Online Supplementary Materials for

The Interaction Effects of Sex, Age, APOE and Common Health Risk Factors on Human Brain Functions

This PDF file includes:

Supplement 1. Supplementary Method Supplement 2. eTables S1 to S6 Supplement 3. eFigures S1 to S50

Supplement 1. Supplementary Method

Imaging Data Information

All UKB brain imaging datasets, including the resting-state fMRI (rsfMRI) and the T1-weighted structural MRI data were acquired from standard Siemens Skyra 3T scanners. The rsfMRI data were acquired using a blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) sequence and an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR = 0.735 s, TE = 39 ms, FoV = $88 \times 88 \times 64$, voxel resolution 2.4 mm $\times 2.4$ mm $\times 2.4$ mm, a multiband factor of 8, no iPAT, flip angle 52°, and fat saturation), lasted approximately 6 minutes. The echo time (TE) and repetition time (TR) were 39 ms and 735 ms, respectively. In addition, the T1-weighted sMRI scans were acquired at the isotropic resolution of 1 mm and a dimension of $208 \times 256 \times 256$ matrix, with a TR of 2000 ms, an inversion time of 880 ms, a TE of 2 ms, an in-plane acceleration of 2, and a scan time of 5 min using straight sagittal orientation.

Imaging Data Processing

Our imaging analyses followed the rsfMRI processing workflow provided by the UKB 1 (https://git.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/falmagro/UK biobank pipeline v_1), which includes motion correction, grand-mean intensity normalization, high-pass temporal filtering, EPI unwarping, gradient distortion correction (GDC), Independent Component Analysis (ICA)-based X-noiseifier (FIX) artifact removal. Structural artifacts were removed using FMRIB's ICA-FIX processing $^{2-4}$. The GDC-corrected rsfMRI data were co-registered with the high-resolution T1 MRI image, which were then registered to the MNI-152-2mm standard space. Subjects with unusable, low-quality T1 MRI scans, as identified by the UKB brain imaging team 1 were excluded in our analyses. Meanwhile, the head motion parameters (UKB data field 25741) were calculated by averaging the framewise displacement (FD) across the brain for each consecutive pair of time points for each rsfMRI scan, which were then averaged across all time points, which were included as confounding covariates in our association analyses.

The regions of interest (ROIs) used to construct the network imaging-derived phenotypes (IDPs) were selected as HCP-MMP atlas ⁵, corresponding to 360 cortical regions classified into twelve resting-state networks following the Ji-12 network atlas ⁶, namely, the somatomotor (SMN), auditory (AN), visual1 (Vi1), visual2 (Vi2), dorsal attention (DAN), default mode (DMN), frontoparietal (FPN), language (LAN), cingulo-opercular (CON), posterior multimodal (PMN), ventral multimodal (VMN), and orbito-Affective (OAN) networks. For each rsfMRI scan, the mean time series from each of 360 ROIs were extracted and the correlation between each pair of regional time series was transformed from Pearson correlations (r-values) to z-statistics using Fisher transformation. This yielded a 360×360 FC matrix for each subject in the datasets, representing 64,620 connectivity traits, using the FSLNets (<u>http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLNets</u>) toolbox. Next, for each pair of the 12 networks we calculated the between- and within-network functional connectivity (NFC) and edge strength (NES) measures as follows,

Between-network NFC(I1, I2) =
$$\sum_{i1 \in I1, i2 \in I2} FC(i1, i2) / [\#I1\#I2]$$

Between-network NES(I1, I2) = $\sum_{i1 \in I1, i2 \in I2} |FC(i1, i2)| / [\#I1\#I2],$

Within-network NFC(I) =
$$\sum_{i1,i2 \in I, i1 \neq i2} FC(i1,i2) * 2/[\#I(\#I - 1)],$$

Within-network NES(I) =
$$\sum_{i1,i2 \in I, i1 \neq i2} |FC(i1,i2)| * 2/[\#I(\#I - 1)],$$

where *I*, *I*1 and *I*2 are the networks of interest, FC(i1, i2) is the regional FC calculated between two ROIs *i1* and *i2*, and *#I* denotes the number of ROIs in the network *I*. We also generated 100 × 100 FC matrices based on Schaefer 100 parcellation atlas and network-level traits for the Yeo-7 and Yeo-17 atlases ⁷. For each of the FC, NFC and NES traits, subjects with extreme deviations—defined as values exceeding five times the median absolute deviation from the population median—were flagged as outliers. These outlier data points were excluded from the subsequent association analyses.

APOE Genotyping

The *APOE* gene, particularly the $\varepsilon 4$ allele, is acknowledged as a significant risk factor for Alzheimer's disease (AD). Determination of the *APOE* genotype relies on two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs429358 and rs7412, which define three alleles—*APOE*- $\varepsilon 2$, *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3$, and *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$ —and result in six possible genotypes. These genotypes are: *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 2$, *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$, *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$, *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$, *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$, and *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$. *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2$ is associated with a decreased risk of AD and is the rarest allele, whereas *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3$, the most common allele, is considered neutral in terms of risk. *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$, conversely, is associated with an increased risk of AD ⁸.

Utilizing imputed SNP data from UKB resources, *APOE* genotyping was conducted on the 36,630 individuals. The genotype distribution is as follows: *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$ is present in 806 subjects (2.2%), *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 2$ in 211 subjects (0.6%), and *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$ in 21,688 subjects (59.2%). Additionally, 4,545 subjects (12.4%) possess the *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$ genotype, 877 subjects (2.4%) have *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$, and 8,503 subjects (23.2%) are *APOE*- $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$. Gender-wise distribution among these genotypes is detailed, with allele frequencies for $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$, and $\varepsilon 4$ being 8.0%, 77.1%, and 14.9%, respectively. Demographics for each genotype group of British and Non British participants are outlined in eTable 4 and 5, **Supplement 2**. Our analysis incorporated the count of the APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ and APOE- $\varepsilon 2$ alleles as individual covariates in statistical models. This approach allows for the assessment of the additive effects attributed to each allele. It differs from that of some prior studies which grouped individuals with the *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$ allele into homozygotes and all others, or classified individuals as *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2$ carriers if they were homozygous for *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2$ or had *APOE*- $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$ genotype, considering the remaining as controls.

