

Supplementary Information

Table of Contents

<i>Cells and Flow Cytometry</i>	2
Figure S1. Cell Ratios and Populations.....	2
Figure S2. Gating Strategy	3
Table S2. Antibodies, blocking reagent, and viability marker used in this study.	4
ICC Results.....	5
Table S3.	5
Figure S3. Visual Verification of Gating Strategy.....	6
<i>PCA Results</i>	9
Table S4. PC3 Post Hoc analysis Results.....	9
Table S5. Cell Percent Contribution to each selected component	10
Figure S4. Scree Plot	11
Figure S5. LOF Histogram Visualization	12
Table S5. PC Comparisons to Age, Sex, and ACE- R.....	13
<i>Individual Cells: Pairwise Comparisons</i>	14
Table S6. Results from Analyses of Dementia and Controls	14
Figure S6. Individual Cell types between controls and dementia	15

Cells and Flow Cytometry

Figure S1. Cell Ratios and Populations




Figure 1. Graphic showing cell types in the study. Red arrows indicate the parent population that each raw cell below was divided by to yield the ratio value used for analysis. Grey populations were not considered in this analysis.

Figure S2. Gating Strategy




Figure S2. Gating Strategy for Flow Cytometry analysis. Samples were first evaluated for compliance by gating a continuous column flow over time. Next, all live cells were sectioned. B and T cells were eliminated by selecting cells negative for CD3, CD19, and CD20

Table S2. Antibodies, blocking reagent, and viability marker used in this study.

Antibody	Vendor	Catalogue
CD56-FITC	eBioscience	11-0566-42
CD123-PerCP/Cy5.5	eBioscience	45-1239-42
TREM2-PE or Rat IgG2B-PE (iso)	R&D Systems	FAB17291P or IC013P
CD11c-PE/Vio770	Miltenyi Biotec	130-113-581
CCR5-AlexaFluor647	eBioscience	313712
CD20-APC/Cy7	eBioscience	47-0209-42
CD19-APC/Cy7	eBioscience	47-0199-42
CD3-APC/Cy7	BioLegend	317342
CCR2-BV421	BioLegend	357210
CD14-BV605	BioLegend	301834
CD16-BV650	BioLegend	302042
HLADR-BV785	BioLegend	307642
Live/Dead Aqua	Thermo Fisher	L34957

Table S2: Antibodies, blocking reagent, and viability marker used in this study

ICC Results


Table S3.

Gate	ICC Value
Live	0.96
Innate Cells	0.96
NK Cells	0.95
Non-NK Cells	0.97
Dendritic Cells	0.95
Classical Monocytes	0.54 -> 0.91
Intermediate Monocytes	0.9
Nonclassical Monocytes	0.12 -> 0.9


Table S3. ICC Results across major gates. The gating strategy was validated between three distinct raters using the same gating strategy individually for 10 shared samples. Inter-rater correlation was assessed graphically as well as mathematically using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) analysis: ICC 2,1 (Shrout and Fleiss, 1979). ICC values for parent populations are found in Table 1. Graphical representations of inter-rater correlation can be found in the appendix (see figures Figure 1.1 – 1.25). Classical Monocyte and Nonclassical Monocyte inconsistencies were evaluated and normalized prior to continuing with the analysis. The ICC value upon adjustment is also reported.

Figure S3. Visual Verification of Gating Strategy.


10 patients were selected and gated by three distinct raters. In addition to ICC analysis, gates that were visually inconsistent (see below) were revisited and the strategy was agreed upon between the three raters before continuing with analysis. (E.g. Gater 3 had consistently different values past figure 1.23 which were addressed).




1.7




1.8




1.9




1.10




1.11




1.12




1.13




1.14




1.15




1.16




1.17




1.18




1.19




1.20




1.21




1.22




1.23




1.24




1.25



1.26



1.27



PCA Results

Table S4. PC3 Post Hoc analysis Results

		p	p_fdr
Control	AD	0.04*	0.426
Control	CBS	0.44	1
Control	LBD	0.212	0.01*
Control	FTD	0.0163	0.212
Control	PSP	0.31	1
AD	CBS	0.245	1
AD	LBD	0.286	1
AD	FTD	0.634	1
AD	PSP	0.185	1
CBS	LBD	0.0228	0.273
CBS	FTD	0.121	1
CBS	PSP	0.957	1
LBD	FTD	0.63	1
LBD	PSP	0.00668	0.094
FTD	PSP	0.0816	0.816

Table S4. Results for Dunn's Post hoc analysis in individual PC3 loading. Results for comparisons between each group individual loading in PC3 in the three components selected. * Indicates significance at $p < 0.05$.

