Supplemental Fig. S.1 Forest plot for association between CYP3A4 rs2242480 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZE to CBZ ratio in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.2 Forest plot for association between CYP3A4 rs2242480 polymorphism and plasma CBZE concentration in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.3 Forest plot for association between CYP3A4 rs2242480 polymorphism and plasma CBZD concentration in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).



Supplemental Fig. S.4 Forest plots for association between CYP3A5 rs776746 polymorphism and plasma CBZE concentration in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f). Sensitivity analysis for effect of CYP3A5 rs776746 polymorphism on plasma CBZE concentration in recessive model (g).



Test for overall effect: Z = 3.01 (P = 0.003)

4

GA+AA GG

Supplemental Fig. S.5 Forest plot for association between CYP3A5 rs776746 polymorphism and plasma CBZD concentration in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).



Supplemental Fig. S.6 Forest plot for association between CYP3A5 rs776746 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZD to CBZ ratio in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.7 Sensitivity analysis for effect of SCN1A rs3812718 polymorphism on plasma CBZ concentration in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c) and recessive model (d).



Supplemental Fig. S.8 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs3812718 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZE to CBZ ratio in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.9 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs3812718 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZD to CBZ ratio in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).

13.1 Homozygote co	odomina	ant m	odel (AA vs.	GG)				
		AA			GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.43	0.17	16	0.23	0.13	50	100.0%	0.20 [0.11, 0.29]	
Total (95% CI)			16			50	100.0%	0.20 [0.11, 0.29]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	plicable Z = 4.32	2 (P < ().0001))					-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2
b									GG AA
13.2 Heterozygote c	odomir	nant n	nodel	(AG vs	. GG)			
		AG			GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.27	0.22	79	0.23	0.13	50	100.0%	0.04 [-0.02, 0.10]	
Total (95% CI)			79			50	100.0%	0.04 [-0.02, 0.10]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.30) (P = ().19)						-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 GG AG
с									
13.3 Dominant mode	el (AA+	AG v	s. GG)					
	A	A+AG			GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.3	0.22	95	0.23	0.13	50	100.0%	0.07 [0.01, 0.13]	
Total (95% CI)			95			50	100.0%	0.07 [0.01, 0.13]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.40) (P = (0.02)						-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 GG AA+AG
d									
13.4 Recessive mod	lel (AA	vs. A	G+GG	3)					
		AA		A	G+GG	i		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.43	0.17	16	0.25	0.19	129	100.0%	0.18 [0.09, 0.27]	
Total (95% CI)			16			129	100.0%	0.18 [0.09, 0.27]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.94	- (P < 0	0.0001))					-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 AG+GG AA
e 13 5 Overdominant i	model (AG v	s AA-	+GG)					
		AG		, ^	A+CC			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% CI	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.27	0.22	79	0.28	0.16	66	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.07, 0.05]	
Total (95% CI)			79			66	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.07, 0.05]	
Heterogeneity: Not an	plicable						/0	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.32	: (P = 0).75)						-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1
			-,						AA+GG AG

Supplemental Fig. S.10 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs3812718 polymorphism and plasma CBZE concentration in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.11 Forest plot for association between SCN1Ars3812718 polymorphism and plasma CBZD concentration in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).

