Supplementary Materials

Jianian Hua 13#, Hezi Jiang23#, Qi Fang1,\*

1 Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, 215006, Jiangsu Province, China.

2 Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China.

3 Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, PR China.

#These authors contributed equally.

\*Corresponding author  
Qi Fang  
fangqi\_8714@163.com

# List of Captions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Table | Contents |
| Table S1 | PRISMA 2009 checklist |
| Table S2 | Characteristics of cross-sectional studies |
| Table S3 | Quality appraisal using the modified Ottawa-Newcastle Scale |
| Table S4 | Publication bias |
| Table S5 | Results of “trim and fill” |
| Figure S1 | Sensitivity analyses |

\

# Tables

## Table S1. PRISMA 2009 checklist

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section / topic** | **#** | **Checklist item** | **Reported on page #** |
| **TITLE** | | |  |
| Title | 1 | Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both. | 1 |
| **ABSTRACT** | | |  |
| Structured summary | 2 | Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. | 2 |
| **INTRODUCTION** | | |  |
| Rationale | 3 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. | 3 |
| Objectives | 4 | Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). | 3 |
| **METHODS** | | |  |
| Protocol and registration | 5 | Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number. | NA |
| Eligibility criteria | 6 | Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. | 3-4 |
| Information sources | 7 | Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched. | 3 |
| Search | 8 | Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. | 3 |
| Study selection | 9 | State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). | 3-4 |
| Data collection process | 10 | Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. | 4 |
| Data items | 11 | List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. | 4-5 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 12 | Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. | 5 |
| Summary measures | 13 | State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). | 5 |
| Synthesis of results | 14 | Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I2) for each meta-analysis. | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section/topic** | **#** | **Checklist item** | **Reported on page #** |
| Risk of bias across studies | 15 | Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies). | 5 |
| Additional analyses | 16 | Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified. | 5 |
| **RESULTS** | | |  |
| Study selection | 17 | Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. | 5, Figure 1, |
| Study characteristics | 18 | For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. | 5-6, Table 1, Table S2 |
| Risk of bias within studies | 19 | Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). | 5-6, Table 1, Table S2 |
| Results of individual studies | 20 | For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. | 5-6, Table 1, Table S2 |
| Synthesis of results | 21 | Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. | 6, Figure 2,3 |
| Risk of bias across studies | 22 | Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). | 6 |
| Additional analysis | 23 | Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]). | 7 |
| **DISCUSSION** | | |  |
| Summary of evidence | 24 | Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers). | 7-8 |
| Limitations | 25 | Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias). | 9 |
| Conclusions | 26 | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research. | 9 |
| **FUNDING** | | |  |
| Funding | 27 | Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review. | 9 |