Lifestyle and SES Factors

In our study, the selection of lifestyle variables followed the criteria established in the research on healthy lifestyle and dementia by Lourida et al. (2019)⁹. These variables, collected via touchscreen questionnaires during the UKB baseline assessment, include smoking status, physical activity, diet, and alcohol consumption-factors known to be associated with brain health. Specifically, we opted to use ever-smoking status (encompassing both ever-smokers and never-smokers) instead of current smoking status. This approach allows us to include a larger proportion of cases, thereby enhancing our statistical power. Regular physical activity, as per the American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines, is defined as engaging in at least 150 minutes of moderate exercise or 75 minutes of vigorous activity weekly. The diet was considered healthy if participants met at least four of the seven cardiometabolic health dietary recommendations outlined by Mozaffarian (2016) ¹⁰, which include the intake of vegetables, fruits, fish, unprocessed meat, processed meat, whole grains, and refined grains. Alcohol consumption was stratified into abstinence, moderate consumption, and excessive consumption. This categorization is due to the mixed evidence regarding the effects of moderate drinking, as highlighted by studies from Daviet et al. (2022)¹¹ and Sabia et al. (2018)¹². Moderate alcohol consumption is capped at 14 grams per day for women and 28 grams per day for men, while abstinence encompasses individuals who do not drink or only consume alcohol on special occasions, amounting to zero units per day. Additionally, we have considered sleep duration as a lifestyle variable, given its association with dementia risk as described by Palpatzis et al. (2022)¹³, categorizing short and long sleep duration as less than 6 hours or more than 8 hours, respectively. Beyond these lifestyle factors, our analysis also incorporated SES elements such as education level and social deprivation. Education level was divided into individuals with or without a college or university degree or higher. Social deprivation was measured using the Townsend deprivation (SoDep) index based on each participant's postcode, which we dichotomized at the median of the index into two groups; those above and those below the median. The methodology for generating these lifestyle and SES factors, along with a more detailed discussion, can be found in the eTable 1, Supplement 2.

CVRFs

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of mortality worldwide, highlighting the critical need for a deeper understanding of risk factors to alleviate the growing health burden. Several lifestyle factors, including ever-smoking and alcohol consumption, are also recognized as CVRFs. In addition to the above lifestyle factors, diabetes, body mass index (BMI), and hypertension are three large CVRFs that contribute to the development and progression of CVD, which are the primary focus of our analyses. Diabetes mellitus, characterized by chronic hyperglycemia, not only damages the vascular endothelium but also intensifies the complexity of atherosclerotic plaque, thereby significantly increasing cardiovascular risk; obesity, measured by the a high body mass index (BMI), is another critical risk factor that frequently coexists with metabolic abnormalities, leading to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Furthermore, hypertension is a silent yet formidable risk factor that plays a substantial role in most cardiovascular events. It imposes an excessive burden on arterial walls, leading to structural and functional impairments of the vasculature. In our analysis, BMI was treated as a continuous variable (UKB data field 21001), whereas diabetes and hypertension were categorized as binary disease status variables, based on their presence in medical records according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10; UKB data-Field 41270).

Confounding Covariates

In our analysis of the effects of the risk factors, including the APOE gene, lifestyle factors, SES, and CVRFs, we controlled for the following confounding variables: study phase of participants, age at the time of imaging, age squared, sex, interactions between sex and age, interactions between sex and age squared, partnership status (whether living with a partner; UKB data field 6141), head motion, brain volume scaling (UKB data field 25000), and brain positioning within the scanning field (UKB data fields 25756, 25757, and 25758).

Missing data

When we ran multiple regression models, data with missing responses (functional connectivities) were dropped automatically when we fitted the regression model. For covariates, all variables (age, sex, APOE, MHRFs) have less than 1% missing rate of the data except the marriage status (living with partners) and the education level. Approximately 23.4% of subjects do not have partner information and approximately 6.9% of subjects do not have education information. We imputed these missing values with the mode.

Additional Findings

For association results between *APOE*, results from Yeo-7 atlas exhibited increased between-network connectivity strength with aging, including DMN-DAN, DAN-LN, and LN-DMN. Using the Yeo-7 atlas, we identified the negative age-*APOE4*-education effects (**Figure 2C**) on the NFC within the DMN (ES: -0.019, p = 1.0E-3). In particular, in *APOE4* carriers with high education, the within-DMN NFC decreases faster during aging, indicating that the protective effects of education may diminish in *APOE4* carriers ¹⁴. No ROI-level associations passed the Bonferroni significance level 0.05/m; however, two associations exceeded p < 1E-4 threshold between R_471 and L_d32 (ES: -0.026, p = 2.0E-5) and between R_471 and L_23d (ES: -0.025, p = 4.9E-5) (**Figure 2D**). Based on Yeo-17 atlas, a positive age-sex-sleep three-way interaction term was found between the LN2 and CE3 networks, suggesting that the anticorrelation between LN2 and CE3 networks anticorrelation increases faster during aging in males with < 7 hours of sleep (eFigure 24, **Supplement 3**)

References:

- 1. Alfaro-Almagro F, Jenkinson M, Bangerter NK, et al. Image processing and Quality Control for the first 10,000 brain imaging datasets from UK Biobank. *NeuroImage*. 2018;166:400-424. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.10.034
- Beckmann CF, Smith SM. Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2004;23(2):137-152. doi:10.1109/TMI.2003.822821
- 3. Griffanti L, Salimi-Khorshidi G, Beckmann CF, et al. ICA-based artefact removal and accelerated fMRI acquisition for improved resting state network imaging. *NeuroImage*. 2014;95:232-247. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.034
- Salimi-Khorshidi G, Douaud G, Beckmann CF, Glasser MF, Griffanti L, Smith SM. Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. *NeuroImage*. 2014;90:449-468. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.046
- 5. Glasser MF, Coalson TŠ, Robinson EC, et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature*. 2016;536(7615):171-178. doi:10.1038/nature18933
- 6. Ji JL, Spronk M, Kulkarni K, Repovš G, Anticevic A, Cole MW. Mapping the human brain's cortical-subcortical functional network organization. *NeuroImage*. 2019;185:35-57. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.006
- Thomas Yeo BT, Krienen FM, Sepulcre J, et al. The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol*. 2011;106(3):1125-1165. doi:10.1152/jn.00338.2011
- 8. Ward A, Crean S, Mercaldi CJ, et al. Prevalence of apolipoprotein E4 genotype and homozygotes (APOE e4/4) among patients diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2012;38(1):1-17. doi:10.1159/000334607
- 9. Lourida I, Hannon E, Littlejohns TJ, et al. Association of Lifestyle and Genetic Risk With Incidence of Dementia. *JAMA*. 2019;322(5):430. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.9879
- 10. Mozaffarian D. Dietary and Policy Priorities for Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, and Obesity. *Circulation*. 2016;133(2):187-225. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018585
- 11. Daviet R, Aydogan G, Jagannathan K, et al. Associations between alcohol consumption and gray and white matter volumes in the UK Biobank. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):1175. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28735-5
- 12. Sabia S, Fayosse A, Dumurgier J, et al. Alcohol consumption and risk of dementia: 23 year follow-up of Whitehall II cohort study. *BMJ*. 2018;362:k2927. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2927
- 13. Palpatzis E, Bass N, Jones R, Mukadam N. Longitudinal association of apolipoprotein E and sleep with incident dementia. *Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc*. 2022;18(5):888-898. doi:10.1002/alz.12439
- 14. Li T, Wang B, Gao Y, et al. APOE ε4 and cognitive reserve effects on the functional network in the Alzheimer's disease spectrum. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2021;15(2):758-771. doi:10.1007/s11682-020-00283-w

Supplement 2. Supplementary tables

eTable 1. Criteria for the ten modifiable health-related risk factors generated from the UKB data fields. All variables are measured at the baseline.

Variable	UK Biobank field ID	Defination	Details
Education	6138	2 categories:	A levels/AS levels, O levels/GCSEs, CSEs, NVQ,
		(1) Having college or university degree	HND, HNC or other professional qualifications,
		(0) Not having college or univerisity degree	such as nursing; teaching and equivalents are
			considered as not having such degree
Social deprivation	189	Townsend deprivation index	Median is caculated from the 500K UKB
		(1) Above median (0) Below median	participants
Doing regular physical activity	884, 894, 904, 914	2 catergories:	Meeting any of the 5 criteria is considered
		(1) Doing regular physical activity	regular physical activity (AHA recommendations):
		(0) Not doing regular physical activity	 Doing > 150 minutes of moderate activity each week
			2. Doing > 75 minutes of rigorous activity each
			week
			3. Equivalent combinations of moderate and
			rigorous activity (2 minutes of moderate eqauls 1
			minutes of rigorous activity)
			4. Doing moderate activity at least 5 days a week
Healthy diet	1309, 1319, 1289,	2 catergories:	7 healthy eating criteria:
	1299, 1329, 1339,	(1) Meeting at least 4 of the 7 healthy	 Eating >= 3 servings of fruits a day
	1349, 1369, 1379,	eating criteria	Eating >= 3 servings of vegetables a day
	1389, 1438, 1448,	(0) Only meeting 3 or less of the 7 healthy	Eating >= 2 servings of vegetables a week
	1458, 1468	eating criteria	Eating >= 3 servings of whole grains a day
			5. Eating <= 1.5 servings of refined grains a day
			Eating <= 1 serving of processed meats a week
			7. Eating <= 1.5 servings of unprocessed red
			meats a week
Alcohol consumption	20117, 1558, 1568,	3 catergories:	Up to 1 drink/day for women and up to 2 drinks/
	1578, 1588, 1598,	(2) Drinking excessive alcohol	day for men are considered moderate alcohol;
	1608, 5364, 4407,	(1) Drinking moderate alcohol	more than the above threshold is considered
	4418, 4429, 4440,	(0) Not drinking at all	excessive alcohol (2015-2020 US dietary
	4451, 4462		guidelines for American). 14g pure alcohol is
			considered 1 drink, 1 glass of wine (125ml) is
			equivalent to 0.85 drink, 1 glass of fortified wine
			(50ml) is equivalent to 0.56 drink, 1 shot of
			spirits (25ml) is equivalent to 0.57 drink and 1
Sleep	1160	(1) Sleeping between 6-8 hours a day	According to previous studies, sleeping too long
		(0) Sleeping outside 6-8 hours a day	or too short are both associated with higher
			risks of dementia
Smoking Status	20116	(1) Ever had smoked	(1) include current smokers and previous
		(0) Never smoked	smokers
Hypertension Status	20002	(1) Having hypertension	Including essential hypertension4895 and
		(0) Never expreienced hypertension	gestational hypertension/pre-eclampsia
Diabete	120007	(1) Ever had Diabete	Including both Type I and Type II
		(0) Never had Diabete	
BMI	21001	Continuous variable with mean around 27	Units of measurement are Kg/m2.

eTable 2. Models investigated in this study. Each interaction term is tested separately, while controlling main effects of all risk factors, head motion, brain position, volumetric scaling, study site, and phase (age-squared and sex-age-squared interaction were also controlled for when sex, age, or sex-age was not a term of interest) as confounding factors. "A:B" denotes the interaction of covariates A and B; "A:B:C" denotes the three-way interaction of covariates A, B, and C. A three-way interaction term implied that all its relevant two-way interactions and main effects were also included; a two-way interaction term implied that all relevant main effects were also included. ROI, regions of interest; MHRF, modifiable health-related risk factors.

