

Supplementary material for “*Polygenic susceptibility for multiple sclerosis is associated with working memory in low-performing young adults*”

Authors:

J. Petrovska^{1,3*}, D. Coyne^{2,3}, V. Freytag^{1,3}, D. J.-F. de Quervain^{2,3,4}, A. Papassotiropoulos^{1,3,4}

Affiliations:

- ¹ Division of Molecular Neuroscience, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, CH-4055 Basel, Switzerland
- ² Division of Cognitive Neuroscience, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, CH-4055 Basel, Switzerland
- ³ Research Cluster Molecular and Cognitive Neurosciences, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, CH-4055 Basel, Switzerland
- ⁴ Psychiatric University Clinics, University of Basel, CH-4055 Basel, Switzerland

*Corresponding author: J. Petrovska: jana.petrovska@unibas.ch

Tel +41 61 207 20 02

Birmannsgasse 8,
CH-4055 Basel, Switzerland

Table of Contents

1. DTI.....	3
1.1 ACQUISITION	3
1.2 PRE-PROCESSING	3
2. SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES.....	4
SUPPL. TABLE 1	4
SUPPL. TABLE 2	5
SUPPL. TABLE 3	6
SUPPL. TABLE 4	8
SUPPL. TABLE 5	9
3. SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES	10
SUPPL. FIGURE 1	10
SUPPL. FIGURE 2	11
SUPPL. FIGURE 3	12
SUPPL. FIGURE 4	13
SUPPL. FIGURE 5	14
SUPPL. FIGURE 6	15
4. SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES	16
5. APPENDICES	17
APPENDIX 1. DTI-TO-T1-REGISTRATION	17
APPENDIX 2. DTI SUBJECT-TO-TEMPLATE REGISTRATION	17

1. DTI

1.1 Acquisition

Brain imaging was performed on a Siemens Magnetom Verio 3T whole-body MR unit equipped with a twelve-channel head coil. Diffusion volumes were acquired by using a single-shot echo-planar sequence, and consisted of 64 diffusion-weighted volumes ($b = 900 \text{ s/mm}^2$) and one unweighted volume ($b = 0$). Acquisition parameters were as follows: TR=9000 ms, TE=82 ms, FOV=320 mm, GRAPPA R=2.0, voxel size $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^3$. Furthermore, a high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical image was acquired with a magnetization prepared gradient echo sequence (MPRAGE, TR = 2000 ms; TE = 3.37 ms; TI = 1,000 ms; flip angle=8; 176 sagittal slices; FOV = 256 mm; voxel size $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$).

1.2 Pre-processing

Pre-processing of the diffusion data was based on ENIGMA's DTI protocols (<https://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/dti-protocols/>), using the FMRIB Software Library (FSL, v.6.0.2). Movement and eddy currents corrections² were combined with a noise reduction technique³. Each subject's high resolution T1 image was mapped to the diffusion's unweighted volume, in order to correct for EPI-induced distortions. Because of the inherent non-linear nature of those distortions, this mapping was computed using a non-linear approach (ANTs, v.2.1.0,⁴) using information from the unweighted volume (b_0 , code in Appendix 1). DTI metrics were consequently computed using the T1-warped data. The following metrics were computed⁵: fractional anisotropy (FA), mode of anisotropy (MO), mean diffusivity (MD), axial and radial diffusivity (AD and RD, respectively). FA was the main measurement of interest, and was used for subject-to-template normalization (code in Appendix 2). The template was ENIGMA-DTI's FA template (<http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/enigmaDTI.zip>). Each subject's image was then skeletonized using ENIGMA's skeleton and the `tbss_skeleton` command. Finally, mean DTI metrics values were extracted based on the skeletonized images. This resulted in 46 mean values per participant and metric, following regions of interest defined in the JHU DTI-based white-matter atlas (Suppl. table 2). The DTI sample consisted of 1122 participants from Basel 2.