Table S5. Cell Percent Contribution to each selected component

Each cell type percent contribution to principal component 1, 2, and 3.

Cell Type	PC1	PC2	PC3
TREM2+ Classical Monocytes	17.78	0.99	5.06
TREM2+ Nonclassical Monocytes	17.43	2.31	7.21
TREM2+ Intermediate Monocytes	15.36	3.04	8.66
Classical Monocytes	11.25	1.57	3.09
Nonclassical Monocytes	9.14	0.38	3.52
CCR2+ Nonclassical Monocytes	7.93	1.25	0.88
CD16+ NK Cells	4.93	0.21	20.08
CCR2+ Classical Monocytes	4.49	11.24	0.09
DC +/+	3.41	0.41	0.72
DC -/-	2.79	13.70	1.14
CCR5+ Classical Monocytes	2.04	3.71	2.72
Intermediate Monocytes	1.07	0.24	11.14
CD56+ NK Cells	0.82	0.00	16.35
CCR2+ Intermediate Monocytes	0.66	15.26	0.75
NK Cells	0.35	0.04	15.23
CCR5p Intermediate Monocytes	0.27	17.40	0.25
CCR5+ Nonclassical Monocytes	0.14	15.57	0.65
Plasmacytoid DC	0.10	0.27	2.43
Myeloid DC	0.03	12.41	0.02

Figure S4. Scree Plot




Figure S3. Scree plot from PCA computed following the removal of outliers.

Figure S5. LOF Histogram Visualization




Figure S4. Histogram visualization of LOF- 2 subjects with $\text{LOF} > 3$ were removed prior to PCA recomputation.

Table S5. PC Comparisons to Age, Sex, and ACE- R

	PC1	PC2	PC3
Age Interaction Statistics	$f = 0.026,$	$f = 0.1237,$	$f = 0.3254,$
Statistic, p-value	$p = 0.70$	$p = 0.725$	$p = 0.5691$
Sex Interaction Statistics	$U = 3739,$	$U = 4243,$	$U = 3598,$
Statistic, p-value	$p = 0.281$	$p = 0.742$	$p = 0.141$
ACE-R Correlation Statistics	$t = 0.6024,$	$t = 0.436,$	$t = -0.780,$
statistic, p-value	$p = 0.439$	$p = 0.663$	$p = 0.436$

Individual Cells: Pairwise Comparisons

Table S6. Results from Analyses of Dementia and Controls

Cell Type	p_value	p_fdr	statistic
CCR2+ Classical Monocytes	0.0939	1	2265
CCR5+ Classical Monocytes	0.463	1	2541
TREM2+ Classical Monocytes	0.759	1	2847
CCR2+ Intermediate Monocytes	0.943	1	2778
CCR5+ Intermediate Monocytes	0.97	1	2745
TREM2+ Intermediate Monocytes	0.493	1	2958
CCR2+ Nonclassical Monocytes	1.74E-04**	0.003306*	3857.5
CCR5+ Nonclassical Monocytes	0.961	1	2741.5
TREM2+ Nonclassical Monocytes	0.376	1	3016
DC-/-	0.743	1	2853
Myeloid DC	0.878	1	2711
Plasmacytoid DC	0.216	1	3120
DC+/+	0.835	1	2695
CD16+ NK Cells	0.051	0.969	2184
CD16- NK Cells	0.0203*	0.3857	3437
Classical Monocytes	0.00118**	0.02242*	1805
Intermediate Monocytes	0.278	1	3075
Nonclassical Monocytes	0.00134**	0.02546*	3697
NK Cells	0.00879**	0.16701	1988

Table S6. Results for pairwise comparisons of each cell type between dementia patients and controls. * Indicate significance at $p < 0.05$. See Figure 6 for graphical representation of values.

Figure S6. Individual Cell types between controls and dementia




Figure S6. Median prevalence of innate immune cells (as a ratio of parent) between dementia patients and controls. * indicates statistical significance following FDR correction, + indicated statistical significance prior to FDR correction.