1 Supplementary File 3 to

2

- 3 Genomic analysis of Strongyloides stercoralis and Strongyloides fuelleborni
- 4 in Bangladesh

5

- 6 Veroni de Ree¹, Tilak Nath², Dorothee Harbecke¹, Dongmin Lee³, Christian
- 7 Rödelsperger¹ and Adrian Streit^{1*}

8

9 Worms belonging to the "dog only" type show high apparent heterozygosity

that is likely caused in part by structural variations, rather than true

heterozygosity

10

11

12 When we attempted to include the "dog only" type worms (including the one 13 isolated from a human host) in the heterozygosity analysis we noticed that they 14 showed very high apparent heterozygosity. Strikingly, this was also the case for 15 heterozygosity on the X chromosome in the male worm. To determine if this was 16 an anomaly of our sample from Bangladesh we subjected the sequences of the 17 five whole genome sequenced "dog only" type worms from (Jaleta et al., 2017) to 18 the same analysis (Figure 8 in the body of the publication and Fig. 19 Suppl_File_3_1). Except for the worm L6, these sequences showed even higher 20 apparent heterozygosity, including on the X chromosome (all "dog only" type 21 whole genome sequenced individuals by (Jaleta et al., 2017) were males). Notice 22 that with respect to the mitochondrial and the nuclear genomes, L6 was the only 23 whole genome sequenced worm in the study of (Jaleta et al., 2017) that belongs 24 to a separate sub-cluster of the "dog-only" cluster, of which it is not clear, if it is 25 more closely related to the other "dog only" cluster (to which the other four 26 worms and the worms isolated in this study belong) or to the "human and dog" 27 cluster (compare the positions of L6 in Figures 4-6 in the main body of the paper 28 and Suppl. Figures 1, 2). For the rest of this Suppl. File 3 "dog only" always means

30

29

31 First, we asked if some of the *S. stercoralis* in Asia, in particular the "dog only"

32 type might not employ XX/XO sex determination as it is the case in the USA

derived *S. stercoralis* reference isolate (Hunt et al., 2016). We therefore

"dog only" except for L6. L6 is not included in this analysis.

34 performed read coverage analysis and compared "dog only" cluster males, which 35 have the high apparent heterozygosity on the autosomes and the X chromosome, 36 with males and females from the reference isolate (which have low 37 heterozygosity) and from "human and dog" cluster sequences from China, which 38 have high heterozygosity but do not show the unexpected apparent 39 heterozygosity on the X chromosome (Fig. Suppl_File_3_2). The proportional X-40 chromosome coverage in all males was comparable and clearly less than in 41 females, suggesting that all these males do have only one X chromosome. Notice 42 that free-living adults contain highly polyploid nuclei in the distal part of their 43 gonads, in which the X chromosome is less amplified, compared with the 44 autosomes in both sexes (Hammond and Robinson, 1994; Kulkarni et al., 2016). 45 Therefore, the overall coverage of the X chromosome in free-living adult females 46 is less than 100% (compared with autosomes) but still more than 50% (in our 47 cases between 70 and 80%) and less than the expected 50% in males (Hunt et al., 48 2016; Kulkarni et al., 2016). In infective larvae, all of which are female and do not 49 have the polyploid nuclei yet, the coverage of the X is equal to the autosomes 50 (Fig. Suppl_File_3_2E) 51 52 We then analysed the heterozygosity over the length of the chromosomes. Males 53 did indeed show low heterozygosity over large portions of the X chromosome 54 compared with females and with autosomes of both sexes (Fig. Suppl File 3 3). 55 However, there are apparent heterozygosity hot spots that dominated the 56 analysis. These hotspots are also present in females. Also on autosomes, such 57 heterozygosity hotspots are visible, although less frequent. We think these 58 hotspots reflect duplications and X to autosome translocations present in the

59 genome of the "dog only" type, compared with the *S. stercoralis* reference 60 genome (Hunt et al., 2016). 61 Overall, we think that the heterozygosity detected in the "dog only" worms is at 62 least partially artificial due to the use of a too different reference genome that 63 does not contain some duplications and X to autosome translocations present in 64 these worms. Currently we cannot really tell what portion of the apparent 65 heterozygosity is real and how much is artificial. The problem appears to be 66 aggravated on the X chromosome because also females show higher 67 heterozygosity on the X chromosome than on the autosomes. Also, in the 68 reference genome, the assembly of the autosomes is better than the one of the X 69 chromosome. Some of the low but detectable apparent heterozygosity seen on 70 the X in males of the human derived *S. stercoralis* might also be caused artificially 71 due to assembly issues.

72

73

74

Figure legends

75 Fig. Suppl_File_3_1: Apparent heterozygosity of "human and dog" type worms 76 from this and previous studies [(Aupalee et al., 2020) Thailand, (Kikuchi et al., 77 2016) Japan and Myanmar, (Beiromvand et al., 2024) Iran, (Zhou et al., 2019) 78 China, (Jaleta et al., 2017) Cambodia and (Hunt et al., 2016) USA] plus the "dog 79 only" type worms from this study and from Jaleta et al. (2017). The X axis shows 80 the heterozygosity on the autosomes, the Y axis the heterozygosity on the X 81 chromosome. The diagonal indicates equal heterozygosity on the X chromosome 82 and the autosomes. The labels only refer the circled worms in the same colour as 83 the label. Notice the high heterozygosity on the X chromosome in males


(squares) of the "dog only" type. In particular, compare the human derived worms with hight heterozygosity from China (green) with the dog derived samples. For a discussion of the one female in the male group from China see Zhou et al. (2019). Notice that for the "dog only" worms the females are above the diagonal while the males are on or below the diagonal, indicating inflated X chromosomal heterozygosity in females as well. For the details of the analysis see Materials and Methods in the main text of this publication.