*From:*  Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

## Table S2. Characteristics of cross-sectional studies

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, Year | Study type  (follow-up time) | Country/Area, Continent | Sample size | Mean age ±  SD, range | Male% | Study population | Sleep meausrement | Metabolic syndrome  measurement | Sleep  (h) | Main findings reported  In original articles: Adjusted  HR/RR/OR (95% CI) |
| Santus, 2007  (male)1 | Cross-sectional | Portugal  Europe | 832 | 18-92 | 100 | Community | Interview | NECP ATP-III | ≤6  7  ≥9 | aOR1.40(0.76-2.60)  Ref.  aOR1.50(0.50-2.60) |
| Santus, 2007  (female)1 | Cross-sectional | Portugal  Europe | 1332 | 18-92 | 0 | Community | Interview | NECP ATP-III | ≤6  7  ≥9 | aOR0.92(0.55-1.50)  Ref.  aOR2.00(1.30-3.00) |
| Choi, 20082 | Cross-sectional | Korea  Asia | 4222 | 44.1±0.4  ≥20 | 43.2 | Community | Questionnaire | Modified NECP ATP-III | ≤5  7  ≥9 | aOR 1.17(0.87-1.59)  Ref.  aOR1.69 (1.17,2.45) |
| Hall, 20083 | Cross-sectional | USA  North america | 1214 | 44.4±6.8  30-54 | 46.6 | Community | Interview | AHA-NHLBI | <6  7  >8 | aOR 1.76(1.13-2.74)  Ref.  aOR 1.69(0.91-3.00) |
| Aroar, 20114 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 29333 | 61.6±7.1  >50 | 65.1 | Community | Interview | Modified NECP ATP-III | <6  7  ≥9 | aOR 0.97 (0.88-1.06)  Ref.  aOR 1.21(1.20-1.34) |
| Kobayashi, 20115 | Cross-sectional | Japan  Asia | 44452 | 44.8±12.8 | 49.4 | Hospital | Questionnaire | Japanese criteria 2008 | <6  7-8  ≥8 | aOR 1.40 (1.21-1.60)  Ref.  aOR 0.98 (0.82-1.20) |
| Najafian, 20116 | Cross-sectional | Iran  Asia | 12322 | 38.8±14.9  >19 | 48.2 | Hospital | Interview | NECP ATP-III | ≤5  6  ≥9 | aOR 1.52 (1.33-1.74)  Ref.  aOR 0.79 (0.68,0.94) |
| McCanlies, 20126 | Cross-sectional | USA  North America | 90 | NA | 39.6 | Company or office | Questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | <6  ≥6 | aOR2.30 (0.45 -6.50)  Ref. |
| Sabanayagam, 20127 | Cross-sectional | USA  North America | 4307 | 44.6±0.5  >20 | 49.9 | Community | Standard questionnaire | AHA-NHLBI | <5  7  ≥9 | aOR 1.24 (0.98-1.57)  Ref.  aOR 0.79 (0.69-1.36) |
| Wu, 2012  (male)8 | Cross-sectional | Taiwan  Asia | 2772 | 44.9±11.1 | 100 | Hospital | Questionnaire | Modified NECP ATP-III | <6  7  >8 | aOR 1.28(1.01-1.63)  Ref.  aOR 1.43 (0.82-2.48) |

Table S2 - Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, Year | Study type  (follow-up time) | Country/Area, Continent | Sample size | Mean age ±  SD, range | Male% | Study population | Sleep meausrement | Metabolic syndrome  measurement | Sleep  (h) | Main findings reported  In original articles: Adjusted  HR/RR/OR (95% CI) |
| Wu, 2012  (female)8 | Cross-sectional | Taiwan  Asia | 2287 | 44.9±11.1 | 0 | Hospital | Questionnaire | Modified NECP ATP-III | <6  7  >8 | aOR 1.04 (0.72-1.51)  Ref.  aOR 0.90(0.32-2.51) |
| Hung, 20139 | Cross-sectional | Taiwan  Asia | 3435 | NA | 64.4 | Hospital | Standard questionnaire | AHA-NHLBI | <6  7-8  >8 | aOR 1.02(0.56-1.48)  Ref.  aOR 1.56(1.38-1.74) |
| Yoo, 201310 | Cross-sectional | USA  North America | 96 | 42.4±8.3 | 0 | Company or office | Questionnaire | AHA-NHLBI | ≤6  7-8  ≥8 | aOR 2.30 (0.71–7.50)  Ref.  aOR 4.89 (1.32–18.13) |
| Okubo, 201411 | Cross-sectional | Japan  Asia | 1481 | 57.5±14.0 |  | Community | Standard questionnaire | Japanese croteroa 2005 | <5  ≥6 | aOR 1.20 (0.13-10.91)  Ref. |
| Saleh, 201412 | Cross-sectional | USA  North America | 1371 | 57.9±13.6  ≥20 | 56.0 | Community | Objective | AHA-NHLBI | <5  7.2-8.6  ≥9 | aOR 0.91 (0.62-1.33)  Ref.  aOR 0.95 (0.66-1.39) |
| Yu, 2014  (male)13 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 1618 | 54.4±10.8  ≥35 | 100 | Community | Questionnaire | Modified NECP ATP-III | ≤7  7-8  >9 | aOR 0.95 (0.83-1.10)  Ref.  aOR 1.17(0.82-1.67) |
| Yu, 2014  (female)13 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 4488 | 53.4±10.3  ≥35 | 0 | Community | Questionnaire | Modified NECP ATP-III | ≤7  7-8  >9 | aOR 0.99(0.90-1.09)  Ref.  aOR 1.17(0.92-1.49) |
| Canuto, 201514 | Cross-sectional | Brazil  South America | 902 | 31.0±8.7 | 34.1 | Company or office | Questionnaire | AHA-NHLBI | <5  ≥5 | aOR 1.70 (1.09-2.24)  Ref. |
| Chang, 201515 | Cross-sectional | Taiwan  Asia | 796 | 37.1±7.6  20-60 | 100 | Company or office | Standard questionnaire | AHA-NHLBI | <5  7-8  ≥8 | aOR 1.04 (0.51-2.13)  Ref.  aOR 1.44 (0.69-2.98) |
| Wu, 2015  (male)16 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 11380 | 63.6±7.7 | 100 | Company or office | Questionnaire | IDF | <7  7-8  ≥10 | aOR 1.04 (0.93-1.17)  Ref.  aOR 1.01 (0.94-1.10) |

