rates 8 were calculated for each shower location and ranged from 5 L/min to 9 L/min (Median 5 L/min). 9 Samples were inverted immediately for mixing after collection, and aliquoted into a 100 mL and 10 Whirl-Pak vessel containing sodium thiosulfate (Whirl-Pak; Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin) for Legiolert assays. The remaining sample volume was used for physiochemical parameters with 30 11 12 mL for pH and temperature, 30 mL for turbidity, and 10 mL for TOC. Aliquots were stored at 4 13 °C in coolers and transported to laboratory immediately after collection.

Microbiological samples were brought up to room temperature before analysis and if 14 needed, were adjusted for hardness per the Legiolert protocols using the Legiolert Supplemental 15 Kit (IDEXX Laboratories; Westbrook Maine). For ten-fold dilutions, 10 mL of undilute sample 16 was diluted into 90 mL of 0.1% peptone. Legiolert media (IDEXX Laboratories; Westbrook 17 Maine) was then added to both undilute and dilute samples and shaken immediately. Samples 18 were then placed into a 37 °C water-bath for 20 minutes to assist in complete dissolving of 19 media. Subsequently, samples were poured into Legiolert Quanti-trays (IDEXX Laboratories; 20 21 Westbrook, Maine) and face-up trays (to keep the back of the trays hydrated in each MPN pillow) were incubated at 39 °C and 85% humidity for 7 days. A field negative control consisting 22

of 1 L of sterile MilliQ water transported to and from the sample site was processed andanalyzed with each sample set.

25 SI Results

26 Water Quality Parameters

27 *Turbidity*. All samples measured for turbidity were expressed in Nephelometric Turbidity Units 28 (NTU) and ranged from non-detect (<1 NTU) to 174 NTU. Cold-water samples averaged higher turbidity (10.9 NTU; n=120) compared to hot-water samples (3.2 NTU; n=624). The Inlet cold-29 30 water samples experienced the highest average turbidity concentration (23.9 NTU) compared to the proximal (5.0 NTU), distal (2.4 NTU), and hot-water samples (Riser A: 3.2 NTU, Riser B: 31 32 3.2 NTU, Riser C: 3.4 NTU) (Figure S6). L. pneumophila positive samples were present in 33 turbidity ranging from 1 to 78.8 NTU. Approximately 65.9% (n =743) positive samples had turbidity \geq 1 NTU. Correlation analysis found a weak negative correlation between turbidity and 34 *L. pneumophila* (Kendall tau-b=-0.11, p<0.001; n=744). 35 Total Organic Carbon. All samples were measured for total organic carbon (TOC) in parts per 36 million (ppm). Hot-water samples experienced a wider range of TOC (Range: non-detect (<0.1)-37 10.9 ppm; Mean: 1.27 ppm) compared to cold-water samples (Range 0.23-6.83 ppm; Mean: 38 1.42). Only in the hot-water samples was there a significant difference of TOC concentration 39 between T0 (Mean: 1.33 ppm) and T5 (Mean: 1.17 ppm), with average TOC slightly reduced at 40 T5 (p=0.01) (Figure S7). The distal cold-water samples experienced slightly lower concentration 41 of TOC (Mean: 1.26 ppm) compared to the Inlet (Mean: 1.47 ppm) and the proximal (Mean: 42 1.50 ppm) samples (Figure S7). L. pneumophila positive samples were present at TOC ranging 43

- 44 from <0.1 to 10.9 ppm and had 65.9% (n=745) positivity with TOC>0. Correlation analysis
- 45 found a weak negative association between *L. pneumophila* and TOC (Kendall tau-b=-0.22,
- 46 p<0.001; n=743).





Figure S1. A) *L. pneumophila* concentrations across Riser A, B, and C hot-water samples. B) *L. pneumophila* concentrations across 12 showers from hot-water samples. C) Percent positivity of *L. pneumophila* concentrations across Riser A, B, and C from hot-water samples. D) Percent
positivity of *L. pneumophila* concentrations across 12 showers from hot-water samples.



Figure S2. A) *L. pneumophila* concentrations across locations from cold-water samples. B)

54 Percent positivity of *L. pneumophila* concentrations across locations from cold-water samples.



57 Figure S3. A) Free chlorine mean concentration across cold-water sample locations. B) Free

- 58 chlorine concentration across risers from hot-water samples. C) Free chlorine concentration
- 59 across 12 showers from hot-water samples.



Figure S4. A) Mean temperatures across risers from hot-water samples. B) Mean temperatures



63 locations. "0" indicates Time 0 and "5" indicates Time 5.



Figure S5. A) Mean pH across risers from hot-water samples. B) Mean pH across 12 showers
from hot-water samples. C) Mean pH across cold-water sample locations. "0" indicates Time 0

and "5" indicates Time 5.



Figure S6. A) Mean turbidity across risers from hot-water samples. B) Mean turbidity across 12





Figure S7. A) Mean TOC across risers from hot-water samples. B) Mean TOC across 12



74 indicates Time 0 and "5" indicates Time 5.