Models Investigated	Terms of interest	Models
(M0) Main effect model	Age,Sex,APOE4,APOE2,Smoking_status,hype rten_status,Diabete,BMI,Edu,SoDep,Regular_p hysical_activity,Healthy_diet,Sleep_7,MOD.alc ohol,EXCS.alcohol	1) For effects of Sex and Age: fMRI ~ Sex + Age+ APOE4+APOE2+MHRFs+head_motion+brain_ position+volumetric_scaling+Partner+UKB_Ph ase+site; 2) For all other effects: fMRI ~ APOE4+APOE2+MHRFs + head_motion+brain_position+volumetric_scalin g+Partner+UKB_Phase+site+Age+Sex+Age:S ex+(Age^2)+(Age^2):Sex
(M1) Two-way effect model	Age:Smoking_status,Age:hyperten_status,Age: Diabete,Age:BMI,Age:Edu,Age:SoDep,Age:Re gular_physical_activity,Age:Healthy_diet,Age:S leep_7,Age:MOD.alcohol,Age:EXCS.alcohol,S ex:Smoking_status,Sex:hyperten_status,Sex:D iabete,Sex:BMI,Sex:Edu,Sex:SoDep,Sex:Regu lar_physical_activity,Sex:Healthy_diet,Sex:Slee p_7,Sex:MOD.alcohol,Sex:EXCS.alcohol,APO E4:Smoking_status,APOE4:hyperten_status,A POE4:Diabete,APOE4:BMI,APOE4:Edu,APOE 4:SoDep,APOE4:Regular_physical_activity,AP OE4:Healthy_diet,APOE4:Sleep_7,APOE4:MO D.alcohol,APOE4:EXCS.alcohol,APOE2:Smoki ng_status,APOE2:hyperten_status,APOE2:Dia bete,APOE2:BMI,APOE2:Edu,APOE2:SoDep, APOE2:Regular_physical_activity,APOE2:Heal thy_diet,APOE2:Sleep_7,APOE2:Heal thy_diet,APOE2:Sleep_7,APOE2:Heal thy_diet,APOE2:Sleep_7,APOE2:Heal thy_diet,APOE2:Sleep_7,APOE2:Heal thy_diet,APOE2:Sleep_7,APOE2:MOD.alcohol, APOE2:EXCS.alcohol,Age:Sex,Sex:APOE4,Ag e:APOE4,Sex:APOE2,Age:APOE2	3) For effects of Sex and Age: fMRI ~ Sex*Age + APOE4+APOE2+MHRFs+head_motion+brain_ position+volumetric_scaling+Partner+site; 4) For all other effects: fMRI ~ A:B + APOE4+APOE2+SES+MHRFs +head_motion+brain_position+volumetric_scali ng+Partner+UKB_Phase+site+Age:Sex+(Age^ 2):Sex, where A:B represent interaction terms between risk factors A and B, looping over Age:APOE, Sex:APOE, Age:MHRF, Sex:MHRF, APOE:MHRF interactions.
(M2) Three-way effect model	Age:Sex:Smoking_status,Age:Sex:hyperten_st atus,Age:Sex:Diabete,Age:Sex:BMI,Age:Sex:E du,Age:Sex:SoDep,Age:Sex:Regular_physical activity,Age:Sex:Healthy_diet,Age:Sex:Sleep_ 7,Age:Sex:MOD.alcohol,Age:Sex:EXCS.alcoho I,Sex:APOE4:Smoking_status,Sex:APOE4:hyp erten_status,Sex:APOE4:Diabete,Sex:APOE4: BMI,Sex:APOE4:Edu,Sex:APOE4:SoDep,Sex: APOE4:Regular_physical_activity,Sex:APOE4: Healthy_diet,Sex:APOE4:Sloep_7,Sex:APOE4: MOD.alcohol,Sex:APOE4:EXCS.alcohol,Age:A POE4:Smoking_status,Age:APOE4:hyperten_s tatus,Age:APOE4:Diabete,Age:APOE4:hyperten_s tatus,Age:APOE4:Diabete,Age:APOE4:BMI,Ag e:APOE4:Edu,Age:APOE4:SoDep,Age:APOE4 :Regular_physical_activity,Age:APOE4:Healthy _diet,Age:APOE4:Sleep_7,Age:APOE4:Healthy _diet,Age:APOE4:EXCS.alcohol,Age:Sex:AP OE4,Age:Sex:APOE2	5) fMRI ~ A:B:C+APOE4+APOE2+MHRFs+ head_motion+brain_position+volumetric_scalin g+Partner+UKB_Phase+site+Age:Sex+(Age^2) :Sex, where A:B:C represent interaction terms between risk factors A, B and C, looping over Age:APOE:MHRF, Age:Sex:APOE, Age:Sex:MHRF, Sex:APOE:MHRF interactions.

eTable 3. A summary of basics and results for functional connectivity measures in this study. Those include the number of signals (sex, age and sex*age not included; associations that pass the corresponding Bonferroni significance level 0.05/*m* in white British) and the number of signals that were validated by non-British populations (with the same directions as in white British). NFC: network-level mean functional connectivity; NES: network-level edge strength.

FC Measures	Network	Phenotype	Number of connectivities <i>m</i>	Number of Signals	Number of Signals validated by non-British
Ji12-RestConn	Ji-12	NFC	66 (between) + 12 (within) =78	113	91 (80.5%)
Ji12-Abs-RestConn	Ji-12	NES	66 (between) + 12 (within) =78	113	102 (90.3%)
Yeo7-RestConn	Yeo-7	NFC	21 (between) + 7 (within) =28	68	55 (80.9%)
Yeo7-Abs-RestConn	Yeo-7	NES	21 (between) + 7 (within) =28	54	49 (90.7%)
Yeo17-RestConn	Yeo-17	NFC	136 (between) + 17 (within) =153	185	154 (83.2%)
Yeo17-Abs-RestConn	Yeo-17	NES	136 (between) + 17 (within) =153	123	110 (89.4%)

eTable 4. Demographic information, APOE gene status, and modifiable health-related risk factors of white British UKB subjects. Percentiles may not add up to 1 due to missingness.

