**S2. Psychometric Properties of Measures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Measure  | Author, year  | Psychometric Properties |
| Participants tested | Psychometric property | Results |
| Acceptance and Action Questionnaire – Acquired Brain Injury | Kortte et al., 2009 | **n=139**Spinal cord dysfunction secondary to spinal cord injury, Guillain-Barre, or multiple sclerosis (spinal cord): n=82;Ischemic or hemorrhagicstrokes (stroke): n=23;Amputations: n=16;Hip or knee replacements (orthopedic): n=18Age (years): Mean=54.90, SD=18.72 Male: 60.4%Female: 39.6%  | Internal consistency  | a=0.70 (acceptable)  |
| Construct validity | Facilitation of Action Factor: factor loadings = 0.16 to 0.80 Evaluation of Affect Factor: factor loadings = -0.44 to 0.69 |
| Predictive validity | Life satisfaction (during participation) ß=-0.45, p<0.000Life satisfaction (3mo f/u) ß=-0.40, p<0.001Level of handicap ß=-0.20, p<0.014Social integration ß=-0.23, p<0.012Rehabilitation engagement (during participation) ß=-0.13, nsRehabilitation engagement (3mo f/u) ß=-0.07, ns |
| Whiting, Diane L; Deane, Frank P; Ciarrochi, Joseph; McLeod, Hamish J; Simpson, Grahame K, 2015 | **n=150**Severe traumatic injury: n=117; Brain tumour: n=11; Hypoxic injury: n=9; Cerebrovascular accident: n=13Age (years): Mean=38.1, SD=13.7Male: 77.3% Female: 22.7% | Internal consistency | Factor 1 (Reactive Avoidance): a=0.89 (good)Factor 2 (Denial): a=0.38 (unacceptable)Factor 3 (Active Acceptance): a=0.46 (unacceptable) |
| Test-retest reliability | Factor 1 (Reactive Avoidance): ICC=0.92 (95% CI 0.86 to 0.95) (high degree of reliability)Factor 2 (Denial): ICC=0.75 (95% CI 0.60 to 0.85) (not reliable)Factor 3 (Active Acceptance): ICC=0.68 (95% CI 0.49 to 0.80) (not reliable) |
| Construct validity | Scores on Factor 1: goodScores on Factors 2 and 3: weak |
| Confidence after Stroke Measure | Horne, Jane C.; Lincoln, Nadina B.; Logan, Pip A., 2017 | **n=202**Healthy elderly population: n=101; Stroke respondents: n=101Age (years): Mean=70.1, SD=13.3Male: 45.5% Female: 54.5%  | Internal consistency (27-item questionnaire) | Participant Groups:Stroke participants: a=0.92 (excellent)Healthy elderly participants: a=0.90 (excellent)Sub-scales:Self-confidence α=0.89 (good)Positive attitude α=0.82 (good)Social confidence α=0.88 (good) |
| Test-retest reliability | Spearman’s correlation r=0.85, p=0.001 (good temporal stability)Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test p=0.04 |
| Face validity | Good |
| Content validity | Good |
| Convergent validity | Spearman’s correlation between 27-item Confidence after Stroke Measureand Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire: r=0.77, p=0.001  |
| Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale | Stoner et al., 2015  | **n=806**Random-digit dialgeneral population(non-help-seeking),primary carerecipients, psychiatricoutpatients, GAD andPTSDAge (years): Mean=43.8, SD not reportedSex not reported  | Internal consistency | α=0.89 (good) |
| Test-retest reliability | ICC=0.87 (good) |
| Convergent validity | With SSS: r=0.36, p<0.0001 (high significant positive correlation)With PSS-10: r=-0.76, p<0.001 (significant negative correlation)With SVS: r=-0.32, p<0.0001 (high significant negative correlation))With SDS: r=-0.62, p<0.0001 (high significant negative correlation) |
| Criterion validity | With Kobasa hardiness: r=0.83, p<0.0001 (significant positive correlation) |
| Sensitivity to change | Effect of time (F=17.36; d.f. 1, 46; p<0.0001)Interaction between time and response category F=12.87; d.f. 2, 47; p<0.001) Both indicate scores increased with overall clinical improvement |
| Daily Living Self-Efficacy Scale  | Maujean, Annick; Davis, Penelope; Kendall, Elizabeth; Casey, Leanne; Loxton, Natalie, 2014 | **n=424**Stroke survivors: n=259; Control group (without stroke or any brain injury): n=165Age (years): Mean=65.3, SD=12.7Male: 46.5% Female: 53.5%  | Internal consistency  | Full sample:Total scale a=0.95 (excellent)Psychosocial functioning a=0.94 (excellent) Activities of daily living a=0.91(excellent) Stroke Group:Total scale a=0.95 (excellent)Psychosocial functioning a=0.93 (excellent)Activities of daily living a=0.91 (excellent)Non-stroke Group:Total scale a=0.88 (good)Psychosocial functioning a=0.90 (excellent)Activities of daily living a=0.64 (questionable) |
|
| Test-retest reliability | ICCagreement of all items = 0.78-0.98 (good-excellent temporal stability) |