a 11.1 Homozvoote co	domina	ant m	nodel (AA vs	. GG)				
		AA			GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.28	0.1	16	0.23	0.15	50	100.0%	0.05 [-0.01, 0.11]	
Total (95% CI)	aliaabla		16			50	100.0%	0.05 [-0.01, 0.11]	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.52	? (P =	0.13)						-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 GG AA
b 11.2 Heterozygote ca	odomir	nant r	model	(AG v	s. GG	i)			
		AG			GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.23	0.19	79	0.23	0.15	50	100.0%	0.00 [-0.06, 0.06]	
Total (95% CI)			79			50	100.0%	0.00 [-0.06, 0.06]	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00	(P =	1.00)						-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 GG AG
c 11.3 Dominant mode		AGN	/s GG	`					
The Bornmant mode		A+AC	2	,	66			Moon Difforence	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Moon		Total	Moon	GG	Total	Woight	Wean Difference	Wean Difference
Daci A 2015	0.24	0.18	95	0.23	0.15	50	100.0%	0.01 [-0.05, 0.07]	
Total (95% CI)			95			50	100.0%	0.01 [-0.05, 0.07]	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	olicable Z = 0.36	(P =	0.72)						-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1
d									
11.4 Recessive mod	el (AA	VS. A	G+GC	<i>.</i> (e					
		AA		A	G+GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.28	0.1	16	0.23	0.18	129	100.0%	0.05 [-0.01, 0.11]	
Total (95% CI)			16			129	100.0%	0.05 [-0.01, 0.11]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	olicable Z = 1.69) (P =	0.09)					-	-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1
e		`	-,						AG+GG AA
11.5 Overdominant r	nodel (AG \	/s. AA	+GG)					
		AG		A	A+GG			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	0.23	0.19	79	0.24	0.14	66	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.04]	
Total (95% CI)			79			66	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.04]	
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.36	(P =	0.72)						AA+GG AG

Supplemental Fig. S.12 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs3812718 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZD to CBZE ratio in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).

14.1 Homozygote co	domina	ant m	odel (/	AA vs.	GG)					
		AA			GG			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	4.2	3.17	16	3	2.45	50	100.0%	1.20 [-0.50, 2.90]		
Total (95% CI)			16			50	100.0%	1.20 [-0.50, 2.90]		
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.39) (P = (0.17)						-2	-1 0 1 2 GG AA
b 14.2 Heterozvaote ca	odomir	nant n	nodel	(AG vs	s. GG)				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		AG			GG	,		Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% CI		IV. Fixed. 95% CI
Daci A 2015	2.78	2.44	79	3	2.45	50	100.0%	-0.22 [-1.09, 0.65]		
Total (95% CI)			79			50	100.0%	-0.22 [-1.09, 0.65]		
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	olicable Z = 0.50	(P = () 62)					-	-2	-1 0 1 2
	_ 0.00	(, ,								GG AG
c 14.3 Dominant mode	el (AA+	AG v	s. GG)						
	A	A+AG			GG			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	3.02	2.63	95	3	2.45	50	100.0%	0.02 [-0.84, 0.88]		
Total (95% CI)			95			50	100.0%	0.02 [-0.84, 0.88]		
Test for overall effect:	olicable Z = 0.05	6 (P = 0).96)						-2	
d										
14.4 Recessive mod	el (AA	vs. A	G+GG	5)						
		AA		A	G+GG	;		Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Daci A 2015	4.2	3.17	16	2.87	2.45	129	100.0%	1.33 [-0.28, 2.94]		
Total (95% CI)			16			129	100.0%	1.33 [-0.28, 2.94]		
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable							-	-4	-2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.62	? (P = ().11)							AG+GG AA
14.5 Overdominant r	nodel ((AG v	s. AA+	+GG)						
		AG		Α	A+GG			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	2.78	2.44	79	3.29	2.68	66	100.0%	-0.51 [-1.35, 0.33]	-	
Total (95% CI)			79			66	100.0%	-0.51 [-1.35, 0.33]		
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable	-						-	-2	-1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.19	(P = 0).23)						-	AA+GG AG

Supplemental Fig. S.13 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and plasma CBZ concentration in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f). Sensitivity analysis for effect of SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism on plasma CBZ concentration in recessive model (g).



Supplemental Fig. S.14 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and plasma CBZE concentration in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.15 Sensitivity analysis for effect of SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism on plasma CBZE concentration in heterozygote codominant model (a), dominant model (b) and overdominant model (c).



recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).



Supplemental Fig. S.17 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZE to CBZ ratio in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f).



