
Mentor introduces mentee to better-suited, new mentor
Mentee and mentor are paired up informally
Program formally assigns mentor
regular mentor-mentee meeting prep
mentee prepares for meeting, reflecting on evaluations, concerns, goals, and interests
Is mentee active and highly engaged in mentorship?
Have the mentor and mentee met before?
Mentee introduces self before meeting with sketch of who they are, what they have done, and what they're interested in
Mentee coordinates with mentor to have reviewed evaluations BEFORE meeting, focusing on themes or elements in the evals
Mentee sends an agenda
Is the mentor assigned?
Is this a scheduled, regular quarterly meeting?
Assigned mentor adds check-in on associated metrics to agenda:
• mentee filling out required evals?
• mentee doing ILP on EAP website
• mentee filling out procedure logs and doing req procedures on list from departments that have them (ED, PEDS, etc)
• etc
Mentor and Mentee meet and go through agenda
Has the mentor begun addressing self-efficacy, care-plan confidence
Mentor to ask mentee to plan for patients as if they were attending, then compare plan to attending's plan. Report back on differences at next meeting
Mentor to review comparisons of own care plans to attendings' care plans in meeting
Has the mentor already begun addressing risk tolerance/profile on clinician spectrum with mentee?
Metnor asks attendee to begin noticing where they are on spectrum. Do they order more tests or fewer? Begin gathering self-report data to bring to next meeting
Metnor to review self report data on how often compared to peers and attendings they order tests in meeting
Mentor tries to understand experiential context for mentee from self report data:
• often see unexpected decomp?
• frequent black cloud?
• how often have you simulated worst-case scenarios
Is the mentee trying to decide between a set of defined career paths (ED and critical care)
Is mentee expressing interest in procedures taught by (and owned by) a future fellowship
Mentor will ask about what attracts them to each or all paths
Is it procedures?
Is it patient profiles?
Do they like caring for that particular type of patient at a particular phase in treatment?
Mentor steers mentee toward particular procedures
Mentor steers mentee toward particular patients in a particular phase
Mentor steers mentee towards particular patients
Mentor to steer mentee away from these procedures
Mentor helps mentee take step forward to differentiation with advice based on interests
Mentor will let mentee know what windows of experience opportunity (things they should try to see) are closing regardless of differentiation level
Mentor saves meeting notes to act upon
Mentor begins prep for clin comp meeting
Mentor advises for additional mentors
Mentors use meeting to begin process of writing letter of recommendation
Mentor advises a helpful elective
Mentor recommends specific reading for low-knowledge practice area
mentor reviews evaluations
Do qual and quant evals provide enough data to tell how resident is doing?
Much more frequent
mentor reviews notes from mentor-mentee meetings
Infrequent
Is mentee meeting or exceeding minimum performance levels?
follows up by speaking to faculty in division about encounters w mentee
mentor attends clin comp meeting (2x-3x/year lasting 2h to cover ALL residents, few minutes per resident)
mentor reviews performance narrowly focused on whether resident is "numerically falling outside the bounds of where we believe they're supposed to be"
hears live feedback from others which may include criticism that faculty did not want to put in writing
relays results of clin comp meeting to mentor