	Number(%)							
	APOE4 homozygote	APOE3 homozygote	APOE2 homozygote	APOE4/APOE3	APOE2/APOE3	APOE4/APOE2	Total	
Characteristic	(N=760)	(N=19943)	(N=196)	(N=7885)	(N=4209)	(N=831)	(N=33824)	
Age at brain imaging								
Mean(sd)	63.2 (7.24)	63.9 (7.51)	63.4 (7.85)	63.4 (7.46)	64.0 (7.47)	63.9 (7.58)	63.8 (7.49)	
Median(range)	64.0 [48.0, 80.0]	65.0 [45.0, 81.0]	63.5 [46.0, 80.0]	64.0 [46.0, 81.0]	65.0 [46.0, 81.0]	64.0 [47.0, 80.0]	64.0 [45.0, 81.0]	
Sex								
Female	419 (55.1%)	10402 (52.2%)	103 (52.6%)	4244 (53.8%)	2234 (53.1%)	459 (55.2%)	17861 (52.8%)	
Male	341 (44.9%)	9541 (47.8%)	93 (47.4%)	3641 (46.2%)	1975 (46.9%)	372 (44.8%)	15963 (47.2%)	
Marriage status								
Living with a partner	587 (77.2%)	15104 (75.7%)	151 (77.0%)	5959 (75.6%)	3186 (75.7%)	614 (73.9%)	25601 (75.7%)	
Not living with a partner	32 (4.2%)	885 (4.4%)	12 (6.1%)	382 (4.8%)	176 (4.2%)	48 (5.8%)	1535 (4.5%)	
Education								
College/university degree or above	360 (47.4%)	9011 (45.2%)	82 (41.8%)	3537 (44.9%)	1878 (44.6%)	389 (46.8%)	15257 (45.1%)	
No college/university degree or above	355 (46.7%)	9493 (47.6%)	100 (51.0%)	3823 (48.5%)	2015 (47.9%)	378 (45.5%)	16164 (47.8%)	
Social Deprivation								
Above the Townsend deprivation index median	291 (38.3%)	8164 (40.9%)	74 (37.8%)	3248 (41.2%)	1647 (39.1%)	325 (39.1%)	13749 (40.6%)	
Below the Townsend deprivation index median	469 (61.7%)	11762 (59.0%)	122 (62.2%)	4631 (58.7%)	2557 (60.8%)	506 (60.9%)	20047 (59.3%)	
Lifestyle factors								
Smoking status								
Ever smoked	467 (61.4%)	12201 (61.2%)	125 (63.8%)	4822 (61.2%)	2550 (60.6%)	536 (64.5%)	20701 (61.2%)	
Never smoked	293 (38.6%)	7742 (38.8%)	71 (36.2%)	3063 (38.8%)	1659 (39.4%)	295 (35.5%)	13123 (38.8%)	
Doing regular physical activity								
Yes	575 (75.7%)	14964 (75.0%)	144 (73.5%)	5869 (74.4%)	3174 (75.4%)	604 (72.7%)	25330 (74.9%)	
No	183 (24.1%)	4861 (24.4%)	52 (26.5%)	1962 (24.9%)	1015 (24.1%)	221 (26.6%)	8294 (24.5%)	
Healthy diet								
Yes	397 (52.2%)	9693 (48.6%)	86 (43.9%)	3896 (49.4%)	1997 (47.4%)	397 (47.8%)	16466 (48.7%)	
No	363 (47.8%)	10247 (51.4%)	110 (56.1%)	3985 (50.5%)	2211 (52.5%)	434 (52.2%)	17350 (51.3%)	
Alcohol consumption								
Excessive alcohol consumption	75 (9.9%)	1989 (10.0%)	27 (13.8%)	826 (10.5%)	433 (10.3%)	88 (10.6%)	3438 (10.2%)	
Moderate alcohol consumption	478 (62.9%)	12213 (61.2%)	112 (57.1%)	4743 (60.2%)	2557 (60.8%)	525 (63.2%)	20628 (61.0%)	
Not current drinking	207 (27.2%)	5735 (28.8%)	57 (29.1%)	2315 (29.4%)	1218 (28.9%)	218 (26.2%)	9750 (28.8%)	
Sleeping between 6 hours and 8 hours								
Yes	338 (44.5%)	8640 (43.3%)	89 (45.4%)	3535 (44.8%)	1754 (41.7%)	380 (45.7%)	14736 (43.6%)	
No	420 (55.3%)	11265 (56.5%)	107 (54.6%)	4339 (55.0%)	2442 (58.0%)	449 (54.0%)	19022 (56.2%)	
CVRFs factors								
Hypertension Status								
Yes	148 (19.5%)	4092 (20.5%)	55 (28.1%)	1487 (18.9%)	826 (19.6%)	160 (19.3%)	6768 (20.0%)	
No	612 (80.5%)	15851 (79.5%)	141 (71.9%)	6398 (81.1%)	3383 (80.4%)	671 (80.7%)	27056 (80.0%)	
Diabetes								
Yes	23 (3.0%)	863 (4.3%)	8 (4.1%)	274 (3.5%)	180 (4.3%)	42 (5.1%)	1390 (4.1%)	
No	737 (97.0%)	19080 (95.7%)	188 (95.9%)	7611 (96.5%)	4029 (95.7%)	789 (94.9%)	32434 (95.9%)	
BMI								
Mean(sd)	26.2 (3.88)	26.5 (4.18)	26.8 (4.72)	26.6 (4.23)	26.7 (4.22)	26.7 (4.39)	26.6 (4.20)	
Median(range)	25.9 [16.4, 44.4]	26.0 [15.2, 56.6]	26.2 [18.0, 45.3]	26.0 [14.7, 56.0]	26.2 [16.2, 50.5]	25.9 [18.0, 44.7]	26.0 [14.7, 56.6]	

*: Percentiles do not add up to 1 is due to missingness. *:All variables are measured at the baseline except the age at imaging, hypertension status, and diabetes.

eTable 5. Demographic information, APOE gene status, and modifiable health-related risk factors of non-British UKB subjects. Percentiles may not add up to 1 due to missingness.