Table S2 - Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, Year | Study type  (follow-up time) | Country/Area, Continent | Sample size | Mean age ±  SD, range | Male% | Study population | Sleep meausrement | Metabolic syndrome  measurement | Sleep  (h) | Main findings reported  In original articles: Adjusted  HR/RR/OR (95% CI) |
| Wu, 2015  (female)16 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 13804 | 63.6±7.7 | 0 | Company or office | Questionnaire | IDF | <7  7-8  ≥10 | aOR 0.93 (0.79-1.10)  Ref.  aOR 1.10 (0.97-1.24) |
| Lin, 201617 | Cross-sectional | Taiwan  Asia | 4197 | NA | 46.0 | Community | Questionnaire | IDF | <7  7-8  ≥9 | aOR 1.54 (1.05–2.47)  Ref.  aOR 1.12 (0.70–1.82) |
| Min, 201618 | Cross-sectional | Korea  Asia | 8558 | 20-75 | 0 | Community | Questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | ≤5  7  ≥9 | aOR 0.75 (0.59–0.85)  Ref.  aOR 0.83 (0.68–1.02) |
| Xiao, 2016  (male)19 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 13505 | 18-74 | 100 | Community | Questionnaire | IDF | ≤7  >8 | Ref.  aOR 1.20(0.95–1.52) |
| Xiao, 2016  (female)19 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 6977 | 18-74 | 0 | Community | Questionnaire | IDF | ≤7  >8 | Ref.  aOR 1.16(1.00–1.35) |
| Cole,201720 | Cross-sectional | Chana  Africa | 263 | 46.0±11.6 | 41.0 | Community | Objective | AHA-NHLBI | <7  7-8  >8 | aOR 0.96(0.39-1.38)  Ref.  aOR 1.98 (0.92-4.26) |
| Suliga, 2017  (male)21 | Cross-sectional | Polish  Europe | 3056 | 37-66 | 100 | Community | Questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | ≤6  7-8  ≥9 | aOR 0.99 (0.91–1.07)  Ref.  aOR 1.14 (0.97–1.35) |
| Suliga, 2017  (female)21 | Cross-sectional | Polish  Europe | 7311 | 37-66 | 0 | Community | Questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | ≤6  7-8  ≥9 | aOR 1.00 (0.94–1.07)  Ref.  aOR 1.05 (0.95–1.16) |
| Kaira, 201822 | Cross-sectional | Dutch  Europe | 1679 | 60.8±6.4  40-75 | 47.4 | Community | Standard questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | <7  7-8  ≥9 | aOR 0.96 (0.7-1.3)  Ref.  aOR 0.85 (0.6-1.2) |
| Kim, 2018  (male)23 | Cross-sectional | Korea  Asia | 44930 | 40-69 | 100 | Community | Interview | NECP ATP-III | <6  6-8  8-10 | aOR 1.12 (1.05–1.19)  Ref.  aOR 1.01 (0.96–1.06) |

Table S2 - Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, Year | Study type  (follow-up time) | Country/Area, Continent | Sample size | Mean age ±  SD, range | Male% | Study population | Sleep meausrement | Metabolic syndrome  measurement | Sleep  (h) | Main findings reported  In original articles: Adjusted  HR/RR/OR (95% CI) |
| Kim, 2018  (female)23 | Cross-sectional | Korea  Asia | 88678 | 40-69 | 0 | Community | Interview | NECP ATP-III | <6  6-8  8-10 | aOR 1.05 (1.00–1.10)  Ref,  aOR 1.08 (1.04–1.12) |
| Ostadrahimi, 201824 | Cross-sectional | Iran  Asia | 14916 | 35-70 | 50.0 | Community | Standard questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | <6  6-9  >9 | aOR 0.97 (0.85-1.10)  Ref.  aOR 1.18(1.05-1.33) |
| Titova, 201825 | Cross-sectional | Sweden  Europe | 19691 | 60.4±8.5 | 44.0 | Community | Interview | AHA-NHLBI | ≤6  7-8  ≥9 | aOR 1.08 (1.03–1.13)  Ref.  aOR 1.26(1.14–1.39) |
| Qian, 201926 | Cross-sectional | China  Asia | 4579 | 67.6±6.3  >60 |  | Community | Questionnaire | NECP ATP-III | <7  7-8  8-9 | aOR 1.59 (1.10–2.33)  Ref.  aOR 1.42 (1.10–1.82) |