2. Supplementary Tables

Phenotype	qt	PRS	entire sample				Basel 1			Basel 2		
			β	SE	p	p_{FDR}	β	SE	p	β	SE	p
accuracy	0.1	1	-0.088	0.041	0.031	0.181	-0.084	0.057	0.143	-0.092	0.058	0.115
accuracy	0.5	1	-0.026	0.018	0.14	0.302	-0.005	0.025	0.841	-0.047	0.025	0.058
accuracy	0.9	1	0.004	0.011	0.695	0.816	-0.013	0.016	0.394	0.022	0.016	0.159
d-prime	0.1	1	-0.081	0.029	0.005	0.048	-0.087	0.041	0.037	-0.075	0.041	0.064
d-prime	0.5	1	-0.041	0.021	0.046	0.181	-0.023	0.028	0.425	-0.063	0.03	0.039
d-prime	0.9	1	0.002	0.027	0.926	0.926	-0.028	0.036	0.444	0.039	0.04	0.329
mean RT	0.1	1	-0.026	0.013	0.045	0.181	-0.045	0.017	0.009	-0.001	0.02	0.978
mean RT	0.5	1	-0.023	0.02	0.249	0.448	-0.023	0.026	0.382	-0.022	0.029	0.453
mean RT	0.9	1	-0.035	0.04	0.381	0.557	-0.096	0.053	0.074	0.039	0.059	0.506
accuracy	0.1	0.05	-0.129	0.04	0.001	0.016	-0.125	0.055	0.023	-0.134	0.058	0.021
accuracy	0.5	0.05	-0.028	0.018	0.12	0.302	-0.025	0.025	0.318	-0.031	0.026	0.229
accuracy	0.9	0.05	0.005	0.011	0.669	0.816	-0.013	0.014	0.374	0.028	0.017	0.093
d-prime	0.1	0.05	-0.085	0.026	0.001	0.016	-0.085	0.033	0.011	-0.085	0.04	0.032
d-prime	0.5	0.05	-0.038	0.021	0.069	0.207	-0.036	0.028	0.201	-0.04	0.031	0.195
d-prime	0.9	0.05	-0.022	0.025	0.383	0.557	-0.046	0.034	0.173	0.008	0.038	0.838
mean RT	0.1	0.05	-0.025	0.013	0.055	0.185	-0.049	0.017	0.004	0.008	0.02	0.692
mean RT	0.5	0.05	-0.026	0.02	0.191	0.369	-0.038	0.027	0.152	-0.011	0.03	0.719
mean RT	0.9	0.05	-0.032	0.037	0.392	0.557	-0.072	0.051	0.158	0.014	0.054	0.798
accuracy	0.1	5e-8	-0.019	0.037	0.61	0.784	0.046	0.055	0.404	-0.075	0.051	0.142
accuracy	0.5	5e-8	0.016	0.018	0.382	0.557	0.03	0.024	0.215	-0.003	0.028	0.926
accuracy	0.9	5e-8	0.022	0.011	0.047	0.181	0.023	0.015	0.115	0.02	0.017	0.225
d-prime	0.1	5e-8	-0.04	0.026	0.125	0.302	0.012	0.038	0.746	-0.087	0.036	0.015
d-prime	0.5	5e-8	0.011	0.021	0.599	0.784	0.016	0.028	0.569	0.005	0.032	0.885
d-prime	0.9	5e-8	0.037	0.026	0.146	0.302	0.063	0.037	0.086	0.013	0.036	0.716
mean RT	0.1	5e-8	0.002	0.013	0.867	0.926	-0.009	0.018	0.596	0.015	0.019	0.42
mean RT	0.5	5e-8	0.003	0.019	0.892	0.926	0.02	0.025	0.435	-0.021	0.029	0.483
mean RT	0.9	5e-8	-0.01	0.038	0.79	0.889	-0.033	0.05	0.508	0.02	0.057	0.726

Suppl. table 1

Association with the MS PRS - **MHC region excluded** (at each PRS p-value threshold – column “PRS”) in the entire sample, the Basel 1 subsample ($N = 1803$), and the Basel 2 subsample ($N = 1479$), for 2-back accuracy, d-prime and mean RT, at each quantile, respectively. The analyses were performed with scaled values.