	Number(%)							
	APOE4 homozygote	APOE3 homozygote	APOE2 homozygote	APOE4/APOE3	APOE2/APOE3	APOE4/APOE2	Total	
Characteristic	(N=46)	(N=1745)	(N=15)	(N=618)	(N=336)	(N=46)	(N=2806)	
Age at brain imaging								
Mean(sd)	60.9 (7.63)	61.9 (7.89)	62.7 (9.18)	61.6 (7.65)	62.0 (8.13)	61.5 (7.81)	61.8 (7.86)	
Median(range)	60.5 [48.0, 79.0]	62.0 [44.0, 81.0]	63.0 [48.0, 78.0]	61.0 [47.0, 78.0]	62.0 [45.0, 80.0]] 61.5 [50.0, 79.0)] 62.0 [44.0, 81.0]	
Sex								
Female	23 (50.0%)	932 (53.4%)	10 (66.7%)	358 (57.9%)	187 (55.7%)	24 (52.2%)	1534 (54.7%)	
Male	23 (50.0%)	813 (46.6%)	5 (33.3%)	260 (42.1%)	149 (44.3%)	22 (47.8%)	1272 (45.3%)	
Marriage status								
Living with a partner	31 (67.4%)	1233 (70.7%)	13 (86.7%)	410 (66.3%)	220 (65.5%)	24 (52.2%)	1931 (68.8%)	
Not living with a partner	4 (8.7%)	128 (7.3%)	1 (6.7%)	53 (8.6%)	28 (8.3%)	6 (13.0%)	220 (7.8%)	
Education								
College/university degree or above	31 (67.4%)	1030 (59.0%)	10 (66.7%)	373 (60.4%)	190 (56.5%)	28 (60.9%)	1662 (59.2%)	
No college/university degree or above	14 (30.4%)	618 (35.4%)	4 (26.7%)	224 (36.2%)	130 (38.7%)	16 (34.8%)	1006 (35.9%)	
Social Deprivation		· · ·				· · · ·	· · ·	
Above the Townsend deprivation index median	27 (58.7%)	999 (57.2%)	8 (53.3%)	360 (58.3%)	197 (58.6%)	27 (58.7%)	1618 (57.7%)	
Below the Townsend deprivation index median	19 (41.3%)	743 (42.6%)	7 (46.7%)	257 (41.6%)	137 (40.8%)	19 (41.3%)	1182 (42.1%)	
Lifestyle factors								
Smoking status								
Ever smoked	31 (67.4%)	1010 (57.9%)	9 (60.0%)	369 (59.7%)	181 (53.9%)	25 (54.3%)	1625 (57.9%)	
Never smoked	15 (32.6%)	735 (42.1%)	6 (40.0%)	249 (40.3%)	155 (46.1%)	21 (45.7%)	1181 (42.1%)	
Doing regular physical activity								
Yes	35 (76.1%)	1323 (75.8%)	12 (80.0%)	481 (77.8%)	252 (75.0%)	33 (71.7%)	2136 (76.1%)	
No	11 (23.9%)	401 (23.0%)	3 (20.0%)	131 (21.2%)	81 (24.1%)	12 (26.1%)	639 (22.8%)	
Healthy diet								
Yes	27 (58.7%)	887 (50.8%)	6 (40.0%)	315 (51.0%)	159 (47.3%)	28 (60.9%)	1422 (50.7%)	
No	19 (41.3%)	852 (48.8%)	9 (60.0%)	300 (48.5%)	176 (52.4%)	18 (39.1%)	1374 (49.0%)	
Alcohol consumption								
Excessive alcohol consumption	11 (23.9%)	373 (21.4%)	4 (26.7%)	130 (21.0%)	63 (18.8%)	7 (15.2%)	588 (21.0%)	
Moderate alcohol consumption	30 (65.2%)	979 (56.1%)	9 (60.0%)	347 (56.1%)	185 (55.1%)	33 (71.7%)	1583 (56.4%)	
Not current drinking	5 (10.9%)	387 (22.2%)	2 (13.3%)	141 (22.8%)	88 (26.2%)	6 (13.0%)	629 (22.4%)	
Sleeping between 6 hours and 8 hours								
Yes	18 (39.1%)	752 (43.1%)	5 (33.3%)	266 (43.0%)	153 (45.5%)	22 (47.8%)	1216 (43.3%)	
No	28 (60.9%)	984 (56.4%)	10 (66.7%)	349 (56.5%)	183 (54.5%)	24 (52.2%)	1578 (56.2%)	
CVRFs factors								
Hypertension Status								
Yes	10 (21.7%)	359 (20.6%)	3 (20.0%)	112 (18.1%)	57 (17.0%)	10 (21.7%)	551 (19.6%)	
No	36 (78.3%)	1386 (79.4%)	12 (80.0%)	506 (81.9%)	279 (83.0%)	36 (78.3%)	2255 (80.4%)	
Diabetes						· · · ·	· · ·	
Yes	1 (2.2%)	103 (5.9%)	1 (6.7%)	30 (4.9%)	20 (6.0%)	3 (6.5%)	158 (5.6%)	
No	45 (97.8%)	1642 (94.1%)	14 (93.3%)	588 (95.1%)	316 (94.0%)	43 (93.5%)	2648 (94.4%)	
BMI								
Mean(sd)	27.1 (5.08)	26.3 (4.20)	25.1 (3.93)	26.2 (4.14)	26.7 (4.61)	27.0 (4.95)	26.4 (4.26)	
Median(range)	26.4 [18.6, 42.7]	25.7 [17.4, 48.0]	24.2 [20.2, 31.1]	25.9 [16.5, 48.4]	25.7 [18.1, 49.4] 26.1 [19.7, 38.4] 25.8 [16.5, 49.4]	
			- [,]					

*: Percentiles do not add up to 1 is due to missingness. *:All variables are measured at the baseline except the age at imaging, hypertension status, and diabetes.

eTable 6. Summary of the numbers of associations. Associations in the main findings are identified between brain functional connectivity (FC) measures and *APOE4* and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs), as well as their interactions with age and sex, by the British population across three network atlases (Ji-12, Yeo-7, and Yeo-17). Findings validated from non-British populations are listed in parentheses if they have the same directions as main findings. Entries that are 100% validated by the non-British population are highlighted in yellow. The explanations of FC measures are provided in **eTable 3**. P: Positive (association); N: negative; Ex.Alcoh: excessive alcohol consumption; Mod.Alcoh: moderate alcohol consumption; SoDep: the index of social deprivation; Edu: education.