Supplemental Fig. S.18 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZD to CBZ ratio in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).

19.1 Homozygote co	domina	ant m	odel (GG vs	. AA)				
		GG			AA			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.29	0.16	37	0.22	0.14	25	100.0%	0.07 [-0.01, 0.15]	
Total (95% CI)			37			25	100.0%	0.07 [-0.01, 0.15]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.82	! (P = (0.07)						-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 AA GG
b									747 00
19.2 Heterozygote c	odomin	ant n	nodel	(GA vs	5. AA)				
		GA			AA			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.26	0.21	83	0.22	0.14	25	100.0%	0.04 [-0.03, 0.11]	-+
Total (95% CI)			83			25	100.0%	0.04 [-0.03, 0.11]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							-	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.10	(P = ().27)						AA GA
С									
19.3 Dominant mode	el (GG+	GA v	s. AA)					
	G	G+GA			AA			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.27	0.2	120	0.22	0.14	25	100.0%	0.05 [-0.02, 0.12]	+
Total (95% CI)			120			25	100.0%	0.05 [-0.02, 0.12]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.50) (P =	0.13)						AA GG+GA
d									
19.4 Recessive mod	el (GG	vs. G	SA+AA	A)					
	(GG		G	A+AA			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% CI	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Daci A 2015	0.29	0.16	37	0.25	0.2	108	100.0%	0.04 [-0.02, 0.10]	
	0.20	0.10	0.	0.20	0.2		1001070	0.01[0.02,01.0]	
Total (95% CI)			37			108	100.0%	0.04 [-0.02, 0.10]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.23	(P=0	0.22)						
0			,						GATAA GG
19.5 Overdominant i	nodel (GA V	د ۵۵	$+\Delta\Delta$					
		GA GA			G+A A			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SA	Total	Mean		Total	Weight	IV Fixed 95% Cl	IV Fixed 95% Cl
Daci & 2015	0.26	0.21	83	0.26	0.16	62	100.0%	0.00 [-0.06.0.06]	
Daul A 2015	0.20	0.21	03	0.20	0.10	02	100.0%	0.00 [-0.00, 0.00]	
Total (95% CI)			83			62	100.0%	0.00 [-0.06, 0.06]	•
Heterogeneity: Not an	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00	(P = 1	1.00)						-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2
	2.00	v	,						GG+AA GA

Supplemental Fig. S.19 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and plasma concentration of CBZD to CBZE ratio in homozygote codominant model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c), recessive model (d) and overdominant model (e).

20.1 Homozygote co	domina	ant m	odel (GG vs	. AA)						
		GG			AA			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Daci A 2015	3.02	2.62	37	2.87	2.56	25	100.0%	0.15 [-1.16, 1.46]			
Total (95% CI)	P 1 - 1 -		37			25	100.0%	0.15 [-1.16, 1.46]			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.22	(P=0).82)						-4	-2 0 2 AA GG	4
b 20.2 Heterozygote co	ndomin	iant n	ndel								
20.2 Hotorozygoto ot	Juomin	GA	louoi		ΔΔ			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed 95% CI		IV. Fixed, 95% Cl	
Daci A 2015	2 98	2 59	83	2.87	2 56	25	100.0%	0 11 [-1 04 1 26]			
Dadi A 2013	2.50	2.55	00	2.07	2.50	20	100.078	0.11[-1.04, 1.20]			
Total (95% CI)			83			25	100.0%	0.11 [-1.04, 1.26]			
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable							-			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.19	(P = ().85)						-2	-1 0 1	2
•			,							AA GA	
20.3 Dominant mode	l (GG+	-GA v	S AA)							
20.0 Dominant mode		G+C^	J. AA	,	۸۸			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Moan	STGA SD	Total	Moan	ад СП	Total	Weight	IV Fixed 95% Cl		IV Fixed 95% CI	
Dooi A 2015	2 00	26	120	2.07	2.56	<u>10tai</u> 25	100.0%	0 12 [0 00 1 22]			
Daci A 2015	2.99	2.0	120	2.07	2.50	25	100.0%	0.12 [-0.99, 1.23]			
Total (95% CI)			120			25	100.0%	0.12 [-0.99, 1.23]			
Heterogeneity: Not an	olicable		120			20	100.070				
Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.21	(P =)	0.83)						-2	-1 0 1	2
	2 - 0.21	- () - (0.00)							AA GG+GA	
d											
20.4 Recessive mod	el (GG	vs. G	SA+A/	4)							
		GG		G	A+AA			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Daci A 2015	3.02	2.62	37	2.95	2.58	108	100.0%	0.07 [-0.90, 1.04]			
Total (95% CI)			37			108	100.0%	0.07 [-0.90, 1.04]			
Heterogeneity: Not app	blicable							-	-2	-1 0 1	2
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.14	· (P = ().89)							GA+AA GG	
е											
20.5 Overdominant r	nodel (GA v	s. GG	+AA)							
		GA		G	G+AA			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Daci A 2015	2.98	2.59	83	2.96	2.6	62	100.0%	0.02 [-0.83, 0.87]			
Total (95% CI)			83			62	100.0%	0.02 [-0.83. 0.87]			
Heterogeneity: Not apr	olicable									+ + +	
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.05	5 (P =)	0.96)						-2	-1 0 1	2
	_ 0.00		0.00)							GG+AA GA	