*Abbreviations: qt = quantile; FDR = false discovery rate; MS = Multiple Sclerosis; PRS = Polygenic risk score; RT = Reaction Time; SE = Standard Error.

Phenotype	qt	PRS	entire sample				Basel 1			Basel 2		
			β	SE	p	p_{FDR}	β	SE	p	β	SE	p
accuracy	0.1	1	-0.017	0.041	0.675	0.81	-0.092	0.061	0.127	0.047	0.056	0.401
accuracy	0.5	1	-0.014	0.015	0.351	0.768	-0.027	0.021	0.191	0	0.021	1
d-prime	0.1	1	-0.024	0.036	0.511	0.768	-0.083	0.051	0.103	0.036	0.051	0.482
d-prime	0.5	1	-0.014	0.021	0.512	0.768	-0.029	0.028	0.307	0.005	0.032	0.874
mean RT	0.1	1	-0.017	0.016	0.273	0.768	-0.018	0.019	0.34	-0.015	0.028	0.586
mean RT	0.5	1	-0.027	0.016	0.102	0.768	-0.026	0.022	0.222	-0.027	0.025	0.276
accuracy	0.1	0.05	-0.009	0.039	0.821	0.821	-0.052	0.056	0.349	0.031	0.054	0.56
accuracy	0.5	0.05	-0.013	0.015	0.394	0.768	-0.023	0.02	0.251	0	0.022	1
d-prime	0.1	0.05	-0.014	0.033	0.672	0.81	-0.043	0.049	0.382	0.01	0.045	0.817
d-prime	0.5	0.05	-0.02	0.021	0.355	0.768	-0.021	0.028	0.467	-0.019	0.033	0.566
mean RT	0.1	0.05	0.012	0.016	0.448	0.768	-0.003	0.02	0.871	0.043	0.028	0.122
mean RT	0.5	0.05	-0.008	0.016	0.637	0.81	-0.031	0.022	0.163	0.021	0.025	0.39
accuracy	0.1	5e-8	-0.011	0.036	0.768	0.813	-0.025	0.052	0.633	0.003	0.05	0.957
accuracy	0.5	5e-8	0.005	0.015	0.753	0.813	0.009	0.021	0.665	0	0.022	1
d-prime	0.1	5e-8	-0.029	0.034	0.386	0.768	-0.054	0.045	0.231	0.002	0.051	0.969
d-prime	0.5	5e-8	0.018	0.021	0.4	0.768	0.012	0.028	0.663	0.024	0.031	0.44
mean RT	0.1	5e-8	0.028	0.014	0.056	0.768	0.006	0.019	0.748	0.059	0.023	0.01
mean RT	0.5	5e-8	0.018	0.016	0.268	0.768	-0.001	0.022	0.966	0.041	0.024	0.091

Suppl. table 2

Association with the MS PRS - **MHC region included** (at each PRS p-value threshold – column “PRS”) in the entire sample, the Basel 1 subsample ($N = 1803$), and the Basel 2 subsample ($N = 1479$), for **0-back** accuracy, d-prime and mean RT, at the 0.1 and the 0.5 quantile, respectively. Associations were not calculated at the 0.9 quantile, due to a lack of phenotypic variability. The analyses were performed with scaled values.

*Abbreviations: qt = quantile; FDR = false discovery rate; MS = Multiple Sclerosis; PRS = Polygenic risk score; RT = Reaction Time; SE = Standard Error.