FC Measures	APOE4	Hypertension	BMI	Smoking	Alcohol	Physical Activity	Sleep	Education	Age & APOE Interaction	Other (Sex) Interaction
Ji12- NFC	N: 6 (5)	N: 12 (12)	P: 33 (27) N: 7 (5)	P: 9 (7) N: 6 (5)	Ex. Alcoh N: 4 (0)	P: 1 (1) N: 1 (1)	P: 1 (1) N: 1 (1)	P: 13 (11) N: 4 (4)	Age* Hypertension P: 1 (1) Age*Sex P: 3 (3); N: 1 (0) APOE4*Sex N: 1 (1)	Sex*Smoking N: 2 (1) Sex*Diabetes P: 1 (1) Sex*BMI P: 6 (4); N: 2 (2) Sex*Edu N: 2 (1)
Ji12- NES	N: 7 (5)	N: 33 (33)	P: 6 (4) N: 20 (20)	P: 1 (1) N: 9 (9)	Ex. Alcoh N: 5 (5)	P: 1 (1)	P: 1 (1)	P: 16 (16) N: 1 (0)	Age*BMI N: 1 (1) Age*Sex P: 18 (18)	Sex*Smoking N: 2 (0) Sex*BMI P: 1 (0); N: 4 (2) Sex*Edu P: 1 (1) Sex*Mod.Alcoh P: 2 (2) Sex*Ex.Alcoh N: 2 (1)
Yeo7- NFC	N: 7 (5)	N: 2 (2)	P: 15 (14) N: 1 (0)	P: 6 (5) N: 3 (2)	Mod. Alcoh P: 1 (0) Ex. Alcoh P: 1 (1) N: 3 (0)	P: 2 (2)	P: 3 (3)	P: 8 (8)	Age* Hypertension P: 1 (1) Age*Sex P: 3 (3); N: 2 (1) APOE4*Sex N: 1 (0) APOE4*Sex* Diabetes P: 1 (0) APOE4*Sex*BMI P: 1 (0)	Sex*BMI P: 11 (11) Sex*Ex.Alcoh N: 1 (1)
Yeo7- NES	N: 4 (4)	N: 7 (7)	P: 10 (9) N: 6 (6)	P: 1 (0) N: 5 (4)	Ex. Alcoh N: 4 (4)	P: 2 (2)	P: 2 (2)	P: 6 (6)	Age*BMI N: 1 (1) Age*Sex P: 7 (7); N: 1 (0) Age*APOE4*Edu N: 1 (1) APOE4*Sex* Diabetes P: 1 (0)	Sex*BMI P: 2 (2) Sex*Ex.Alcoh N: 1 (1) Sex*SoDep N : 1 (0)
Yeo17- NFC	N: 15 (8)	P: 2 (2) N: 8 (7)	P: 66 (62) N: 2 (1)	P: 9 (7) N: 6 (6)	Ex. Alcoh P: 3 (2) N: 9 (3)	P: 4 (4)	P: 2 (1)	P: 27 (25) N: 3 (2)	Age*BMI N: 1 (1) Age*Sex P: 8 (6); N: 5 (3) Age*APOE4*Edu N: 1 (1) Age*APOE4* Sleep P: 1 (1) APOE4*Sex N: 1 (0) APOE4*Sex*BMI P: 1 (0) APOE4*Sex* Diabetes P: 2 (0)	Sex*BMI P: 21 (20); N: 1 (1)
Yeo17- NES	N: 3 (3)	N: 21 (21)	P: 32 (28) N: 18 (17)	P: 1 (1) N: 7 (7)	Ex. Alcoh N: 3 (3)	P: 3 (3)	P: 1 (1)	P: 14 (14) N: 3 (2)	Age*BMI N: 1 (1) Age*Diet N: 1 (0) Age*Sex P: 11 (10); N: 2 (0) Age*ApOE4*Edu N: 1 (1) APOE4*Sex* Diabetes P: 2 (0)	Sex*BMI P: 6 (5); N: 1 (1) Sex*Edu P: 1 (1) Sex*Mod.Alcoh P: 1 (0) Sex*Ex.Alcoh N: 3 (1)





eFigure 1 Correlation plot of age, sex, *APOE* and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs). SoDep, Social deprivation Index; NotSmoking, not-current-smoker; Phys.Act, physical activity; Healthy.diet, healthy diet; Sleep.7, healthy sleep; MOD.alcohol, moderate alcohol; EXCS.alcohol, excessive alcohol.



osterior

F

Cit

c ngi

Cinculo

Def

eFigure 2. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 6.4E-4 will be shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 3. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *6.4E-4* will be shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 4. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 5. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 6. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, *APOE* gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 3.3*E*-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 7. Heatmap of all the identified main effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 3.3E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 8. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 6.4E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 9. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *6.4E-4* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 10. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 11. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 12. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, *APOE* gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 3.3*E*-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 13. Heatmap of all the identified two-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 3.3E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 14. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 6.4E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 15. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 6.4E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 16. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 17. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of *1.8E-3* are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.



eFigure 18. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network edge strength (NES) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferronicorrected significance level of 3.3E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.

eFigure 19. Heatmap of all the identified three-way interaction effects of age, sex, APOE gene, and ten modifiable health-related risk factors (MHRFs) on the network functional connectivity (NFC) measures, based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results that passed the Bonferroni-corrected significance level of 3.3E-4 are shown by (*). The effect sizes (standardized coefficients) for each association are illustrated using a color gradient from blue to red.

eFigure 20. The scatterplots of FPN-DMN between-network functional connectivity (NFC) against age grouped by hypertension. Results are based on the Yeo-7 network atlas. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are also drawn. FPN, frontoparietal network; DMN, default mode network.