Supplemental Fig. S.20 Forest plot for association between CYP3A4 rs2242480 polymorphism and CBZ resistance in allelic model (a), heterozygote codominant model (b), dominant model (c) and overdominant model (d).

(0	.).	CBZ resis	tance	CBZ resp	onse		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
_	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
а	21.1.1 Allelic model (/	A allele vs.	G allele)				
	Yun W 2013	11	48	30	118	100.0%	0.90 [0.49, 1.65]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		48		118	100.0%	0.90 [0.49, 1.65]	
	Total events	11		30				
	Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.34 (P =	= 0.74)					
b	21.1.2 Heterozygote o	odominant	model	(AG vs. GC	G)			
	Yun W 2013	11	24	22	55	100.0%	1.15 [0.67, 1.97]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		24		55	100.0%	1.15 [0.67, 1.97]	
	Total events	11		22				
	Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.49 (P =	= 0.62)					
с	21.1.3 Dominant mod	el (AA+AG	vs. GG)					\perp
	Yun W 2013	11	24	26	59	100.0%	1.04 [0.62, 1.75]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		24		59	100.0%	1.04 [0.62, 1.75]	
	Total events	11		26				
	Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.15 (P =	= 0.88)					
d	21.1.4 Overdominant	model (AG	vs. AA+	·GG)				
	Yun W 2013	11	24	22	59	100.0%	1.23 [0.71, 2.12]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		24		59	100.0%	1.23 [0.71, 2.12]	
	Total events	11		22				
	Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.74 (P =	= 0.46)					
								CBZ resistance CBZ respose
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.74 (P :	= 0.46)					0.2 0.5 1 2 5 CBZ resistance CBZ respnse

Supplemental Fig. S.21 Forest plot for association between CYP3A5 rs776746 polymorphism and CBZ resistance in homozygote codominant model (a) and recessive model (b). CBZ resistance CBZ response Risk Ratio Risk Ratio



Supplemental Fig. S.22 Forest plot for association between SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism and CBZ resistance in allelic model (a), homozygote codominant model (b), heterozygote codominant model (c), dominant model (d), recessive model (e) and overdominant model (f). Sensitivity analysis for effect of SCN1A rs2298771 polymorphism on CBZ resistance in dominant model (g).