Phenotype	qt	PRS	entire sample				Basel 1			Basel 2		
			β	SE	p	p_{FDR}	β	SE	p	β	SE	p
accuracy	0.1	1	-0.005	0.042	0.909	0.963	-0.095	0.06	0.114	0.079	0.058	0.172
accuracy	0.5	1	-0.014	0.015	0.331	0.825	-0.028	0.021	0.172	0	0.021	1
d-prime	0.1	1	-0.02	0.035	0.562	0.825	-0.088	0.052	0.087	0.036	0.047	0.442
d-prime	0.5	1	-0.015	0.021	0.474	0.825	-0.031	0.029	0.277	0.004	0.032	0.892
mean RT	0.1	1	-0.016	0.015	0.298	0.825	-0.02	0.018	0.281	-0.007	0.027	0.792
mean RT	0.5	1	-0.028	0.016	0.08	0.825	-0.027	0.022	0.215	-0.031	0.025	0.214
accuracy	0.1	0.05	-0.025	0.038	0.506	0.825	-0.082	0.054	0.126	0.033	0.055	0.542
accuracy	0.5	0.05	-0.014	0.015	0.35	0.825	-0.025	0.02	0.202	0	0.021	1
d-prime	0.1	0.05	-0.015	0.033	0.642	0.825	-0.046	0.049	0.346	0.011	0.045	0.81
d-prime	0.5	0.05	-0.022	0.021	0.309	0.825	-0.023	0.028	0.408	-0.019	0.032	0.55
mean RT	0.1	0.05	0.008	0.016	0.627	0.825	-0.01	0.019	0.614	0.044	0.028	0.114
mean RT	0.5	0.05	-0.01	0.017	0.568	0.825	-0.031	0.023	0.171	0.016	0.025	0.508
accuracy	0.1	5e-8	0	0.036	0.996	0.996	-0.058	0.05	0.248	0.059	0.051	0.244
accuracy	0.5	5e-8	-0.003	0.016	0.857	0.963	-0.005	0.022	0.802	0	0.022	1
d-prime	0.1	5e-8	-0.021	0.032	0.513	0.825	-0.065	0.047	0.163	0.017	0.043	0.689
d-prime	0.5	5e-8	0.006	0.021	0.778	0.933	-0.006	0.029	0.845	0.02	0.032	0.525
mean RT	0.1	5e-8	0.021	0.015	0.147	0.825	0.005	0.018	0.776	0.051	0.025	0.039
mean RT	0.5	5e-8	0.008	0.017	0.621	0.825	-0.001	0.022	0.967	0.021	0.026	0.415

Suppl. table 3

Association with the MS PRS - **MHC region excluded** (at each PRS p-value threshold – column “PRS”) in the entire sample, the Basel 1 subsample ($N = 1803$), and the Basel 2 subsample ($N = 1479$), for **0-back** accuracy, d-prime and mean RT, at the 0.1 and the 0.5 quantile, respectively. Associations were not calculated at the 0.9 quantile, due to a lack of phenotypic variability. The analyses were performed with scaled values.

*Abbreviations: qt = quantile; FDR = false discovery rate; MS = Multiple Sclerosis; PRS = Polygenic risk score; RT = Reaction Time; SE = Standard Error.

ROI	Abbreviation	Name
1	MCP	Middle cerebellar peduncle
2	PCT	Pontine crossing tract (a part of MCP)
3	GCC	Genu of corpus callosum
4	BCC	Body of corpus callosum
5	SCC	Splenium of corpus callosum
6	FX	Fornix (column and body of fornix)
7	CST_R	Corticospinal tract right
8	CST_L	Corticospinal tract left
9	ML_R	Medial lemniscus right
10	ML_L	Medial lemniscus left
11	ICP_R	Inferior cerebellar peduncle right
12	ICP_L	Inferior cerebellar peduncle left
13	SCP_R	Superior cerebellar peduncle right
14	SCP_L	Superior cerebellar peduncle left
15	CP_R	Cerebral peduncle right
16	CP_L	Cerebral peduncle left
17	ALIC_R	Anterior limb of internal capsule right
18	ALIC_L	Anterior limb of internal capsule left
19	PLIC_R	Posterior limb of internal capsule right
20	PLIC_L	Posterior limb of internal capsule left
21	RLIC_R	Retrolenticular part of internal capsule right
22	RLIC_L	Retrolenticular part of internal capsule left
23	ACR_R	Anterior corona radiata right
24	ACR_L	Anterior corona radiata left
25	SCR_R	Superior corona radiata right
26	SCR_L	Superior corona radiata left
27	PCR_R	Posterior corona radiata right
28	PCR_L	Posterior corona radiata left
29	PTR_R	Posterior thalamic radiation (include optic radiation) right
30	PTR_L	Posterior thalamic radiation (include optic radiation) left
31	SS_R	Sagittal stratum (include inferior longitudinal fasciculus and inferior fronto_occipital fasciculus) right
32	SS_L	Sagittal stratum (include inferior longitudinal fasciculus and inferior fronto_occipital fasciculus) left
33	EC_R	External capsule right
34	EC_L	External capsule left
35	CGC_R	Cingulum (cingulate gyrus) right
36	CGC_L	Cingulum (cingulate gyrus) left
37	CGH_R	Cingulum (hippocampus) right
38	CGH_L	Cingulum (hippocampus) left
39	FX_ST_R	Fornix (cres) _ Stria terminalis (cannot be resolved with current resolution) right
40	FX_ST_L	Fornix (cres) _ Stria terminalis (cannot be resolved with current resolution) left