Yeo7_RestConn Marginal Plot

eFigure 21. The scatterplots of (A) within-Vi1-network edge strength (NES) and (B) network-level functional connectivity (NFC) against BMI grouped by sex. Results are based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are also drawn. Vi1, visual 1 network.

eFigure 22. The scatterplots of Vi1-Vi2 between-network edge strength (NES) against BMI grouped by sex. Results are based on the Ji-12 network atlas. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals were also drawn. Vi1, visual 1 network; Vi2, visual 2 network.

eFigure 23. The scatterplots of (A) within-Vi2 network edge strength (NES) and (B) network-level functional connectivity (NFC) against BMI grouped by sex. Results are based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are also drawn. Vi2, visual 2 network.

eFigure 24. The scatterplots of LN1-CE3 between-network functional connectivity (NFC) against age grouped by sex. Results are based on the Yeo-17 network atlas. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are also drawn. LN1, limbic 1 network; CE3, central executive 3 network.

eFigure 25. The boxplots of brain functional connectivity measures against different alcohol consumptions grouped by sex. (A) FPN-PMN between-network edge strength (NES), (B) within-LN NES and (C) within-LN network functional connectivity (NFC), are based on the Ji-12, Yeo-7 and Yeo-7 network atlases, respectively. FPN, frontoparietal network; PMN, posterior multimodal network; LN, limbic network.

eFigure 26. The boxplots of PMN-DAN between-network edge strength (NES) against different education levels (with or without college degree) grouped by sex. Results are based on the Ji-12 network atlas. PMN, posterior multimodal network; DAN, dorsal attention network.

eFigure 27. The boxplot of CON-FPN between-network functional connectivity (NFC) against different education levels (with or without college degree) grouped by sex. Results are based on the Ji-12 network atlas. CON, cingulo-Opercular network; FPN, frontoparietal network.

eFigure 28. The scatterplots of brain region L_47I and R_8BM connectivity against age grouped by hypertension status. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are also drawn.

eFigure 29. The scatterplots of brain functional connectivities between the 18 pairs of brain regions in brain visual regions (Vi1 and Vi2; Ji-12 network atlas) against BMI grouped by sex, respectively. Contour plots are used to show the density of two-dimensional distributions; regression lines and 95% confidence intervals were also drawn. Vi1, visual 1 network; Vi2, visual 2 network.

eFigure 30. The boxplots of the brain functional connectivities for male and female subjects with and without diabetes. Results are between 9 pairs of brain regions from Visual 2 and auditory networks (Ji-12 network atlas).

eFigure 31. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806) based on the Ji-12 network atlas. The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.92 (Spearman correlation = 0.90).

eFigure 32. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network edge strength (NES) measures using the Ji-12 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806). The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.97 (Spearman correlation = 0.94).

eFigure 33. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance levels in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806). The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.94 (Spearman correlation = 0.87).

eFigure 34. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network edge strength (NES) measures using the Yeo-7 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance levels in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806). The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.95 (Spearman correlation = 0.89).

eFigure 35. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance levels in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806). The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.94 (Spearman correlation = 0.93).

eFigure 36. A comparison of effect sizes between British and non-British populations based on network edge strength (NES) measures using the Yeo-17 network atlas. Results are based on the effect sizes of identified signals that pass Bonferroni-corrected significance levels in the main discovery (n = 33,824) versus their corresponding effect sizes in non-British dataset (n = 2806). The identified effect sizes are reproducible, with a Pearson correlation of 0.96 (Spearman correlation = 0.95).

eFigure 37. Barplots of number of signals on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using Ji-12 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (red) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (blue) or non-significant (green).

eFigure 38. Barplots of number of signals on network edge strength (NESs) using Ji-12 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (blue) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (green) or non-significant (red).

eFigure 39. Barplots of number of signals on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using Yeo-7 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (red) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (blue) or non-significant (green).

eFigure 40. Barplots of number of signals on network edge strength (NESs) using Yeo-7 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (red) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (blue) or non-significant (green).

eFigure 41. Barplots of number of signals on network-level functional connectivities (NFCs) using Yeo-17 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (red) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (blue) or non-significant (green).

eFigure 42. Barplots of number of signals on network edge strength (NESs) using Yeo-17 network atlas. Results are based on the Bonferroni significance level in the main discovery (n = 33,824) that have inconsistent directions (red) in non-British dataset (n = 2806), have consistent directions that are significant (blue) or non-significant (green).

eFigure 43. Heatmap to illustrate the mean network-level functional connectivity (NFC) (A) and network edge strength (NES) (B) matrices based on the Ji-12 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects).

eFigure 44. Heatmap to illustrate the population-mean network-level functional connectivity (NFC) (A) and network edge strength (NES) (B) matrices based on the Yeo-7 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects).

eFigure 45. Heatmap to illustrate the population-mean network-level functional connectivity (NFC) (A) and network edge strength (NES) (B) matrices based on the Yeo-17 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects).

eFigure 46. The functional connectivity map of the HCP-MMP atlas and Ji-12 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects). The regions are organized to show region pairs within the same network together.

eFigure 47. The functional connectivity map of the Schaefer-200 atlas and Yeo-7 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects). The regions are organized to show region pairs within the same network together.

eFigure 48. The functional connectivity map of the Schaefer-200 atlas and Yeo-17 network atlas across the population (36,630 subjects). The regions are organized to show region pairs within the same network together.

eFigure 49. The contour plot of the network-level edge strength (NES) measures between visual2 and dorsal attention networks versus Age and BMI across the white British population (n = 33,824). This may indicate a negative age-BMI interaction effect. The estimated bivariate function of age and BMI is fitted through cubic splines.

eFigure 50. Selected association results of education with brain functional network connectivity measures. (A) Heatmaps showing association results from the white British population using Ji-12, Yeo-7, and Yeo-17, for network edge strength (NES; I) and network functional connectivity (NFC; II). Significant results validated in the non-British population are marked with (*); significant but unvalidated results are indicated by (+). Non-significant results but with p-values <1e-4 and <1e-3 are denoted by (.) and (..), respectively. (B) Circular plots showing NES (I) and NFC (II) associations from the three atlases. Colored spheres represent different networks with positive (red) and negative (blue) connections.