


**Supplementary fig. 1.** Sensitivity analysis of missing SNP data handling methods. In (a) and (b), linear regressions to test the relationship between RTP and AD PRS were performed on the full 931 participants using two methods for handling missing SNP data: the ignoring method (PRS value of missing SNP is replaced with 0) (a) and the mean substitution method (PRS value of missing SNP is replaced with the mean score for that SNP from all other participants) (b). For the ignoring method, there was no significant relationship (p = 0.8152). The relationship approached significance using the mean substitution method (p = 0.05314). These tests were repeated using a subsample of participants with outliers removed by R (c and d). There were no significant relationships using the ignoring method (p = 0.8605) (c) or the mean substitution method (p = 0.7352) (d).


a





b













b


## RTP by Z-Score PRS; Normal RTP, F LOC-




RTP by Z-Score PRS; Normal RTP, M LOC+



RTP by Z-Score PRS; Normal RTP, M LOC-









b


## SAC Total Score by Z-Score PRS; Normal RTP








## SCAT Total Symptoms Score by Z-Score PRS; Normal RTP








PRS Z-Scores


b

0-











b







## SAC Total Score by Z-Score PRS; AFR Ancestry