41	SLF_R	Superior longitudinal fasciculus right
42	SLF_L	Superior longitudinal fasciculus left
43	SFO_R	Superior fronto_occipital fasciculus (could be a part of anterior internal capsule) right
44	SFO_L	Superior fronto_occipital fasciculus (could be a part of anterior internal capsule) left
45	IFO_R	Inferior fronto_occipital fasciculus right
46	IFO_L	Inferior fronto_occipital fasciculus left
47	UNC_R	Uncinate fasciculus right
48	UNC_L	Uncinate fasciculus left

Suppl. table 4

A list of full names and abbreviations of brain tracts, i.e., regions of interest (ROIs), as defined by ENIGMA. Regions number 1 and 2 were not considered by the ENIGMA consortium and were consequently excluded from our analyses.


DTI FA phenotype (Cluster size)	MHC included			MHC excluded		
	β	SE	p	β	SE	p
potholes (25)	-0.001	0.03	0.967	-0.001	0.03	0.967
potholes (50)	0.002	0.031	0.942	0.003	0.031	0.929
potholes (100)	0.021	0.031	0.499	0.024	0.031	0.424
potholes (200)	0.015	0.031	0.621	0.014	0.031	0.641
molehills (25)	0.004	0.032	0.911	0.005	0.032	0.882
molehills (50)	0.02	0.032	0.532	0.022	0.032	0.499
molehills (100)	0.026	0.032	0.415	0.027	0.032	0.402
molehills (200)	0.051	0.032	0.117	0.052	0.032	0.105

Suppl. table 5

Association with the MS PRS (p-value threshold of 0.05), with and without the MHC region, for the brain-wide number of potholes and molehills, per cluster size, respectively. The analyses were performed with scaled values.


*Abbreviations: SE = Standard Error; DTI = Diffusion Tensor Imaging; FA = Fractional Anisotropy; MHC = Major Histocompatibility Complex; MS = Multiple Sclerosis; PRS = Polygenic risk score.

3. Supplementary Figures




Suppl. figure 1

Distribution of participants' scores for accuracy, d prime and mean reaction time (RT) from the 0-back condition of the n-back task, per sample.




Suppl. figure 2

Brain-wide variability in the number of potholes and molehills, per cluster size. The straight horizontal lines represent median values.



Suppl. figure 3


Age association for the brain-wide number of potholes and molehills, per cluster size.



Suppl. figure 4


Sex association for the brain-wide number of potholes and molehills, per cluster size. The straight horizontal lines represent median values.

*Abbreviations and symbols: NS. – not significant; *** p -value < 0.0005



Suppl. figure 5

Standardized beta values of the sex, age and the scanning movement parameter association for the brain-wide number of potholes and molehills, respectively.



Suppl. figure 6

Association between the number of potholes and molehills within each brain tract, per cluster size, and the MS PRS (p value threshold = 0.01), MHC excluded.

Missing values indicate that participants had no potholes or molehills in that tract, for the particular cluster size. Tract name abbreviations are taken from the ENIGMA's look-up table (Suppl. table 2). The vertical lines represent 95 % confidence intervals.