p	orymorphism on C	_BZ resist	ance in	BZ resp	iant m	iodel (g). Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	Study or Subaroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random. 95% Cl	M-H. Random, 95% Cl
a	21.4.1 Allelic model (G allele vs. A	A allele)			-		
	Daci A 2015	49	92	108	198	53.1%	0.98 [0.78, 1.23]	
	Nazish HR 2018	50	88	22	72	46.9%	1.86 [1.26, 2.75]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		180		270	100.0%	1.32 [0.70, 2.50]	
	Total events	99		130				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.19; Chi ² = 7	7.91, df =	1 (P = 0.0	005); l² :	= 87%		
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.85 (P =	0.39)					
-								
D	21.4.2 Homozygote c	odominant n	nodel (G	G vs. AA)			
	Daci A 2015	11	19	26	43	54.6%	0.96 [0.61, 1.51]	
	Nazish HR 2018 Subtotal (05% CI)	16	20	5	24	45.4%	2.95 [1.28, 6.82]	
	Total ovents	27	45	21	07	100.0 %	1.00 [0.50, 5.10]	
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² -	0 50: Chi ² - F	5 00 df -	1 (P = 0)	01).12 -	83%		
	Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.79 (P = 0.00)	0 43)	1 (1 = 0.0	01),1 =	0070		
		2 0.70 (1	0.40)					
С	21.4.3 Heterozygote	codominant	model (G	A vs. AA)			
	Daci A 2015	27	35	56	73	60.1%	1.01 [0.81, 1.25]	-
	Nazish HR 2018	18	28	12	31	39.9%	1.66 [0.99, 2.80]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		63		104	100.0%	1.23 [0.73, 2.06]	
	Total events	45		68				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Chi ² = 3	3.45, df =	1 (P = 0.0	06); I² =	71%		
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.78 (P =	0.43)					
a								
u	Z1.4.4 Dominant mot		/S. AA) /6	00	00	12 60/		+
	Nazich HP 2019	30	40	02 17	39	43.0%		
	7hou BT 2012	34 46	44 176	68	272	20.1%	1.04 [1.12, 2.39]	_
	Subtotal (95% CI)	40	266	00	407	100.0%	1.15 [0.87, 1.52]	◆
	Total events	118		167				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Chi ² = 5	5.91, df =	2 (P = 0.0	05); l² =	66%		
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.99 (P =	0.32)		,,			
е	21.4.5 Recessive mo	del (GG vs. C	GA+AA)					
	Daci A 2015	11	46	26	99	55.1%	0.91 [0.49, 1.68]	
	Nazish HR 2018	16	44	5	36	44.9%	2.62 [1.06, 6.46]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)	07	90	0.1	135	100.0%	1.46 [0.52, 4.12]	
	Lotar events	27	264 df -	31 1 (P = 0 (06): 12 -	720/		
	Test for overall effect:	7 = 0.72 (P = 0.72)	0.04, ui –	T (F = 0.0	00), i [_] –	13%		
		2 - 0.72 (F -	5.47)					
f	21.4.6 Overdominant	model (GA v	/s. GG+A	A)				\perp
	Daci A 2015	27	46	56	99	79.3%	1.04 [0.77, 1.40]	
	Nazish HR 2018	18	44	12	36	20.7%	1.23 [0.69, 2.20]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		90		135	100.0%	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]	-
	Total events	45		68				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 0	0.26, df =	1 (P = 0.6	61); l² =	0%		
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.53 (P =	0.60)					
n	21 4 7 Sensitivity and	alveis of dom	inant m		+GA vo	۵۵)		
э	Daci & 2015	ມາງອາອັບໂບບໄປ ຊຸດ	//////////////////////////////////////	2001 (00. 22	00 VS.	80.2%	1 00 10 85 1 171	.
	Zhou BT 2012	46	176	62	272	19.8%	1.00 [0.00, 1.17]	_ _
	Subtotal (95% CI)	40	222	50	371	100.0%	1.01 [0.87. 1.16]	
	Total events	84		150				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = (0.11, df =	1 (P = 0.)	74); l² =	0%		
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.09 (P =	0.93)	,				
								CBZ resistance CBZ respose