Fig. Suppl_File_3_2: Read coverage of individual male and female worms. The reads from single worm Illumina sequencing were aligned with the reference genome (Hunt et al., 2016) and for each position the coverage was determined. The x-axes show the coverage, the y-axes show the number of positions with the corresponding coverage for the autosomes (blue) and the X chromosome (red). the % indicate the relative coverage of the X chromosome compared with the autosomes. Notice that due to the uneven amplification of the genomic DNA in the highly polyploid nuclei of the distal gonad the X chromosome is underrepresented in free living adults of both sexes (Hunt et al., 2016; Kulkarni et al., 2016). (A,B) females and (C,D) males of the reference isolate (data from Hunt et al. (2016). (E-H) worms from the study by Zhou et al. (2019) in China. (E) infective larva, which is female but has no polyploid germline cells. (F-H) males that have high heterozygosity on the autosomes but not on the X chromosome. The coverage of the X chromosome is comparable with the one in the reference males, confirming that these males have only one X chromosome. Notice that no free-living females were found by Zhou et al. (2019). (I,K) dog only type worms from Jaleta et al., (2017) that have high apparent heterozygosity on the autosomes and on the X chromosome (Fig. Suppl_File_3_1). The coverage of the X chromosome is comparable with the reference males suggesting these males have only one X chromosome. Notice the rather high number of low or completely not covered positions and the irregular shape of the graph towards the left of the graph. We think that this is caused by the rather large sequence and genome structural differences between these sequences and the reference sequence. The sequencing depth in the Bangladesh study (this manuscript) was lower such that a reliable quantitative comparison between the sexes was not possible, but the coverage of the X in males was clearly lower than in females in this study as well.

Fig. Suppl_File_3_3: Distribution of heterozygous positions over the three largest X chromosomal contigs (A) and over the two largest autosomal scaffolds (B). The x-axes show the position along the contig/scaffold and the y-axes show the number of apparently heterozygous positions per 10 kb window. The black lines represent the running means. Three females and the one male from the "dog only clade" of this study are shown. Notice the very low number of heterozygous positions on the X chromosome in the male over most of the X chromosomal contigs and the apparent hotspots (arrows). The same hotspots are also visible in the females. Hotspots are also present on the autosomes, although less frequent (notice that the autosomal scaffolds are much longer than the X chromosomal ones.

References

134	
135	
136 137 138	Aupalee, K., Wijit, A., Singphai, K., Rodelsperger, C., Zhou, S., Saeung, A., Streit, A., 2020. Genomic studies on <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> in northern and western Thailand. Parasites & vectors 13, 250.
139 140 141	Beiromvand, M., Ashiri, A., de Ree, V., Harbecke, D., Rödelsperger, C., Streit, A., Rafiei, A., 2024. <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> genotyping in a human population in southwestern Iran. Parasites & vectors 17, 21.
142 143	Hammond, M.P., Robinson, R.D., 1994. Endoreplication in the ovary, testis, and intestine of <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> . J Parasitol 80, 905-910.
144 145 146 147 148 149 150	 Hunt, V.L., Tsai, I.J., Coghlan, A., Reid, A.J., Holroyd, N., Foth, B.J., Tracey, A., Cotton, J.A., Stanley, E.J., Beasley, H., Bennett, H.M., Brooks, K., Harsha, B., Kajitani, R., Kulkarni, A., Harbecke, D., Nagayasu, E., Nichol, S., Ogura, Y., Quail, M.A., Randle, N., Xia, D., Brattig, N.W., Soblik, H., Ribeiro, D.M., Sanchez-Flores, A., Hayashi, T., Itoh, T., Denver, D.R., Grant, W., Stoltzfus, J.D., Lok, J.B., Murayama, H., Wastling, J., Streit, A., Kikuchi, T., Viney, M., Berriman, M., 2016. The genomic basis of parasitism in the <i>Strongyloides</i> clade of nematodes. Nat Genet 48, 299-307.
152 153 154 155	Jaleta, T.G., Zhou, S., Bemm, F.M., Schar, F., Khieu, V., Muth, S., Odermatt, P., Lok, J.B., Streit, A., 2017. Different but overlapping populations of <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> in dogs and humans-Dogs as a possible source for zoonotic strongyloidiasis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11, e0005752.
156 157 158 159 160	Kikuchi, T., Hino, A., Tanaka, T., Aung, M.P., Afrin, T., Nagayasu, E., Tanaka, R., Higashiarakawa, M., Win, K.K., Hirata, T., Htike, W.W., Fujita, J., Maruyama, H., 2016. Genome-Wide Analyses of Individual <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> (Nematoda: Rhabditoidea) Provide Insights into Population Structure and Reproductive Life Cycles. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10, e0005253.
161 162 163	Kulkarni, A., Holz, A., Rodelsperger, C., Harbecke, D., Streit, A., 2016. Differential chromatin amplification and chromosome complements in the germline of Strongyloididae (Nematoda). Chromosoma 125, 125-136.
164 165 166 167	Zhou, S., Fu, X., Pei, P., Kucka, M., Liu, J., Tang, L., Zhan, T., He, S., Chan, Y.F., Rödelsperger, C., Liu, D., Streit, A., 2019. Characterization of a non-sexual population of <i>Strongyloides stercoralis</i> with hybrid 18S rDNA haplotypes in Guangxi, Southern China. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13, e0007396.
168	





Fig_Suppl_file_3 3

X chromosome