4. Supplementary References

1. Zablotzki Y. yuzaR-Blog: 4 Reasons Non-Parametric Bootstrapped Regression (with tidymodels) is Better than Ordinary Regression. 2022. Retrieved from <https://yuzar-blog.netlify.app/posts/2022-08-31-bootstrappingregressions/>
2. Andersson JLR and Sotiropoulos SN. An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. *NeuroImage* 2016; 125: 1063-1078. 20151020. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.019.
3. St-Jean S, Coupé P and Descoteaux M. Non Local Spatial and Angular Matching: Enabling higher spatial resolution diffusion MRI datasets through adaptive denoising. *Medical Image Analysis* 2016; 32: 115-130. DOI: 10.1016/j.media.2016.02.010.
4. Avants BB, Tustison NJ, Song G, et al. A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *NeuroImage* 2011; 54: 2033-2044. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025.
5. Ennis DB and Kindlmann G. Orthogonal tensor invariants and the analysis of diffusion tensor magnetic resonance images. *Magn Reson Med* 2006; 55: 136-146. DOI: 10.1002/mrm.20741.
6. Krmpotich TD, Tregellas JR, Thompson LL, et al. Resting-state activity in the left executive control network is associated with behavioral approach and is increased in substance dependence. *Drug and alcohol dependence* 2013; 129: 1-7. 2013/02/23. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.01.021.

5. Appendices

Appendix 1. DTI-to-T1-registration

```
# brain0N4_crop: brain-segmented T1 image
# b0_1mm: unweighted diffusion image (b=0)
antsRegistration \
    -d 3 \
    --output b0_to_t1 \
    --metric MI[brain0N4_crop.nii.gz,b0_1mm.nii.gz, 0.5, 24, 'Regular',1] \
    --transform Affine[0.1] \
    --convergence [5x1x1,1e-6,3] \
    --shrink-factors 2x1x1 \
    --smoothing-sigmas 4x2x1 \
    --metric CC[brain0N4_crop.nii.gz,b0_1mm.nii.gz, 0.5, 4, 'Regular',1] \
    --metric MI[brain0N4_crop.nii.gz,b0_1mm.nii.gz, 0.5, 24, 'Regular',1] \
    --transform 'SyN[0.1,3.0,1.0]' \
    --convergence [5x1x1,1e-6,3] \
    --shrink-factors 2x2x1 \
    --smoothing-sigmas 3x2x1 \
    --use-histogram-matching 1 \
    --metric MI[brain0N4_crop.nii.gz,b0_1mm.nii.gz, 1, 32, 'Regular',1] \
    --transform 'SyN[0.1,3.0,0.2]' \
    --convergence [5x15x5,1e-6,3] \
    --shrink-factors 2x2x1 \
    --smoothing-sigmas 2x1x0 \
    --use-histogram-matching 1
```

Appendix 2. DTI subject-to-template registration

```
template="ENIGMA_DTI_FA.nii.gz"
antsRegistration \
    -d 3 \
    --output fa_to_template \
    --write-composite-transform 0 \
    -m MI[$^6, fa_Warped.nii.gz, 1,24] \
    --transform Affine[0.1] \
    --convergence [20x20x5,1e-6,3] \
    --shrink-factors 4x2x1 \
    --smoothing-sigmas 4x2x1vox \
    -m MI[$^6, fa_Warped.nii.gz, 1,28] \
    --transform SyN[0.25,3.0,0.0] \
    --convergence [100x50x5,1e-6,5] \
    --shrink-factors 3x2x1 \
    --smoothing-sigmas 1.5x1x0 \
    --use-histogram-matching 1

# combine transformations
for metric in fa md mo ad rd
do
    antsApplyTransforms \
        -e 3 \
        -i $^4.nii.gz \
        -r $^6 \
        -t fa_to_template1Warp.nii.gz \
        fa_to_template0GenericAffine.mat \
        b0_to_t11Warp.nii.gz \
        b0_to_t10GenericAffine.mat \
        -n BSpline \
        -o $^4_Warped.nii.gz
done
```