## Table S3. Quality appraisal using the modified Ottawa-Newcastle Scale

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, year | Design | Ottawa-Newcastle Scale | | |
|  |  | Selection | Comparability | Exposure/outcome |
| Choi, 2011  (male) | Prospective cohort | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Choi, 2011  (female) | PC | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Otsuka, 2011 | PC | ★★★ | ★ | ★★★ |
| Chaput, 2013 | PC | ★★★ | ★ | ★★★ |
| Kim, 2015 | PC | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Li, 2015  (male) | PC | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Li, 2015  (female) | PC | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Song, 2016 | PC | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Deng, 2017 | PC | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Itani, 2017 | PC | ★★★★ | ★ | ★★★ |
| Yingnan, 2020 | PC | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
|  |  | Modiefied Ottawa-Newcastle Scale | | |
| Santus, 2007  (male) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Santus, 2007  (female) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Choi, 2008 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Hall, 2008 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Aroar, 2011 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Kobayashi, 2011 | C-S | ★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Najafian, 2011 | C-S | ★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| McCanlies, 2012 | C-S | ★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| Sabanayagam, 2012 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Wu, 2012  (male) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Wu, 2012  (female) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Hung, 2013 | C-S | ★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Yoo, 2013 | C-S | ★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Okubo, 2014 | C-S | ★★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| Saleh, 2014 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Yu, 2014  (male) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Yu, 2014  (female) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |

Table S3 - Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author, year | Design | Modiefied Ottawa-Newcastle Scale | | |
|  |  | Selection | Comparability | Exposure/outcome |
| Canuto, 2015 | C-S | ★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| Chang, 2015 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Wu, 2015  (male) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Wu, 2015  (female) | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Lin, 2016 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Min, 2016 | C-S | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Xiao, 2016  (male) | C-S | ★★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| Xiao, 2016  (female) | C-S | ★★★ | ★ | ★★ |
| Cole,2017 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★★ |
| Suliga, 2017  (male) | C-S | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Suliga, 2017  (female) | C-S | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Kaira, 2018 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Kim, 2018  (male) | C-S | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Kim, 2018  (female) | C-S | ★★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Ostadrahimi, 2018 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Titova, 2018 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |
| Qian, 2019 | C-S | ★★★ | ★★ | ★★ |

Abbreviations: PC, prospective cohort study; C-S, cross-sectional study.

## Table S4. Publication bias

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | P for Egger test | P for Begg test |
| Short, cohort | 0.077 | 0.312 |
| Long, cohort | 0.213 | 0.140 |
| Short, cross-sectional | 0.373 | 0.345 |
| Long, cross-sectional | 0.219 | 0.153 |

## Table S5. Results of “trim and fill”

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Corrected estimate~~a~~ | Original estimate |
| Short, cohort | 1.22 (1.15, 1.29) | 1.15 (1.05, 1.15) |
| Long, cohort | 0.96 (0.86, 1.07) | 1.02 (0.85, 1.18) |
| Short, cross-sectional | 1.07 (1.04, 1.10) | 1.12 (1.08, 1.18) |
| Long, cross-sectional | 1.10 (1.07, 1.13) | 1.11 (1.04, 1.17) |

~~a~~The effect estimate after “trim and fill” correction.

# Figure legends

Figure S1. Sensitive analyses. Sensitivity analyses of association between sleep duration and metabolic syndrome by omitting one study each time and rerunning the analyses. (A) short sleep duration among cohort studies; (B) long sleep duration among cohort studies; (C) short sleep duration among cross-sectional studies; (D) long sleep duration among cross-sectional studies.
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