| Convergent validity  | DLSES and Patient Competency Rating Scale - participants’ ratings: n=0.74, p<0.001 (high positive correlation)DLSES and Generalized Self-EfficacyScale: r=0.56, p<0.001 (moderate positive correlation)DLSES and Patient Competency Rating Scale - carers’ ratings: r=0.59, p<0.001 (moderate positive correlation) |
| Discriminant validity | DLSES and TICS-M: r=0.11 (non-significant correlation)DLSES and Barthel Index: r=0.28 (very low significant positive correlation) |
| General Self-Efficacy Scale | Carlstedt, Emma, Eva Månsson Lexell, Hélène Pessah-Rasmussen, and Susanne Iwarsson. 2015 | **n=34**Infarction (stroke): n=33; Hemorrhage (stroke): n=1Age (years): Mean=68.1, SD not reported Male: 61.8%Female: 38.2%  | Internal consistency | a=0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.95 (excellent) |
| Test-retest reliability | ICC2,1=0.82 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.90) |
| Systematic/random differences | d=-0.68 (95% CI -2.23 to 0.88) |
| Participation Strategies Self-Efficacy Scale | Lee, Danbi; Fogg, Louis; Baum, Carolyn M.; Wolf, Timothy J.; Hammel, Joy, 2018 | **n=166**Mild to moderate stroke (NIH stroke scale <16)Age (years): Mean=56.5, SD=10.33 Male: 50.6%Female: 49.4% | Internal consistency | Home management: a=0.904 (excellent)Organizing at home: a=0.861 (good)Community management: a=0.926 (excellent)Work management: a=0.926 (excellent)Community service management: a=0.907 (excellent)Communication management: a=0.884 (good)\*High Cronbach’s alpha values may suggest that some items are redundant |
| Resilience Scale | Losoi, Heidi, Noah D. Silverberg, Minna Wäljas, Senni Turunen, Eija Rosti-Otajärvi, Mika Helminen, Teemu Miikka Artturi Luoto, Juhani Julkunen, Juha Öhman, and Grant L. Iverson. 2015 | **n=113**Group 1: mild traumatic brain injury groupn=74CT-imaged head injury patientsAge (years): Mean=37.0, SD=11.8Male: 61%Female: 39%Group 2: Trauma control group n=39Age (years): Mean=39.7, SD=12.1Male: 49%Female: 51% | Internal consistency | Resilience Scale:a=0.91 to 0.93 (excellent) for mTBI groupa=0.88 to 0.95 (good-excellent) for controlsResilience Scale-14:a=0.88 to 0.93 (good-excellent) for mTBI groupa=0.86 to 0.94 (good-excellent) for controls |
| Test-retest reliability | RS across studies: 0.67-0.84RS and RS-14 across studies: 0.66 to 0.80 |
| Content validity | Strong |
| Concurrent validity | Strong |
| Robson Self-Esteem Scale | Longworth, Catherine; Deakins, Joseph; Rose, David; Gracey, Fergus, 2018 | **n=80**TBI: n=54; Stroke: n=18; Encephalitis: n=3; Hypoxia: n=2; Meningitis: n=1; Other: n=2Age (years): Mean=35.55, SD=10.83 Male: 67.5%Female: 32.5%  | Internal consistency | α=0.89 (good)Guttmann split half reliability=0.75 (good)Factors:Self-worth: a=0.82 (good) Self-regard: a=0.86 (good)Self-efficacy: a=0.72 (acceptable)Confidence and determinism: a=0.6 (questionable) |
| Construct validity | Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy=0.79Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity: p<0.001Haitovsky test: p<0.001Factor correlation matrix: (all not significant)Self-worth and Self-regard = -0.36Self-worth and Self-efficacy = -0.34Self-worth and Confidence = 0.28Self-regard and Self-efficacy = 0.34Self-regard and Confidence = -0.24Self-efficacy and Confidence = -0.23 |
| Factorial validity | Self-Regard predicted HADS depression, accounting for 38% of variance, R2=0.38, F(4, 58)=9.00, p<0.001, β=−0.38, p=0.01Two factor model: Self-Worth (β=−0.39, p<0.01) and Self-Efficacy (β=−0.30, p<0.05) significantly predicted HADS anxiety, accounting for 44% of the variance, R2=0.44, F(4, 58)=11.26, p<0.001 |
| Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire | Partridge, Cecily; Reid, Fiona; Jones, Fiona, 2008 | **n=112**Adults with strokeSex not reportedStage I**n=15**Age (years): Mean age and SD not reportedStage II**n=40**Age (years): Mean=68.4, SD not reportedStage III**n=57**Age (years): Mean=65.0, SD=17.9 | Internal consistency | a=0.90 (excellent) |
| Face validity | Ceiling effect for those with high degree of independence in activities of daily living and mobility, enabled 10 items to be removed from the listFinal 13-item Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire had good face validity |
| Criterion validity | High compared with Falls Efficacy Scale, r=0.803, p<0.001 |

 \*CMIN/df = minimum discrepancy per degree of freedom;

CT = computed tomography;

CVI = core values index;

ICC = inter-class correlation

HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

MNSQ = mean square